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The proliferation of social media and mobile phones over the last decade has spurred significant interest in their civic and political implications not only within the scholarly community, but also among journalists, practitioners, activists, policy-makers, and ordinary citizens. The emerging social and mobile media-enabled practices, including content generation, self-expression, collaboration, and network organization, are changing our understanding of civic action, good governance and politics in general.

The decreasing cost of participation, paired with an improved speed and efficiency of information dissemination, mobilization and organization have made social media an indispensable means for civic and political action. The new platforms have enabled citizens to interact with governments and other political institutions more directly, monitor their functioning, and take more active role in the policy-making processes. While the above changes are already widely discussed in mature, developed Western democracies, there is an even greater need to address them in the context of rapidly developing Asian societies. Although countries in Asia vary greatly in terms of the levels of economic and political development, quality of information and communication infrastructure, as well as their cultural, political and religious traditions, the arrival of the Internet and social media has led to some similar socio-political shifts. Those include an increasing diversity of voices in the public sphere, greater visibility of political discourse, increased demands for transparency and accountability, and a significantly improved capacity for decentralized civic and political action.

This special issue showcases innovative scholarly works examining the role of social and mobile media in the formation and/or transformation of democratic citizenship in some of the most populous and economically powerful countries in Asia—China, India, Japan and South Korea. Although methodologically and thematically diverse, the four studies included here all demonstrate an important, evolving role of social media platforms in facilitating citizen expression and action. The findings indicate that social media use is associated with certain democratic benefits, including amplifying citizen calls for greater government accountability and transparency (Pang), exposing citizens to diverse political perspectives (Bae), and facilitating rapid communication and efficient...
coordination during civic protests (Jaidka). Still, our contributors also point out to the less desirable outcomes brought by social media platforms such as corporate-sponsored spread of malicious rumors and falsehoods in China (Pang) and reporting and dissemination of inflammatory, one-sided and xenophobic news by anonymous online activists in Japan (Kaigo).

The special issues opens with Pang’s analysis of the impact of social media in the most populous country in the world—China. Although mainstream news reports choose to focus solely on the empowerment of Chinese citizens via social media, Pang’s study shows both the bright and the dark sides of social media in China. The author examines and compares two incididents that illustrate the power of social media: the aftermath of a train collision in Wenzhou in 2011 and an elaborate smear campaign organized by a Chinese dairy company to take out its competition. While the former case shows that social media can significantly amplify citizens’ calls for greater accountability and transparency in an authoritarian state, the latter demonstrates how social networks can be strategically utilized by commercial interests to spread malicious rumors and misinform the public. Pang’s study suggests that because social media networks are typically imbued with trust and credibility, they are increasingly playing important informational and mobilizational roles in the public life in China. However, social media use may not always lead to democratically desirable effects, as the misuses and abuses of these platforms are also likely to happen, particularly given low perceived credibility of Chinese mainstream media sources.

Soo Young Bae’s study, titled “A Trigger or a Muffler?,” examined the role of social media in the 2012 presidential election in S. Korea. Utilizing a national data set, collected via an online survey, Bae attempts to address a series of questions concerning S. Korean voters’ encounters with political opinions that challenge their own viewpoints (i.e., crosscutting exposure) on social networking sites (SNS). In particular, Bae inquires about the possibility that social media users are more likely to encounter dissonant views online, some of which could be accidental, and that therefore social media may essentially hinder one’s willingness to express their views. While this line of inquiry that examines the impact of crosscutting exposure is consistent with prior research in political talk (and thus not new), Bae successfully complicates the inquiry by factoring into its theoretical model one of the core characteristics of the new media environment, “socialness” or “online friendship.” Findings of the study suggest that cross-cutting exposure in the 2012 S. Korean presidential election fostered political expression of SNS users, particularly younger users, when social media users believed that their online friends were supportive of their political views.¹

The role of social media in facilitating and coordinating protests and social movements has gained attention in research, in particular since the Arab Spring, which was even referred to as “Twitter Revolution”. Jaidka’s study offers a comprehensive approach towards analysing the role of this medium by analysing themes, usage characteristics, and users on Twitter concerning a public outcry against a gangrape incident in Delhi (#delhiangrape). The study examines the role of Twitter users as citizen journalists, the role of the medium for shifting the balance of media powers, and major role players on Twitter during the days of the protest. Results suggest that Twitter as an information sharing network is disengaging police control over information. However, findings also reaffirm that traditional media still play a critical role in disseminating information during protests.

Kaigo’s study provides insights into how the Japanese information aggregation online site, Matome Saito, compiles and summarizes political online messages posted on the Ni-Channel surrounding the 2012 election. A content analysis of 1,750 blog pages leading up to the election shows how the aggregation mechanisms led to more favorable language toward the Liberal Democratic Party in Japan in comparison to other parties. Especially the far-right wing Japan Restoration Party was negatively represented on the message aggregation site. With this study Kaigo shows how an extremely popular information dissemination system that is widely adopted by Japanese youth can influence political opinions.

¹ This work was supported by the Academy of Korean Studies (Korean Studies Promotion Service) Grant funded by the Government of the Republic of Korea (Ministry of Education) (AKS-2011-BAA-2102).
In addition to the special section focused on Asia, this issue of JeDEM also features peer-reviewed research papers in the field of eDemocracy and Open Government.

There is a broad consensus that transparency is a core value of democracy, and many studies investigate to what extent the new new information and communication technologies have a positive impact on governance cultures, for instance a culture of transparency in the public sector. Contributing to this field of research, Vieira’s study aims to assess the association between e-government quality and the occurrence of corruption in Brazil. The paper is an attempt to shed light on the causes of corruption through empirical analysis of the impact of public transparency mechanisms on the frequency of improbities in Brazilian municipalities. Results indicate that e-government mechanisms are negatively associated with improbies in Brazilian local government, as e-Government initiatives are perceived to increase the costs of corrupt transactions and to reduce the benefits of engaging in corrupt practices.

In summary, this issue of JeDEM hopefully demonstrates both the significance as well as complexity of the transformations of citizenship and governance happening in the social media era. By offering our readers a glimpse of cutting-edge research conducted outside the United States and Europe we hope to inspire new approaches for studying the above phenomena, and encourage policy-makers, activists, and practitioners to harness the potential of emerging media platforms for the common good.
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