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Abstract

Operating shared resource laboratories (SRLs) in times of pandemic is a challenge for research institutions. In a multiuser, high-turnover working space, the transmission of infectious agents is difficult to control. To address this challenge, imaging core facility managers being members of German BioImaging discussed how shared microscopes could be operated with minimal risk of spreading SARS-CoV-2 between users and staff. Here, we describe the resulting guidelines and explain their rationale, with a focus on separating users in space and time, protective face masks, and keeping surfaces virus-free. These recommendations may prove useful for other types of SRLs.
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In situations of commonly perceived uncertainty and stress, individuals may come together to elaborate strategies for better coping with an upcoming crisis. This type of collective behavior became manifest at Trends in Microscopy 2020, the first edition of the German BioImaging (GerBI-GMB) imaging school held in Germany in the Swabian Alb from 9th to 13th of March 2020, in the week immediately preceding the nationwide shutdown in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. On the last morning of the meeting, a group of attendees, in majority managers of microscopy shared resource laboratories (SRLs), anticipating the further developments, spontaneously met for a “Corona workshop” to brainstorm about procedures to ensure safe working conditions in their facilities. The outcome of this workshop, the first version of the “German BioImaging recommendations for operating Imaging Core Facilities in a research environment during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic,” was published on the GerBI-GMB website a few days after the meeting. A revised version (Supplementary Material 2) followed on April 1, 2020, which was widely acknowledged by national and international imaging communities. The aim of these recommendations is to help protecting users and staff working in a low-safety environment (mostly biosafety Level 1) from accidental contagion by yet unidentified, asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 carriers, and are based on our current still fragmentary knowledge of this virus. They do not include high-risk research environments (e.g., biosafety Level 3) where specifically trained scientists work with samples or persons known to be infectious. It is to be expected, that our
recommendations—as well as all analogous documents and publications from other organizations that followed (see Supplementary Material 1)—will evolve with our knowledge of the virus, its mechanisms of transmission, and the epidemiology of the disease. To document this updating process, we have deposited our recommendations in an open-access repository with version control (1). The summary of a recent virtual meeting organized by the Royal Microscopical Society and Bio-Imaging North America reflects the status of the discussions as of May 13, 2020 (see Supplementary Material 1 for link).

Whether and how strictly the described precautionary measures are implemented will depend on the current local situation, such as the infection rate in the local population and the assumed number of asymptomatic carriers. Any locally enacted guidelines should comply with regulations set by local authorities. Also, it is mandatory to explain the rationale behind each measure to the users to gain their cooperation. Most of the suggested measures can and have been transferred to other types of SRLs, for example, to flow cytometry facilities headed by German BioImaging members. They also could be adopted in case of outbreaks of other, comparably hazardous Coronaviruses, while other types of pathogens may have a very different risk profile and may require different disinfection approaches.

**KEEPING DISTANCE**

According to current evidence, SARS-CoV-2 is primarily transmitted through respiratory droplets and direct contact (2). Keeping distance is thus of utmost importance to cut infection chains. As of May 2020, the majority of SRLs were in one of two situations: (1) the institution is in full lockdown or (2) the institution allows limited/restricted operation. We will discuss keeping distance separately for these scenarios.

(1) In a full lockdown, SRLs are closed, equipment is shut-down, and no users are allowed to enter the facility rooms. SRL managers should communicate with the institute’s management that SRL staff can still be productive working from home. We recommend offering user support in terms of project discussions, advice on image analysis, and microscopy-related education via remote tools. Analysis PCs and software should be made available for remote access (see below). Projects related to COVID-19 or other critical topics may be exempted from lockdown regulations. In this case, we recommend that only the independent and fully trained users access the SRL since no on-site support will be available. Otherwise, SRL staff could offer microscopy experiments as a service. Handover of samples must occur contact-free, for instance via a sample dropbox. All these exceptional cases need to be resolved in accordance with local management and safety regulations. The actual work conditions for those projects should follow the recommendations given for scenario 2.

(2) If an institution allows limited/restricted operation, it is strongly advisable to limit the number of people present in the facility at any given time. Staff and users must keep temporal and spatial distance both between and among each other. The latter can be achieved by introducing shift work, that is, alternating between working at home, and presence on-site. Possible concepts consist in having small teams rotating every 14 days (corresponding to the incubation time of the virus and therefore preferred) or on a daily or half-daily basis, or a combination thereof. Communication and assistance via video/telephone and remote computer access should be established. Several commercial and open source solutions exist. We recommend discussing with the local IT department as institutions often offer their own solutions or have restrictions with respect to data security.

Most important is keeping physical distance as regulated by local authorities. In the United States, 6 feet (~1.8 m) are recommended (3). In Austria, the official recommendation changed from 2 to 1 m, in Germany it is stated as “at least 1.5 m.” It can be helpful to indicate the distance with tape on the floor. Aspects that can influence a potential transmission of SARS-CoV-2 are the size of the room, the air turnover rate, and the airflow patterns. For example, Universities in the German state of Bavaria required a minimum of 9 m² per person (4), whereas the University of Freiburg (Germany) sets this limit to 15 m². If a reasonably safe distance between individuals cannot be guaranteed, the SRL should limit the access to one person per room.

SARS-CoV-2 can remain viable in aerosols (particle size <5 μm) for several hours under controlled conditions, with a half-life of about 1.1 h and it appears that aerosols can transmit SARS-CoV-2 (5). An infected person can generate a significant amount of airborne infectious particles during an extended microscope session which can potentially represent a risk (6,7). This effect is mitigated by the automated ventilation system with which SRL rooms are usually equipped and which enforces a several-fold air exchange per hour. Nevertheless, it may still be advisable to introduce a break between users, its duration depending on the intensity of the automated ventilation. If, as common in German laboratories, rooms have four to eightfold air exchange per hour, then 15-min should be sufficient for one complete air volume turnover. Such a break has also the advantage to reduce encounters between users in corridors and at the doors. It can be implemented in the booking software, or with the help of post-it notes on the door.

If staff members need to assist through open doors to keep a safe distance, airflow should be considered: a pressure difference might cause a directed flow of potentially contagious air in one direction. If multiple people work in a room at different instruments, covering their nose and mouth (see mask section below) and keeping the recommended physical
Wearing Protective Face Masks

The topic of wearing masks in SRLs was challenging at the time when the first version of these guidelines was prepared. Wearing protective face masks in public spaces was not yet officially endorsed by German authorities. Meanwhile, the situation has changed. Many countries, including Germany, as well as the WHO (update on June 5, 2020), promote or require wearing masks or equivalent forms of nose–mouth covering in public spaces as an important additional safety measure (8). Our recommendations follow this rule. The basic idea is simple: my mask protects you, your mask protects me. Masks reduce the number of droplets which are exhaled while speaking, coughing, sneezing, or simply breathing. Therefore, masks help to control the spread of viral infection by reducing the shedding of droplets into the environment from asymptomatic individuals. This is consistent with the experiences of countries that have adopted this strategy, according to the Royal Society DELVE Initiative document (6,9).

Ideally, SRL staff might consider using professional personal protective equipment (PPE) of the FFP2 (N95) or even the FFP3 (N99) category. Filtering face piece (FFP) comes from the European norm EN 149:2001. In the current situation, only non-valved respirators should be used, so that the exhaled air is filtered. This PPE is specified to greatly reduce transmission of viral particles through the route of inhalation. However, especially at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, we were confronted with a global shortage of PPE. It was and still might be hard to obtain professional PPE for people who are not involved in patient care. If users and staff of SRLs respect all other guidelines, that is, coming to work only if symptom-free, respecting social distancing, and minimizing personal contact, simple face masks (textile nose–mouth coverings) should warrant sufficient additional protection.

There are also potential risks associated with wearing masks. They might give users a false sense of security and might become a source of infection when not used properly. Therefore, proper training on how to handle masks is required. Several descriptions and training in more detail elsewhere.

PREVENTING EXPOSURE TO SARS-CoV-2 VIA FREQUENTLY TOUCHED SURFACES

Under normal circumstances, many SRLs have a policy restricting the usage of gloves in their rooms to avoid contamination of instruments by dirty gloves. During the current COVID-19 pandemic, however, potential virus transmission via frequently touched surfaces presents a more pressing concern. Such surfaces include computer mouse, keyboard, power buttons, and controls like focus wheels or stage controllers. Under these circumstances, users are requested to put on fresh laboratory gloves when entering the SRL, in addition to regular thorough hand washing. Persons with sensitive skin may consider wearing cotton gloves underneath. If during work in the SRL, users have to handle hazardous samples they may choose to put on a second pair of differently colored gloves specifically for that purpose. It is recommended to disinfect the gloves in between from time to time as described in the disinfection part below. People should avoid touching their face or mobile phone with gloves. Disposal of gloves and washing hands immediately afterward is the last step when leaving the SRL. A waste bin for used gloves should be placed close to the exit.

Some SRLs normally provide shared lab coats for their users. Under the current situation, however, sharing lab coats must be avoided and shared lab coats must be removed. Each SRL user and staff member should have his/her personal clean lab coat. If coats are used repeatedly before washing, they should be labeled and stored in the facility premises without touching each other to prevent cross contamination.

Keeping microscope eyepieces clean is important, since SARS-CoV-2 potentially may be transmitted via the conjunctiva (10). Ocular usage should be avoided where focusing is possible with camera or using hardware autofocus options such as finding the focus via reflection mode. If using the oculars is necessary, these and the neighboring microscope body should be covered with cling film (Fig. 1). Users are encouraged to bring and wear their own safety glasses for further protection. Frequently touched surfaces that are easy to disinfect, like lab benches, washable keyboards, and mice should be disinfected regularly (see below). Cling film (plastic wrap for household use) can be used to cover those surfaces which are difficult to disinfect, such as microscope eyepieces, nonwashable computer peripherals, touch panels, switches, and similar parts. Cling film should be renewed for each user.

DISINFECTION

A person infected with SARS-CoV-2 will unknowingly spread the virus to surfaces. Like other coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 can persist on inanimate surfaces, such as plastic and stainless steel, for several days (11). Thus, all surfaces that might get touched by different users during operating a microscope are to be disinfected before and after each usage to avoid potential spread of SARS-CoV-2 via this route. This precaution applies in particular for those frequently touched surfaces on the microscope body and add-on equipment, which cannot be covered easily with cling wrap like focus knobs, push
buttons, condenser, sample holder, joystick, etc. But also cling wrap protected surfaces should be cleaned regularly after unpacking.

The ability of several disinfectants to neutralize SARS-CoV-2 has been tested (12) with the result that formulations containing ethanol or 2-propanol are efficiently able to deactivate the virus within 30 s of exposure. Considering (1) its compatibility with typical materials from which microscope and computer controls and cases are made of and (2) the widespread availability in research laboratories, the common recommendation for all microscope brands is using soft tissue well moistened with 70% ethanol/30% water without any further ingredients. The disinfectant solution should be applied to the tissues using a wash bottle and the disinfectant-soaked tissue then used to thoroughly rub surfaces. An excessive amount of fluid has to be avoided to prevent electric damages. Always consider the necessary exposure time (approx. 0.5–1 min) for the disinfection. Do not use spray bottles to avoid aerosol or mist formation, which is a hazard (lower explosive limit 3.3% ethanol in air) as well as a health problem when inhaled (see safety data sheets for ethanol). As cleaning with 70% ethanol can occur frequently, sufficient air exchange is required, especially in small rooms. During cleaning, proper PPE (gloves, lab coat, mask) should be worn. Materials as well as PPE used for cleaning should be treated as potentially infectious and disposed of in suitable waste bins. These procedures comply with recommendations of the major manufacturers of research microscopes. A respective link is in Supplementary Material 1.
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