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Abstract: The shape of nanoparticles is decisive for their self-assembly properties, as well as for the behavior of individual particles in many instances. The introduction and control of anisotropy is well-known for inorganic nanocrystals but also spherical particles, like electrified jetting,[13] microcontact printing,[14] emulsion drying,[15] surface templating,[16] and lithographic methods[17] as well as stretching of films containing spherical CPNs.[18] A drawback of these methods is the limitation to micrometer-sized structures as well as the lack of scalability. Concepts to introduce and control shape anisotropy directly in dispersion are missing, in particular on the nm scale.

We now report a reproducible protocol for the generation of ellipsoidal conjugated polymer nanoparticles and provide first insight into the origin of anisotropy. The utility and scope of the method are probed by the incorporation of fluorescent dyes in the polymer backbone, simultaneously enabling control of the emission wavelength.

Beyond their chemical composition, the shape of nanoparticles has a tremendous impact on their properties. Well-known examples are shape-dependent plasmon behavior[1] or cellular internalization.[2] While this concerns individual particles, also interparticle interactions are shape-dependent. Thus, the directional mechanical properties of nacre arise from packing of anisotropic particles.[3] Different from inorganic nanocrystals, the accessibility of anisotropic polymer nanoparticles remains largely unexplored. This applies in particular to conjugated polymer nanoparticles (CPNs) as an important class of materials.

CPNs are studied broadly due to their favorable photo- and electro luminescent properties. Applications comprise live cell imaging, biosensing, and optoelectronics.[4–8] The ability to adjust their emission wavelengths is decisive here.[9]

Commonly, CPNs are prepared by post-polymerization dispersion techniques,[5–10] or also by heterophase polymerizations.[11,12] Both approaches have in common that, with very few exceptions,[10,11] exclusively spherical CPNs are obtained.

Known procedures to generate anisotropic polymer particles rely on methods for post-polymerization shaping of the monomers, the total reaction volume, the concentration of xylene and the type and concentration of surfactant were explored. As a measure of anisotropy and shape homogeneity of the obtained CPNs, the mean values of length and aspect ratio (ar) as observed by TEM analysis were calculated and the coefficient of variation v was determined in either case (Table 1 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information).

From this empirical study of the above parameters suitable conditions evolved for the heterophase polymerization of the diboronic acid ester 1 and the dibromo-substituted monomer 2 (Figure 1) to exclusively one kind of particles with a well-defined ellipsoidal shape, a high aspect ratio of 3.6 and a high shape homogeneity (cf. Table 1, entry c, \(v_{\text{length}} = 0.12\) and \(v_{\text{v}} = 0.13,\) Figure 2). Cryo-TEM proved that the ellipsoidal nanoparticles indeed exist in dispersion and do not form during the preparation of the TEM samples. Notably, independent repetitive experiments under identical conditions underlined the reproducibility and reliability of this method (Table S3). Furthermore, these experiments show
that particle shape and size are rather independent of the molecular weight of the polymer. It is also possible to control the size of the ellipsoidal CPNs. Using a twofold concentration of monomers, base, and catalyst under otherwise identical conditions provided larger ellipsoids (Figure S16), with a slightly lower shape homogeneity (cf. Table 1, entry e, \( v_{\text{length}} = 0.19 \) and \( v_{\text{ar}} = 0.21 \)). The versatility of this approach was shown by the preparation of anisotropic CPNs based on the AB-monomer 4 as well as the combination of monomer 1 with 5,5'-dibromo-2,2'-bithiophene (3) (cf. Table S1).

Concerning the impact of reaction conditions, the total volume of the aqueous phase has a strong effect on particle shape and shape homogeneity (cf. Table 1, entries a,b). By contrast, the amount of xylene solvent hardly affects particle shape (cf. Table 1, entries b,c and see the Supporting Information). This suggests that an introduction of sufficient shear energy to the initial reaction mixture is critical.

The nature of the surfactant is found to be equally decisive. The utilization of Triton X-100 instead of Triton X-102, with a slightly shorter PEG-chain (9–10 vs. 12–13 repeat units, with a \( p-\{1,1,3,3\text{-tertra(methyl)butyl}\} \)phenyl hydrophobic motif in both cases), only yields a small amount of ellipsoidal particles (cf. Table S1, \( v_{\text{ar}} = 2.0 \)). A more drastic variation, using the nonionic surfactant Tween 80 (polyoxyethylene(20)-sorbitan-monooleat), solely gives spherical CPNs (cf. Table 1, entry d, \( v_{\text{ar}} = 1.3 \)). Concerning the concentration of Triton X-102 surfactant, moderate variation did not impact the homogeneity of particle shape significantly. Likewise, the procedure appears to be relatively insensitive to reaction temperature (cf. Table S1).

By contrast, the choice of catalyst was found to be decisive for obtaining anisotropic CPNs of high shape homogeneity, and high polymer molecular weights. Utilization of the common catalyst precursor \([\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4] \) instead of \([\text{Pd}(\text{PtBu}_3)_2] \) led exclusively to spherical CPNs (aspect ratio of 1.2), as also reported previously (cf. Table 1, entry f). \[\text{Pd}(\text{PtBu}_3)_2 \] shows an increased reaction rate, \([20,21]\), compared to \([\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4] \), which leads to a faster formation of the \( \beta \)-phase as evidenced by fluorescence emission spectroscopy monitoring of the polymerization reaction (Figure 3). For the \([\text{Pd}(\text{PtBu}_3)_2] \)-system the emission peak at 416 nm, which corresponds to the glassy phase, was already low in intensity after one day and completely disappeared after four days of reaction time, while the observed main peaks at 438, 464, and 496 nm can be assigned to the \( \beta \)-phase. In the case of the \([\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4] \)-system the proportion of the \( \beta \)-phase only increased slowly. A sufficiently rapid polymerization and correspondingly rapid formation of \( \beta \)-phase appear crucial for the formation of anisotropic, ellipsoidal CPNs.
The prepared anisotropic CPNs feature a bright fluorescence in the blue regime arising from the PF8 backbone. An emission at higher wavelength up to the deep red regime would be desirable. In biological imaging, for example, this results in less disturbing background fluorescence.\[22\]

To this end, a covalent incorporation of fluorescent dyes during the polymerization has been demonstrated to be a powerful tool.\[7,23\] However, assuming an intersegmental packing within the particles being responsible for the ellipsoidal shape, a variation of the polymer backbone is expected to affect the anisotropic shape. In a first approach the dithiophene-substituted N,S-heterocycle 5 was employed.

The heterophase terpolymerizations of the boronic ester 1 with a stoichiometric amount of the dibromides 2 and 5 yielded dispersions with a varying content of 5 between 0.5 and 5 mol\% (Table S2). UV/Vis absorption spectra revealed the formation of β-phase (around 436 nm) in the formed nanoparticles as well as an absorption maximum around 405 nm, very similar to the UV/Vis spectrum of the dispersion of the homopolymer (Figure 4, top). The absorbance of the fluorene-dye segments is observed as a broad shoulder that partially overlaps with the β-phase absorption, extends to around 600 nm and accounts for the reddish appearance of the dispersions (Figure 4, top, inset). As expected, the absorption intensity between 450–650 nm increases with increasing amount of incorporated dye 5. Similarly, the fluorescence emission spectra show an increasing emission in the red regime, 550–850 nm, besides the well-known emission of the PF8 β-phase\[24, 25\] (Figure 4, bottom).

Comparing the quantum yield of the homopolymer nanoparticles (35\%), which is similar to values reported for spherical PF8 CPNs prepared by other methods,\[23\] the copolymer nanoparticles feature much higher quantum yields above 70\%. These high quantum yields remain virtually unaltered with increasing amount of incorporated dye. In contrast, the shape homogeneity diminished noticeably, resulting in a heterogeneous distribution of particle size and shape at high dye incorporation. Thus, there is indeed a relation between backbone imperfections and shape homogeneity, indicating the significance of an internal order. However, for the dispersions with low incorporations of 0.5 and 1 mol\%, respectively, well-defined ellipsoids were obtained, comparable to those of the homopolymer dispersion, while at the same time the emission color is fully shifted to red (Figure 2d).

Ellipsoidal particles with a physically incorporated dye could be generated by polymerization of 1 and 2 in the presence of a non-polymerizable analog of 5. Whereas shape homogeneity and quantum yields are comparable to the copolymer particles, the covalent incorporation in the latter lead to an increased shift into the far-red due to the extended π-system (see the Supporting Information).

In order to demonstrate the general scope of the method, two further comonomers (6, 7) were used to prepare dispersions with tuned emission spectra. Whereas the UV/Vis spectra do not reveal any major differences compared to the homopolymer dispersion, the fluorescence emission spectra are dominated by local emission maxima at 572 and 603 nm, respectively (Figure S3). TEM images again reveal well-shaped ellipsoidal CPNs (Figure 5). Consequently, all copolymer particles with a dye content of 0.5 mol% are still highly anisotropic (ar>3) and homogenous (ν≤0.13). It is remarkable that even the bulky perylendiimide comonomer 7 does not disturb the formation of ellipsoidal CPNs, at least for a low incorporation of 0.5 mol%.

At this point, the origin of particle anisotropy remains unclear. Evidently, the polymerization procedure is critical, although anisotropic particles can be obtained reliably over a range for different parameters. By broad analogy to inorganic nanocrystals and polymer nanocrystals, an intermolecular packing was considered to be involved.
The effect of the choice of catalyst and of the nature of the (co)monomer already suggested that the chain conformation and packing, as reflected by the proportion of β-phase, is a key to the particle shape for the present system.

To this end, PXRD studies were conducted on polymer precipitated from the dispersion by adding an excess of methanol. The diffractograms of all polymers show two characteristic peaks centered around 2θ = 6.9° and 21.7° (Figure S4). By comparison to values obtained from PF8 films, these peaks can be assigned to crystalline domains composed of α'-phase, which possesses an oriented nature. [26]

Additionally, the absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of the comonomer dispersions all show peaks which can be unambiguously assigned to the β-phase (Figure 4, S3). The CPNs are semicrystalline with an amorphous portion, as obvious from the broad halo in all shown diffractograms [26]. Thus, the CPNs appear to be composed of the α'- and β-phase within a nematic matrix. These highly ordered phases with a rigid conformation of the polyfluorene backbone are presumably responsible for the evolution of an anisotropic shape (cf. Figure 6).

To further understand the formation of anisotropic particle shapes, a reaction mixture without catalyst was prepared. Ultrasonication for two minutes analogous to the above heterophase polymerization protocol yielded a clear, colorless sample (note that when stirring with a magnetic stir bar, without ultrasonication no emulsification occurred and a two-phase system was formed). Other than in a polymerization experiment, where turbidity sets in shortly after emulsification, the ultrasonicated emulsion without catalyst stays clear. This suggests the ongoing polymerization already in its early stages alters the droplet or particle, respectively, with regard to its size.

To gain more insight into the processes occurring after emulsification, the monomers were ultrasonicated separately in a water/base mixture forming two monomer emulsions. These were mixed subsequently. Although no additional shear forces were applied, polymerization occurred. This observation shows that exchange of material occurs (like in a microemulsion [27]), rather than a preservation of the initial monomer droplets’ individual identity.

Taking all findings into account a preliminary mechanism of particle formation is suggested (Figure 6). First, during ultrasonication (2 minutes) a clear emulsion forms consisting of small monomer droplets (around 10–20 nm). Right after, driven by the onset of polymer formation, these droplets increase in size (and their number decreases), which results in the onset of turbidity of the emulsion (Figure 6A). Along with the ongoing polymerization, an alignment of the polymer chains occurs (Figure 6B), resulting in the formation of CPNs with an ellipsoidal shape. Over several hours the xylene that swells the nanoparticles is lost and anisotropic, blue fluorescent PF8 nanoparticles are obtained (Figure 6C). Obviously, the individual steps (namely polymerization chain growth, orientation, particle formation and xylene diffusion) do not occur one after the other, but rather in a concerted fashion.

In summary, we reveal a robust method for direct access to anisotropic conjugated polymer nanoparticles with an ellipsoidal shape via heterophase polymerization. The reaction volume and energy input, the type of surfactant as well as the choice of catalyst were identified as the most decisive parameters in terms of quantity, shape and homogeneity of the anisotropic CPNs. Noteworthy, the formation of anisotropic ellipsoidal particles is not limited to a narrow range or a very singular combination of parameters and the protocol is highly reproducible concerning particle shape and dimensions. Thus, the emission properties and color of the resulting aqueous dispersions can be tuned without loss of the anisotropic particle shape via incorporation of electron-withdrawing repeat units.

Absorption and photoluminescence spectroscopy, as well as powder X-ray diffraction, confirm the semicrystalline character of the CPNs. Preliminary studies on the origin of particle anisotropy suggest a concerted mechanism in which anisotropic shape evolves from interchain packing that occurs along with progressing chain formation by polymerization. Consequently, particle formation proceeds in a kinetically controlled fashion. The anisotropic CPNs now available are attractive as building blocks for particle-based polymer (hybrid) materials with potentially directional properties.
Experimental Section

Procedure for heterophase polymerization: In a 100 mL Schlenk tube, tetraethylammonium hydroxide solution (20% in water) (0.16 g, 0.2 mmol) was added to an aqueous solution (25 mL) of Triton X-102 nonionic surfactant (1.25 g, 5 wt% in deionized water). The mixture was sparged for 30 minutes with nitrogen gas under stirring with a stir bar. In a 10 mL Schlenk tube the monomers (0.1 mmol each) were dissolved in xylene (1 mL), the solution was degassed by two freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and transferred to another 10 mL Schlenk tube containing the catalyst precursor (2.1 mg, 4 mmol). The solution was again degassed by two freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and injected via a syringe into the aqueous surfactant/base solution and emulsified by an ultrasonotrode (Bandelin GM3200 with KE76 tip, operated at 120 W) for two minutes. The contents were stirred under nitrogen gas at room temperature for at least 48 h.
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