Long-wavelength native-SAD phasing: opportunities and challenges
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Native single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) is an attractive experimental phasing technique as it exploits weak anomalous signals from intrinsic light scatterers ($Z < 20$). The anomalous signal of sulfur in particular, is enhanced at long wavelengths, however the absorption of diffracted X-rays owing to the crystal, the sample support and air affects the recorded intensities. Thereby, the optimal measurable anomalous signals primarily depend on the counterplay of the absorption and the anomalous scattering factor at a given X-ray wavelength. Here, the benefit of using a wavelength of 2.7 over 1.9 Å is demonstrated for native-SAD phasing on a 266 kDa multiprotein-ligand tubulin complex (T2R-TTL) and is applied in the structure determination of an 86 kDa helicase Sen1 protein at beamline BL-1A of the KEK Photon Factory, Japan. Furthermore, X-ray absorption at long wavelengths was controlled by shaping a lysozyme crystal into spheres of defined thicknesses using a deep-UV laser, and a systematic comparison between wavelengths of 2.7 and 3.3 Å is reported for native SAD. The potential of laser-shaping technology and other challenges for an optimized native-SAD experiment at wavelengths >3 Å are discussed.

1. Introduction

Most of our knowledge about the 3D atomic structure of biological macromolecules is derived directly or indirectly from experimental phasing (EP) in macromolecular crystallography (MX) thanks to the large success of selenomethionine incorporation and heavy-atom derivatization (Hendrickson, 2014). The emerging native single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) phasing method has distinct advantages over traditional EP using heavy atoms, but its practical use has so far been limited (Rose et al., 2015). Indeed, the phasing signal from naturally present light elements in biological macromolecules (e.g. sulfur and phosphorus) is weak in the conventional energy range used at most MX beamlines i.e. between 6 and 20 keV (at wavelengths 0.67–0.62 Å) (Djinovic Carugo et al., 2005). Most attempts at solving native-SAD structures in the early days, including the first native-SAD crambin structure in 1981 (Hendrickson & Teeter, 1981), were performed using a Cu source at a wavelength of 1.54 Å. Later, a dedicated laboratory X-ray system (Read et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2004; Gentry et al., 2005; Deng et al., 2005; Kitamura et al., 2008; Alag et al., 2009) producing a
wavelength of 2.29 Å with a chromium anode was used to solve ~31 de novo structures (Rose et al., 2015). However, the relatively low flux and large beam size of such sources have limited their application to large and well diffracting crystals.

In 2000, the first de novo native-SAD structure (obelin; Liu et al., 2000) was solved with synchrotron radiation using a wavelength of 1.77 Å. Since then, about 60 de novo structures have been determined using wavelengths ranging from 1.70 to 2.07 Å (7.3–6.0 keV) at synchrotron beamlines with standard MX sample environments (Weinert et al., 2015). Recent advances in data-collection methods and multi-crystal averaging have significantly improved the success rate of native SAD using 6 keV X-rays by enabling accurate diffraction-intensity measurement and effective data merging (Liu et al., 2012; Weinert et al., 2015; Liu & Hendrickson, 2015, 2017; Rose et al., 2015; Olieric et al., 2016). However, most systems with low sulfur content and/or low diffraction resolution worse than 3 Å are still out of reach.

For such challenging cases, it should be advantageous to use energy below 6 keV because the anomalous signal of S and P increases gradually towards lower energy (∝ λ²). However, the X-ray absorption by the sample increases as well (cross-section ∝ λ). The counterplay of these two factors produces crystal-size-dependent behavior when searching for the optimal energy, which maximizes the anomalous signal for native SAD (Fig. 1 and Appendix A) (Mueller-Dieckmann et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2016; Liebschner et al., 2016). A wavelength of 3 Å appears to be optimal for a 100 μm sized ‘naked’ crystal, i.e. one without any surrounding solvent or a loop, in an ideal experiment [Fig. 1(a)]. However, in addition to the crystal itself, any material in the X-ray beam-path contributes to absorption and background scattering. Assuming 50 μm of solvent around the crystal and 100 mm of air between the crystal and the detector [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), and S1 in the Supporting information], the optimum for native SAD shifts towards ~2 Å [Fig. 1(d)], a wavelength that has been used successfully at standard MX beamlines (Mueller-Dieckmann et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2012; Weinert et al., 2015). Recent attempts towards using longer wavelengths were made at beamline BL-1A at the Photon Factory (PF), Japan and beamline I23 at the Diamond Light Source (DLS), UK, with helium and a vacuum environment, respectively. At beamline BL-1A, the advantage of a wavelength of 2.7 Å over a wavelength of 1.9 Å was demonstrated for both ferredoxin reductase and lysozyme crystals of 100 μm or smaller, while the advantage of using wavelengths of 3.0 Å (4.13 keV energy) and 3.3 Å (3.75 keV energy) remained elusive (Liebschner et al., 2016). Very recently, a proof-of-principle native-SAD experiment using a wavelength of 4.96 Å (i.e. just above the sulfur K edge) with a thaumatin crystal was demonstrated for the first time (Aurelius et al., 2017). In addition to model systems, only a few new structures have been determined using wavelengths ranging from 2.5 to 3.1 Å; death receptor 6 or DR6 (2.7 Å; Ru et al., 2012) and Lili-Mip (2.7 Å; Banerjee et al., 2016) at BL-1A in PF; PETase (2.5 Å; Austin et al., 2018), SSeK3 (Se/S-SAD at 2.77 Å; Esposito et al., 2018) and ThcOX (3.1 Å; Bent et al., 2016) at I23 in DLS; and Cdc25Niern (2.7 Å; Cianci et al., 2016) at P13 at PETRAIII. These results provide a glimpse into how X-rays with wavelengths longer than 2 Å can be exploited for native-SAD phasing. Indeed, the prospect of native SAD at wavelengths closer to the sulfur K edge is very appealing but the technical challenges caused by the increased absorption and scattering, as well as detector efficiency, could be impediments to its wide adoption at synchrotron beamlines. Therefore, we set out a systematic study to identify factors that currently limit the optimal use of longer wavelengths for native SAD and to propose approaches to overcome such limitations.

Both sample thickness – the crystal itself, as well as the loop and surrounding solvent – and absorption correction have to be considered at long wavelengths. While crystal size and morphology are difficult to control precisely during crystallization, crystal ablation using UV-laser-shaping technology (Murakami et al., 2004) may be used to reduce the

Figure 1
2D contour plots of theoretical anomalous diffraction efficiency for S atoms (shown as a heat map) as a function of X-ray wavelength (x axis) and crystal thickness (y axis). (a) In an ideal experimental condition with ‘naked’ crystals. (b) The absorption of 50 μm of solvent around the crystal is included. (c) The absorption of 100 mm of air in the scattering path between the crystal and the detector surface is included. (d) Both the 50 μm solvent layer and the 100 mm of air are included.
sample thickness and to remove extra materials. A deep-UV laser – which can cut polymers such as proteins and fibers and can break chemical bonds by photochemical reactions – was developed for such applications at RIKEN, SPring-8, Japan. It was used to trim crystals from both lysozyme and the membrane protein AcrB mounted on nylon loops under a cryogenic temperature of 100 K (Kitano et al., 2005). The crystal integrity was shown to be preserved after laser irradiation (Kitano et al., 2005), which spreads damage only within \( \pm 3 \mu \text{m} \) of the beam footprint (Materials and methods). These

\textbf{Figure 2}

Measurement and comparison of T3R-TTL crystal collected at 1.9 and 2.7 Å. (a) The crystal mounted on an elliptical Actiloop and the presence of minimum solvents around the crystal. The data-collection positions for each wavelength are marked with red lines with arrows. (b) \( I/\sigma(I) \) values plotted against resolution for datasets collected at both wavelengths. (c)–(f) \( (|\Delta F|)/|F| \), \( |\Delta F|/\sigma(\Delta F) \), \( CC_{\text{room}}(1/2) \) and average anomalous peak height \( (|\text{APH}|) \) values are plotted against the diffraction resolution. (g) The anomalous peak heights \( (|\text{APH}|) \) are plotted for both wavelengths \((|\text{APH}|)\) values are plotted for both wavelengths with dose-equivalent datasets. (h) \( CC_{\text{all}} \) versus \( CC_{\text{weak}} \) plot from the SHELXD solution for the 14 \( \times \) 360° datasets at 2.7 Å.
results clearly show that this technique can shape fragile protein crystals in a more controlled way than mechanical actions such as manual cutting or sonication (de la Cruz et al., 2017), and is effective in producing various geometric shapes including spheres.

In addition to sample absorption, other factors such as detector performance at low energy, and inaccuracy in data reduction and correction, could result in reduced data quality and compromise the gain in anomalous signal for native SAD at long wavelengths. In this study, the absorption effect for wavelengths \( \geq \) 2 \( \AA \) in native-SAD phasing experiments was assessed systematically. We used a challenging 266 kDa tubulin complex to show the advantages of a 2.7 \( \AA \) wavelength over a 1.9 \( \AA \) wavelength for native SAD. We then successfully applied a 2.7 \( \AA \) wavelength to solve a 86 kDa helicase Sen1 protein using a multi-orientation data-collection protocol (Weinert et al., 2015). Finally, we exploited the potential of a wavelength of 3.3 \( \AA \) using spherical lysozyme crystals that have been shaped by the laser (Kitano et al., 2005). The conditions required to perform an optimal native-SAD experiment at X-ray wavelengths \( \geq \) 2 \( \AA \), in particular with regard to both sample absorption and detector technology, are discussed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation and crystallization

2.1.1. Lysozyme. Lysozyme crystals were produced by the vapor-diffusion method. The protein concentration was 50 mM at 50 mg ml\(^{-1}\). The lysozyme was solubilized at 50 mg ml\(^{-1}\) in 50 mM sodium acetate at pH 4.5. The crystals were obtained by mixing 1 \( \mu \)l of the protein with 1 \( \mu \)l of reservoir solution, consisting of 50 mM sodium acetate at pH 4.5, 5\% PEG MME 5000 and 25\% ethylene glycol. Lysozyme crystals of average size 800 \( \times \) 500 \( \times \) 400 \( \mu \)m in space group \( P4_2_2_1 \) grew within two days. They were harvested in MiTeGen MicroLoops E and snap-cooled in liquid nitrogen. The crystals, in space group \( P2_12_12_1 \), were harvested in Molecular Dimensions ActiLoops with minimum surrounding solvent (10–20 \( \mu \)m) and snap-cooled in liquid nitrogen. The lysozyme was solubilised at 373–386

2.1.2. T2R-TTL. Tubulin-TTL is a multi-ligand globular protein complex (PDB code 4wbn; Weinert et al., 2015) of size 266 kDa, containing 118 S, 13 P, 2 Cl\(^-\) and 3 Ca\(^{2+}\), which crystallizes in space group \( P2_12_12_1 \). The protein was expressed, purified and crystallized as described elsewhere (Prota et al., 2013). The needle-like crystals were harvested in Molecular Dimensions ActiLoops with minimum surrounding solvent (<10 \( \mu \)m) and snap-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

2.1.3. Sen1. Sen1 is a superfamily 1B (SF1B) helicase protein of 85.7 kDa size (PDB code 5mzn), containing 32 S. Sen1 was expressed and purified from Escherichia coli as described elsewhere (Leonaité et al., 2017). The Sen1 protein was concentrated to 3 mg ml\(^{-1}\) and crystallized at 4°C using the vapor-diffusion method by mixing an equal volume of protein with reservoir solution. The crystallization solution consists of 6\% PEG 8000, 8\% ethylene glycol and 0.1 M HEPES at pH 7.5 buffer. The crystals, in space group \( P2_12_12_1 \), were harvested in Molecular Dimensions ActiLoops with minimum surrounding solvent (10–20 \( \mu \)m) and snap-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

2.2. BL-1A experimental setup

The long-wavelength native-SAD experiments were carried out at beamline BL-1A at the Photon Factory, KEK, Japan, at X-ray wavelengths of 1.9, 2.7 and 3.3 \( \AA \) using one or two EIGER 4M detectors enclosed in a helium chamber to overcome the X-ray absorption caused by air. When two EIGER 4M detectors were used, they were configured with V-shape
geometry at an adjacent tilt angle of $25^\circ$. The detector threshold energy was set to half of the X-ray energy for the 1.9 and 2.7 Å experiments, and 2.3 keV for the 3.3 Å experiment, which corresponds to a threshold of 50, 50 and $\sim61\%$, respectively. BL-1A is equipped with a mini-kappa goniometer with an arm offset of $20^\circ$. We used a $40 \times 40 \mu m$ beam size for all experiments. The flux values are approximately $1.5 \times 10^{11}$, $1.2 \times 10^{11}$ and $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ photons $s^{-1}$ at 1.9, 2.7 and 3.3 Å, respectively.

2.3. Native-SAD data collection on T$_2$R-TTL and Sen1 crystals at BL-1A

2.3.1. T$_2$R-TTL. We collected native-SAD data from a T$_2$R-TTL crystal of size $500 \times 70 \times 50 \mu m$ [Fig. 2(a) and Table 1] at wavelengths of 1.9 and 2.7 Å. We collected $14 \times 360^\circ$ datasets at different $\kappa$ angles (Table 1) at 2.7 Å with 3.4% beam transmission and $21 \times 360^\circ$ datasets at 1.9 Å with 16.5% beam transmission on an EIGER 4M detector placed 60 mm away from the crystal. During data collection, we travelled the longest dimension of the crystal to reduce damage and minimize the systematic errors by introducing fresh crystalline material. We collected all native-SAD datasets from one T$_2$R-TTL crystal; the right-hand part of the crystal was collected at 2.7 Å and the left-hand part at 1.9 Å [Fig. 2(a)]. The total doses were estimated as 3.9 MGy and 9.1 MGy for 14 of the 2.7 Å datasets and 21 of the 1.9 Å datasets, respectively.

2.3.2. Sen1. Native-SAD datasets from the helicase protein Sen1 crystal of size $200 \times 100 \times 50 \mu m$ [Fig. 3(a)] were collected at a wavelength of 2.7 Å on an EIGER 4M detector placed 60 mm away from the crystal. We collected $4 \times 360^\circ$ datasets at different orientations using the mini-kappa goniometer (Table 1). A beam size of $40 \times 40 \mu m$ and a beam transmission of 3.4% were used. The total accumulated dose was 1.8 MGy.

2.4. Laser-shaping machine and shaping of lysozyme crystals

A compact, fast and user-friendly laser shaping system (Murakami et al., 2004; Kitano et al., 2004, 2005) was developed at RIKEN, SPring-8, Japan, to trim crystals into various shapes [Fig. 4(a)]. The deep-UV laser, which uses an NSL-193L laser source (Nikon) of wavelength 193 nm and a pulse duration of $\sim1$ ns (Kitano et al., 2005), operates at an energy of 8.0 μJ. High-speed scanning galvanometer mirrors focus the beam to $4.6 \times 3.9 \mu m$ ($H \times V$, FWHM) [Fig. S2(b)]. Crystals

Figure 3
Measurement and native-SAD phasing for Sen1 protein using 2.7 Å. (a) The crystal mounted on an elliptical ActiLoop with the data-collection region marked with a red double-headed arrow. (b) The $CC_{all}$ versus $CC_{weak}$ plot shows the successful substructure determination by SHELXD. (c) Experimental phasing map of a selected region of Sen1 after density modification (shown in blue), contoured at 1.0σ along with the C$^i$ trace, produced by CRANK2 (shown as a light-pink colored cartoon representation). (d) A cartoon representation of Sen1 protein, with anomalous scatterers (i.e. S atoms) highlighted as green spheres.
were mounted on a high-precision single-axis goniometer with X/Y/Z linear stages using the SPACE sample changer (Murakami et al., 2012) and kept at 100 K under a cryostream [Fig. 4(b)]. We shaped one lysozyme crystal (800 × 500 × 400 μm) mounted on a MicroLoops ETM (MiTeGen) into four connected spheres with diameters of 50, 50, 100 and 200 μm [Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), and Supplementary movie S1] and another lysozyme crystal into a cylindrical shape of 500 × 50 × 50 μm. The procedure took about 20 min per crystal.

Irradiation damage of the deep-UV laser was evaluated with a micro-focused X-ray beam at beamline BL32XU at SPring-8, Japan (Hirata et al., 2013) using a cytochrome c oxidase crystal (Tsukihara et al., 1995). The crystal was shaped using the deep-UV system with lines [Figs. S2(a) and S2(b)] and then rastered using a beam of 1.0 × 5.0 μm (H × V, FWHM) at a wavelength of 1.0 Å and a flux of 6.0 × 10^9 photons s⁻¹. The diffraction images were processed using SHIKA (Hirata et al., 2014). We observed a loss of diffraction over an ~10 μm thick area [Fig. S2(c)], which implies that the radiation damage extends by ~3 μm on each side of the deep-UV laser-beam footprint.

2.5. Dose-normalization measurement

Dose-normalization analysis was carried out using a cylindrical lysozyme crystal that had been shaped by the laser [Fig. S3(a)] of size 550 × 50 × 50 μm, to compare flux and beam transmission between the 2.7 and 3.3 Å wavelengths. The X-ray dose was estimated based on intensity decays as measured by the relative B factors, followed by linear curve fitting. At 2.7 Å, 8 × 360° datasets were collected with 12.4% beam transmission per crystal position. Each dataset (1 × 360°) was collected with 0.2° and 0.1 s per step, corresponding to an accumulated dose of 3.87 MGy [Fig. S3(b)]. We repeated the same experiment at 3.3 Å with 2.27% beam transmission at a different crystal position [Fig. S3(a)]. Here, the accumulated dose was 0.97 MGy per 360° dataset [Fig. S3(c)]. The dose ratio between the two wavelengths (2.7 versus 3.3 Å) was therefore 4. Thus, 12.4/4 = 3.1% beam transmission at 2.7 Å and 2.27% beam transmission at 3.3 Å, which should deposit similar doses on the sample. These beam transmissions were used in the subsequent experiments where anomalous diffraction efficiencies at 2.7 and 3.3 Å were compared.

2.6. Data collection on laser-shaped lysozyme crystals

A lysozyme crystal was mounted on the mini-kappa goniometer at beamline BL-1A, KEK Photon Factory, Japan (Fig. 4). The shaped crystal consisted of four connected spheres: two 50, one 100 and one 200 μm in diameter. Datasets with comparable dose were collected with the bottom EIGER 4M detector in a V-shape configuration at wavelengths of 2.7 and 3.3 Å with beam transmission of 3.10 and 2.27%, respectively. Two 360° datasets were collected from each of the 50 μm and each of the 100 μm diameter spheres, while only one 360° dataset was collected from the 200 μm diameter sphere at each wavelength.
wavelength. The accumulated doses per dataset at both
wavelengths were about 0.9, 0.45 and 0.225 MGy per dataset
for 50, 100 and 200 μm spheres, respectively. All the 2.7 Å
datasets were collected after the 3.3 Å datasets. All data were
collected using oscillation steps of 0.2° and an exposure time
of 0.1 s per step.

2.7. Data processing, phasing and refinement
All diffraction data were processed with XDS (Kabsch,
2010a) and scaled with XSCALE (Kabsch, 2010b). Anom-
alous data were analyzed with SHELXC/D/E (Sheldrick,
2010) using the HKL2MAP interface (Pape & Schneider,
2004). The substructure determination was performed using
SHELXD (Schneider & Sheldrick, 2002), followed by phasing,
density modification and automatic model building using
CRANK2 (Skubák & Pannu, 2013). The final refinements
were carried out using phenix.refine (Afonine et al., 2012). The
anomalous peak heights were calculated by ANODE (Thorn
& Sheldrick, 2011).

2.7.1. T2-TTL. For T2-R-TTL, both 1.9 and 2.7 Å datasets
were processed with XDS. The multiple datasets at each
wavelength were scaled with XSCALE. To have common
reflections for a direct comparison between datasets at two
wavelengths, all data were truncated to 2.95 Å resolution,
which resulted in nearly the same unique reflections (only
0.4% difference) (Tables S1 and S2). The structure was solved
from 14 × 360° datasets collected at 2.7 Å. The substructure
was determined using SHELXD (Schneider & Sheldrick,
2002b) for searching for 100 sites at a resolution cutoff of 3.5 Å
with an Emin of 1.3 and 10000 trials. This yielded a
CFOM of 53.3% (CCall = 38.3% and CCweak = 13.9%). The
substructure sites were parsed to the CRANK2 pipeline
(Skubák & Pannu, 2013), which completed the sites and
participated in phasing, density modification and automatic
model building. The final structure was refined at 2.95 Å resolution in
phenix.refine (Afonine et al., 2012) with resulting Rwork of
17.0% and Rfree of 20.8% (Table 1).

2.7.2. Sen1. For Sen1, the crystal diffracted to 2.8 Å at
a wavelength of 2.7 Å. The structure was determined from 4 ×
360° datasets. SHELXD successfully produced a substructure
of 22 sites at a resolution cutoff of 3.3 Å with 1000 tries,
resulting in a CFOM of 54.9% (CCall = 36.0% and CCweak =
18.9%). CRANK2 (Skubák & Pannu, 2013) automatically
built 692 out of 720 residues. The final refinement of Sen1
structure was performed at 2.95 Å resolution with phenix.
refine (Afonine et al., 2012), resulting in final Rwork of 16.9% and
Rfree of 21.3% (Table 1).

2.7.3. Laser-shaped lysozyme. For laser-shaped lysozyme, a
V-shaped detector configuration allowed diffraction resolutions
of 2.3 and 2.8 Å at wavelengths of 2.7 and 3.3 Å,
respectively. During data processing in XDS (Kabsch, 2010b),
strict_absorption_correction was set to TRUE, AIR
was set to ZERO and we manually defined a mask to eliminate
shadowed regions caused by overlap between two adjacent
detectors in a V configuration. Only the data from the bottom
detector were used in data analysis. In the study of the effect
of sample thickness at each wavelength, data were used to the
full resolution (Tables S3 and S4). In the direct comparison
between 2.7 and 3.3 Å datasets, only common reflections to
2.8 Å were used. These reflections were selected using a custom
script from the unmerged data in INTEGRATE.HKL
before scaling by the CORRECT routine in XDS (Table S5).

3. Results
3.1. Dose-normalized intensity across wavelength
To study the optimal wavelength for native-SAD phasing,
the measured anomalous signal per absorbed X-ray dose
needs to be compared at different wavelengths. This dose-
normalized anomalous efficiency [Appendix A and equation
(4)] can be approximated by a dose-normalized diffracted
intensity [Appendix A and equation (3)] multiplied by the
anomalous scattering factor (f”), assuming the X-ray dose is
proportional to the absorbed photon energy. Equation (4)
suggests that 1.9, 2.7 and 3.3 Å wavelengths are optimal for a
crystal size of >200, 125 and 75 μm, respectively, under ideal
experimental conditions (i.e. no surrounding solvent, loop or
air, perfect X-ray beam and detector) [Fig. 1(a)]. The intensity
in equation (3) can be used to calculate the theoretical
intensity ratio at different wavelengths with an equivalent
dose. For example, the expected intensity ratio is 1.16 for a
70 μm thick crystal between 1.9 and 2.7 Å, and is 1.15 for a
50 μm thick crystal between 2.7 and 3.3 Å. These intensity
ratios were compared with experimentally observed intensity
ratios between two wavelengths – 1.9 versus 2.7 Å (i.e. T2-R-
TTL) and 2.7 versus 3.3 Å (i.e. laser-shaped lysozyme spheres)
– to validate the dose normalization.

To study the crystal size dependence of native SAD at a
given wavelength using spherically shaped lysozyme crystals,
the theoretical diffracted intensity was estimated by calcu-
lating both diffraction volume and absorption correction
numerically (Appendix B). Then the theoretical diffracted-
intensity ratios across various thicknesses of the crystal were
calculated (Table S6) and compared with experimentally
observed intensity ratios across different diameters of the
laser-shaped lysozyme spheres in Section 3.3.

3.2. Advantage of a wavelength of 2.7 Å over 1.9 Å for
100 μm or smaller crystals
3.2.1. T2-TTL. We used the tubulin complex T2-R-TTL
(Prota et al., 2013) to assess the advantages of native SAD at
2.7 Å. A T2-R-TTL needle-shaped crystal (500 × 70 × 50 μm)
was mounted on an elliptical ActiLoop (Molecular Dimen-
sions) with minimum surrounding solvent [Fig. 2(a)]. Using
different crystal orientations (Weinert et al., 2015), 21 × 360°
and 14 × 360° datasets were collected on two crystal positions
using 1.9 and 2.7 Å, respectively [Fig. 2(a)]. We used the ratio
of observed diffraction intensities between the two wave-
lengths to achieve a dose-normalized comparison. The mean
intensity ratio of the two 360° datasets at the two wavelengths
was 1.8 (Fig. S4), while the theoretical dose-normalized
intensity ratio was estimated to be 1.16 (Appendix A).
Therefore, 9 × 360° datasets at 1.9 Å and 14 × 360° datasets at 2.7 Å had a comparable dose [Fig. 2(b)]. We observed the expected Bijvoet ratio (1.5 and 2.8% at 1.9 and 2.7 Å, respectively) in the measured anomalous differences (|ΔF|/|F|) [Fig. 2(c)] and an abrupt rise of (|ΔF|/|F|) above 3.5 Å resolution in the 2.7 Å dataset, which does not represent the true anomalous signal but instead indicates that the anomalous signal is buried in the excessive errors in the weak data at high resolution (Dauter et al., 2002). We observed the expected Bijvoet ratio (1.5 and 2.8% at 1.9 and 2.7 Å, respectively) in the measured anomalous differences (|ΔF|/|F|) [Fig. 2(c)] and an abrupt rise of (|ΔF|/|F|) above 3.5 Å in the 2.7 Å dataset, which does not represent the true anomalous signal but instead indicates that the anomalous signal is buried in the excessive errors in the weak data at high resolution (Dauter et al., 2002). The corresponding increase of (|ΔF|/σ(ΔF)) is also in a good agreement with the ~86% gain in anomalous scattering factor f’’ of sulfur at the two wavelengths [Fig. 2(d)]. In addition, the higher anomalous signal in the 2.7 Å dataset is very visible in the half-dataset anomalous correlation [Fig. 2(e)] and the average anomalous peak heights (|APH|) [Fig. 2(f)]. The merged data at 2.7 and 1.9 Å gave 67 and 40 anomalous peaks above 10σ, respectively [Fig. 2(g)]. Here, the 2.7 Å wavelength data produced successful substructures using SHELXD [Fig. 2(h)], and 2 152 out of 2 363 residues could be built correctly using density modification and automatic model building in CRANK2 (Skubák & Pannu, 2013). The final structure was refined to 2.95 Å resolution with an R_work and an R_free of 17.0% and 20.8%, respectively, using phenix.refine (Afonine et al., 2012). Native-SAD phasing was also possible at 1.9 Å but only by merging 21 datasets, which constituted more than double the dose used at 2.7 Å. This example clearly illustrates the benefits of performing native SAD at a wavelength of 2.7 Å over 1.9 Å for crystals with 100 μm diameter or less and with minimum extra surrounding materials in the absence of air absorption.

3.2.2. Sen1. We applied native-SAD measurement using a wavelength of 2.7 Å on Sen1: an 85.7 kDa helicase protein with 32 S atoms, involved in the termination of non-coding transcription processes. The Sen1 crystal measured as 220 × 100 × 50 μm was carefully mounted on an elliptical loop with minimum surrounding solvent [Fig. 3(a)]. Using 4 × 360° datasets collected at multiple crystal orientations and merged together, the substructure was readily solved by SHELXD (Schneider & Sheldrick, 2002) using a 3.3 Å resolution cutoff [Fig. 3(b)]. The subsequent density improvement and phasing in CRANK2 pipeline (Skubák & Pannu, 2013) produced an interpretable map of excellent quality [Figs. 3(c) and S5]. We traced 692 residues successfully and the structure [Fig. 3(d)] was refined to 2.95 Å resolution, resulting in an R_work/R_free of 16.9%/21.3% using phenix.refine (Afonine et al., 2012).

**Figure 5**
Comparison of data statistics among different spheres of different diameters at wavelengths of 2.7 and 3.3 Å. (a) and (b) Observed diffracted intensities and I/I_0 over resolution shells at 2.7 Å. (c) Cumulative average anomalous peak height (APH) as a function of resolution at 2.7 Å. (d) and (e) Observed diffracted intensities and I/I_0 over resolution shells at 3.3 Å. (f) Cumulative (APH) as a function of resolution at 3.3 Å.
3.3. Native SAD with spherical laser-shaped crystals at 2.7 and 3.3 Å

Upon establishing the benefits of using 2.7 Å for native SAD, we next explored the potential of an even longer wavelength of 3.3 Å. Theoretically (Appendix A and Fig. 1), the sample absorption can be detrimental in abstracting accurate anomalous signals at such a wavelength. We therefore carried out a systematic study to compare the quality of datasets collected at both 2.7 and 3.3 Å using a lysozyme crystal with various thicknesses. Using a deep-UV laser (Materials and methods), we shaped a large lysozyme crystal into connected spheres of 50, 100 and 200 μm diameter (Fig. 4 and Supplementary movie S1). An added benefit of a spherical shaped crystal is that it minimizes the angular dependence of

Figure 6
Comparison of data statistics between wavelengths of 2.7 and 3.3 Å on a 50 μm diameter lysozyme sphere. (a)–(f) Observed diffracted intensities (I), \(I/\sigma(I)\), \(R_{meas}\), \(\text{CC}_{\text{atom}1/2}\), \(\langle|\Delta F|/\sigma|\Delta F|\rangle\) and \(\langle|\Delta F|/|\Delta F|\rangle\) over resolution shells. (g) and (h) Cumulative average anomalous peak height (APH) and correlation coefficient between the observed anomalous difference and the calculated anomalous difference from a refined model as a function of resolution.
absorption (Appendix B). Indeed, the data-processing statistics with and without absorption correction are very similar for the spherical lysozyme crystals except for the 200 μm sphere, where the data quality improved slightly with absorption correction (Fig. S6).

To understand the sample absorption effect, we first compared datasets from 50, 100 and 200 μm spheres at 2.7 Å. Diffraction intensities are plotted in Fig. 5(a). Their ratios were about 2.0 (100:50 μm) and 1.9 (200:50 μm), in good agreement with the theoretical values of 1.9 and 2.1 (Table S6). However, (fσ(I))/ σ(I) values showed very different behavior [Fig. 5(b)], e.g. ratios of (fσ(I)) between 100 and 50 μm datasets were less than the expected 1.41 (square root of 2) from Poisson statistics, particularly at low to medium resolutions. The 200 μm dataset had much lower (fσ(I)) compared with the other two datasets. A similar trend is also observed in the ⟨APHs⟩ [Fig. 5(c)]. We conclude that the sample absorption for crystals of 100 μm or larger (44% and 70% for 100 and 200 μm crystals, respectively) and the inaccuracy in their uncertainties in data processing inflate the σ(I) estimation, which further diminishes the benefits of the increased diffraction volume. Therefore, in order to profit from the improved f" at a wavelength of 2.7 Å for native SAD, crystal size of 100 μm or smaller should be used, as demonstrated here with T2R-TTL (70–50 μm diameter) and Sen1 (50–100 μm diameter).

Similar analyses were carried out for 3.3 Å data. The intensity ratios (100:50 μm and 200:50 μm) again followed the theoretical values (observed 1.5 and 0.7 versus theoretical 1.4 and 0.9, respectively) [Fig. 5(d)]. We suspected that the small differences between theoretical and experimentally measured values were caused by a slight miscentering of the X-ray beam with respect to the crystal. As expected, the gain in diffraction volume is further reduced by the excessive absorption at this wavelength (65 and 89% for 100 and 200 μm crystals, respectively). Therefore, the ⟨fσ(I)⟩ values only increased slightly between the 50 μm dataset and the 100 μm dataset and both datasets have comparable APHs [Figs. 5(e) and 5(f)]. The ⟨I⟩, ⟨fσ(I)⟩ and the anomalous peak height were lowest for the 200 μm dataset. As clearly shown here, small crystals (<50 μm) are a prerequisite to take full benefit of native-SAD phasing at 3.3 Å and longer wavelength.

We then attempted a comparison between 2.7 and 3.3 Å using two datasets from the same 50 μm lysozyme sphere collected with a comparable accumulated X-ray dose (see Section 2.5 for experimental dose measurement). The 2.7 Å dataset had higher observed intensities by about 40% compared with the 3.3 Å dataset [Fig. 6(a)], which is much higher than the theoretical dose-normalized intensity ratio of 1.15 (Appendix A). The corresponding ⟨fσ(I)⟩ was also much higher at 2.7 Å [Fig. 6(b)]. We attributed these differences to the lower detector efficiency at 3.3 Å, caused by both energy threshold (61%) and absorption from the non-sensitive surface layers. Indeed, the thin aluminium and silicon layers on the sensor, together with a Mylar window in front of the detector absorb as much as 20–30% more photons at 3.3 Å than at 2.7 Å (Donath et al., 2013). In terms of accuracy, the R_meas values of the 3.3 Å dataset were slightly higher than that of the 2.7 Å dataset [Fig. 6(c)]. This is likely caused by the so-called ‘corner effect’ from hybrid pixel-array photon-counting detectors (HPCs), which could inflate the R_meas by introducing systematic intensity-measurement errors, particularly when the detector energy threshold is above 50% as was the case at 3.3 Å (Leonarski et al., 2018). In addition, the inaccuracy of absorption correction in data processing could also reduce the accuracy of long-wavelength data.

Nevertheless, the 3.3 Å dataset featured higher anomalous signal as measured by the half-dataset anomalous correlation [Fig. 6(d)]. The observed ⟨|ΔF|/⟨F⟩⟩ values were as expected from the Bijvoet ratio estimations (~3.5 and ~5% at 2.7 and 3.3 Å, respectively) [Fig. 6(e)]. The higher anomalous difference at low resolution (~10–5 Å) was caused by the enhanced contribution from the four disulfides in lysozyme, unresolved at that resolution. The increase in ⟨|ΔF|/⟨F⟩⟩ at 3.3 Å compared with 2.7 Å was in accordance with the 40% gain in the anomalous scattering factor f" of sulfur [Fig. 6(f)]. The corresponding ⟨|ΔF|/σ(|ΔF|)⟩ also increased at 3.3 Å but to a lower extent [Fig. 6(f)], indicating the higher noise in the 3.3 Å data as explained earlier. Overall, the ⟨APH⟩ was improved by 0.5σ to 2.8 Å resolution [Fig. 6(g)] and correlations between the observed anomalous differences (ΔFobs) and the calculated one (ΔFcalc) from the refined model were improved by a few percent [Fig. 6(h)]. We also noticed that the APH for disulfide was clearly lower at 2.7 Å than at 3.3 Å (7.1σ versus 8.1σ) while the APH was higher for Met at 2.7 Å (8.7σ versus 8.1σ) and comparable for Ci (6.7σ) at both wavelengths. With a total accumulated dose of ~2–3 MGy, we attribute this difference to radiation damage on the sensitive disulfide bridges (Murray & Garman, 2002) because the 2.7 Å dataset was collected after the 3.3 Å dataset formed the same 50 μm crystal. Therefore, when taking the radiation-damage effect into consideration, the obtained anomalous signal improvement at 3.3 Å was found to be marginal in this particular experiment. Further improvement in absorption correction and detector performance at such wavelength is needed in order to harness the gain in f" for native-SAD phasing fully.

4. Discussion

Optimization of native-SAD phasing experiments at wavelengths >2 Å is being addressed at dedicated MX beamlines with reduced air absorption and scattering effects, as well as special detector geometry. However, so far there has been little research into the adverse effect of sample absorption, as well as detector efficiency at such long wavelengths.

In this work, performed at beamline BL-1A at the Photon Factory with two real-life targets T2R-TTL and Sen1, we have demonstrated that the increased anomalous signal at 2.7 Å (sulfur f" = 1.5 e−) over shorter wavelengths can be harnessed effectively as long as the crystal dimension in the beam path is smaller than 100 μm, and extra material around the crystal and air scattering are minimized. Both Sen1 and T2R-TTL can diffract to about 2.4 Å resolution, but only data up to 3 Å were collected and successfully used for phasing. Therefore, native
SAD at a wavelength of 2.7 Å has the potential to reach targets with lower S-atom content and/or lower diffraction resolution where enhanced anomalous signals are needed. While the specialized sample environment at BL-1A is essential, the results reported here also clearly highlight that both the crystal thickness and surrounding materials – loop and solvent – should be carefully considered prior to data collection at long wavelengths.

Despite an ≈40% increase in anomalous signal compared with 2.7 Å ($f'' = 2.1 \ e^{-}$ versus $1.5 \ e^{-}$), the potential of native SAD at wavelengths of 3.3 Å and beyond is limited in practice, required data from about 2000 microcrystals (10–20 energies. The 12.67 keV, (1.8 e⁻$/m$ or smaller in size. We have shown in this study that spherical laser-shaping offers an appealing solution to tackle absorption effects by both realizing a fine control of the sample thickness and simplifying absorption-correction procedures. Indeed, the absorption effect is then identical for reflections at a given scattering angle when a spherically homogeneous crystal is illuminated by an X-ray beam with a symmetric profile at the center of the sphere. Here, an added benefit is that the angular dependency of the absorption can be numerically calculated and applied (Appendix B). While X-ray tomography has been attempted to reconstruct the shape and volume of macromolecular crystals (Brockhauser et al., 2008), including the loop and surrounding materials, the correction of the absorption has remained empirical (Blessing, 1995) in most data-processing software suites and relies largely on the collection of data with high multiplicity and in multiple crystal orientations (Liu et al., 2012; Weinert et al., 2015). The possibility to use UV-laser ablation to both remove non-diffracting materials and to shape crystals as spheres is therefore an interesting tool to better deal with absorption effects at long wavelength.

While it may be possible to shape large crystals using UV-laser ablation, microcrystals still remain a challenge for long-wavelength native-SAD phasing. Thanks to recent developments in serial crystallography (SX) methods at both X-ray free-electron lasers and synchrotrons, sample delivery of microcrystals benefits from low scattering background solid supports, which have been designed to facilitate crystal loading with minimum solvent (Meents et al., 2017; Owen et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2015; Warren et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Wierman et al., 2013; Baxter et al., 2016; Sui et al., 2016). The subsequent serial data collection and data-merging methods have been adapted as well (Zander et al., 2015; Hirata et al., 2013; Wojdyla et al., 2018; Yamashita et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2018; Basu et al., 2019). These developments are particularly relevant to small membrane-protein crystals, for which de novo phasing is in demand. The recent native-SAD phasing of the membrane protein PepTst with an SX approach required data from about 2000 microcrystals (10–20 μm) collected at 6 keV. In contrast, only about 100 Se-Met PepTst crystals of similar size were needed for Se-SAD (Huang et al., 2018). Given the $f''$ values for S at 4 keV compared with Se at 12.67 keV, (1.8 e⁻ and 3.8 e⁻ respectively) we estimate an order of magnitude less PepTst microcrystals to be required for solving PepTst by native-SAD phasing at 4 keV or lower energies.

Another obstacle of native-SAD phasing at long wavelength is the detector inefficiency. Detection of low-energy photons is challenging for HPCs – the current standard in MX beamlines. The performance of the EIGER 4M detector used in this study was indeed affected by the lowest reachable energy threshold, inaccuracy in threshold calibration (Leonarski et al., 2018) and absorption from an ~1 μm Al/Si layer on the surface of the silicon sensor, as well as from a protective 20 μm-thick Mylar foil. Unfortunately, the latter effect becomes more pronounced for high-angle reflections because of parallax effects but can be minimized using a curved detector (Wagner et al., 2016) or flat detectors in a V-shape configuration. Note that the faster intensity decay towards a high diffraction angle caused by this parallax can also induce artifacts in data processing, e.g. inflating the Wilson B factor. As an alternative to HPC technology, new hybrid charge integrating technology is being developed and is expected to perform better at low energy (Leonarski et al., 2018).

Overall, this work highlights the 2.7 Å wavelength as a very suitable energy with current instrumentation for sample thicknesses ≤ 100 μm when mounted appropriately. In addition, it emphasises that minimization of X-ray absorption by careful sample preparation or accurate control of sample thickness and shape by laser ablation, together with improved detector technology, will be instrumental in realising the full potential of long wavelengths (>3 Å) for solving challenging novel structures using native-SAD phasing.

APPENDIX A

Theory of the optimal wavelength for native SAD

There are theoretical foundations to the optimal X-ray wavelengths for native-SAD phasing (Arndt, 1984; Polikarpov et al., 1997; Hendrickson, 2013; Wagner et al., 2016). Here, we briefly revisit the theory and add considerations on the absorption by both non-crystalline materials around the crystal and the air in the diffraction beam path. Optimal native-SAD data collection primarily relies on two independent variables – the materials in the X-ray beam path and the X-ray wavelength. The diffraction efficiency ($I_E$) defined as the integrated intensity per absorbed energy in a crystal bathed in an X-ray beam (Arndt, 1984; Polikarpov et al., 1997) is expressed as

$$I_E \propto \frac{t_{\text{crystal}}^3 \lambda^3 \ exp(-\mu_{\text{crystal}}t_{\text{crystal}})}{1 - \exp(-\mu_{\text{crystal}}t_{\text{crystal}})},$$

where $t_{\text{crystal}}$ is the crystal dimension, $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength, and $\mu_{\text{crystal}}$ is the linear absorption coefficient and is estimated to be 0.32 $\lambda^3$ mm$^{-1}$ for a lysozyme crystal. The $exp(-\mu_{\text{crystal}}t_{\text{crystal}})$ term is the X-ray transmittance of the path through the crystal. The $1 - \exp(-\mu_{\text{crystal}}t_{\text{crystal}})$ term is the absorption, which is approximately related to the X-ray induced radiation damage. For the scenario where the X-ray beam is smaller than the crystal, $I_E$ becomes
where $t_{\text{beam}}$ is the X-ray beam dimension. This case is close to experiments described in this study and its detailed treatment for spherical crystals is given in Appendix B.

Next, we include the solvent around the crystal and the air in the X-ray path from the crystal to the detector,

$$I_E \propto t_{\text{beam}} \kappa^3 \frac{\exp(-\mu_{\text{sol}} t_{\text{sol}})}{1 - \exp(-\mu_{\text{sol}} t_{\text{sol}})} \exp(-\mu_{\text{air}} t_{\text{air}}),$$

where $t_{\text{sol}}$ is thickness caused by surrounding solvent/mother liquor around the crystal (we assume that crystal and solvent have similar linear absorption coefficient), $\mu_{\text{air}}$ is the linear absorption coefficient of air ($3.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mm}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-3}$), and $t_{\text{air}}$ is the path length of air between the crystal and the detector. The $t_{\text{beam}}$ term is constant for this experiment and was removed from equation (3).

Based on equation (3), the expected intensity ratio is 1.16 for a given dose between wavelengths of 1.9 and 2.7 Å using an ~70 μm thick crystal of T2R-TTL. Similarly, for a 50 μm diameter spherical lysozyme crystal that had been shaped by the laser, the expected dose-normalized intensity ratio between wavelengths of 2.7 and 3.3 Å is 1.15.

When searching for the optimal wavelength for native-SAD phasing, anomalous diffraction efficiency ($\Delta I$) is used as a metric and is defined as the diffraction efficiency multiplied by the anomalous scattering factor $f''$ (Hendrickson, 2013; Wagner et al., 2016; Liebschner et al., 2016),

$$\Delta I = I_E f'' \propto t_{\text{sol}} \kappa^3 \frac{\exp(-\mu_{\text{sol}} t_{\text{sol}} + t_{\text{air}})}{1 - \exp(-\mu_{\text{sol}} t_{\text{sol}})} \times \exp(-\mu_{\text{air}} t_{\text{air}}) f''.$$  

Based on equation (4), anomalous diffraction efficiencies were visualized in 2D contour plots as a function of crystal size and X-ray wavelength with or without air and solvent around the crystal [Figs. 1(a)–1(d) and S1(a)–S1(d)].

APPENDIX B

Theoretical calculation of diffraction volume and absorption correction of spherical crystals

The mean intensity is related to diffraction volume and dose absorbed by a spherical crystal by (Holton & Frankel, 2010)

$$\langle I(\theta) \rangle \doteq I_0 V_{\text{stal}} \kappa^3 |A(\theta)|,$$  

and

$$V_{\text{stal}} = \iiint_{V_{\text{stal}}} 1 \, dx \, dy \, dz.$$  

$I_0$ = incident X-ray flux density.

$V_{\text{stal}}$ = diffraction volume or illuminated volume, defined by equation (5).

$\lambda$ = X-ray wavelength.

$\mu$ = absorption coefficient (6.31 mm$^{-1}$ for 2.7 Å and 11.5 mm$^{-1}$ for 3.3 Å).

$T(x, y, z, \theta, \phi)$ = X-ray path length in crystal, i.e. thickness along incident beam (T1) and diffracted beam directions (T2) combined at a given scattering angle of $\theta$. Here, $\phi$ stands for the rotation of the diffracted beam.

$\langle A(\theta) \rangle$ = mean transmittance term for spherical crystal at a given scattering angle of $\theta$.

$r$ = radius of the spherical crystal (μm).

$b$ = X-ray beam size (μm).

We calculated the linear absorption coefficient (i.e. $\mu$) of lysozyme using RADDOSE-3D (Zeldin et al., 2013). It implied, $\mu$ (λ → 3.3 Å) = 1.15 × 10$^{-2}$ mm$^{-1}$ or 11.5 mm$^{-1}$ and $\mu$ (λ → 2.7 Å) = 6.31 × 10$^{-3}$ mm$^{-1}$ or 6.31 mm$^{-1}$. Based on equations (3) and (4) and analytical $\mu$ values, a numerical integration method was adopted to precisely account for absorption effect in a spherical crystal that had been shaped by the laser and absorption-corrected mean intensity at a given scattering angle was calculated.

As shown in Fig. S7, we modelled the crystal as a homogenous sphere of radius $r$. The center of the sphere (O) defines the origin of the coordinate system and the X-ray beam from the synchrotron is placed on the Z axis. The square X-ray beam with height and width equal to $b$ and of top-hat profile was assumed to be centered with the center of the sphere.

Equation (5) refers to two competing terms – $V_{\text{stal}}$ and $\langle A(\theta) \rangle$. Since the illuminated volume is the intersection of sphere (crystal) and cuboid (top-hat beam), as presented in Fig. S7, it is difficult to analytically calculate volume integrals from equations (6) and (7). Instead integrals were calculated numerically with Mathematica (Wolfram Research Inc.). Assuming that diffraction happened at point (x,y,z) inside the illuminated volume, we can calculate the optical path of the X-ray beam inside the crystal $T(x, y, z, \theta, \phi)$ as the sum of two terms – the path along the incident beam to the point where the X-ray beam intersects inside the crystal [T1 in Fig. S7(b)] and the path along the diffracted beam from the point of interaction to the point where it exits from the spherical crystal [T2 in Fig. S7(b)] (Becker & Coppens, 1974). The length of T2 depends upon the scattering angle ($\theta$) of the diffracted beam. In addition, because of rotation of the spherical crystal, each reflection or diffracted vector will rotate 360°, which is accounted for in equation (4) as the $\phi$ term. In order to calculate the $T(x, y, z, \theta, \phi)$ term, we used a geometric formula for intersection between a line and a sphere. In practice, the volume integral over $V_{\text{stal}}$ is calculated numerically as a triple integral with $(-b/2, b/2)$, $(-b/2, b/2)$ and $(-r, r)$ as limits for $x$, $y$, and $z$, respectively, but with the integral content multiplied by an extra term that equals 1, if point $(x,y,z)$ is inside $V_{\text{stal}}$ and 0 if point $(x,y,z)$ is outside $V_{\text{stal}}$.

The theoretically calculated diffracted intensities and the corresponding ratios between different sizes of spherical crystals at different scattering angles are provided in Table S6 for wavelengths of 2.7 and 3.3 Å. The example Mathematica
script for absorption-corrected intensity for 50 μm spherical crystal at 0° scattering angle, (00), is also provided in the Supporting information.
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