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BACKGROUND: The movement of animals makes them fascinating but difficult study subjects. Animal movements underpin many biological phenomena, and understanding them is critical for applications in conservation, health, and food. Traditional approaches to animal tracking used field biologists wielding antennas to record a few dozen locations per animal, revealing only the most general patterns of animal space use. The advent of satellite tracking automated this process, but initially was limited to larger animals and increased the resolution of trajectories to only a few hundred locations per animal. The last few years have shown exponential improvement in tracking technology, leading to smaller tracking devices that can return millions of movement steps for ever-smaller animals. Finally, we have a tool that returns high-resolution data that reveal the detailed facets of animal movement and its many implications for biodiversity, animal ecology, behavior, and ecosystem function.

ADVANCES: Improved technology has brought animal tracking into the realm of big data, not only through high-resolution movement trajectories, but also through the addition of other on-animal sensors and the integration of remote sensing data about the environment through which these animals are moving. These new data are opening up a breadth of new scientific questions about ecology, evolution, and physiology and enable the use of animals as sensors of the environment. High-temporal resolution movement data also can document brief but important contacts between animals, creating new opportunities to study social networks, as well as interspecific interactions such as competition and predation. With solar panels keeping batteries charged, "lifetime" tracks can now be collected for some species, while broader approaches are aiming for species-wide sampling across multiple populations. Miniaturized tags also help reduce the impact of the devices on the study subjects, improving animal welfare and scientific results. As in other disciplines, the explosion of data volume and variety has created new challenges and opportunities for information management, integration, and analysis. In an exciting interdisciplinary push, biologists, statisticians, and computer scientists have begun to develop new tools that are already leading to new insights and scientific breakthroughs.

OUTLOOK: We suggest that a golden age of animal tracking science has begun and that the upcoming years will be a time of unprecedented exciting discoveries. Technology continues to improve our ability to track animals, with the promise of smaller tags collecting more data, less invasively, on a greater variety of animals. The big-data tracking studies that are just now being pioneered will become commonplace. If analytical developments can keep pace, the field will be able to develop real-time predictive models that integrate habitat preferences, movement abilities, sensory capacities, and animal memories into movement forecasts. The unique perspective offered by big-data animal tracking enables a new view of animals as naturally evolved sensors of environment, which we think has the potential to help us monitor the planet in completely new ways. A massive multi-individual monitoring program would allow a quorum sensing of our planet, using a variety of species to tap into the diversity of senses that have evolved across animal groups, providing new insight on our world through the sixth sense of the global animal collective. We expect that the field will soon reach a transformational point where these studies do more than inform us about particular species of animals, but allow the animals to teach us about the world.
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Moving animals connect our world, spreading pollen, seeds, nutrients, and parasites as they go about their daily lives. Recent integration of high-resolution Global Positioning System and other sensors into miniaturized tracking tags has dramatically improved our ability to describe animal movement. This has created opportunities and challenges that parallel big data transformations in other fields and has rapidly advanced animal ecology and physiology. New analytical approaches, combined with remotely sensed or modeled environmental information, have opened up a host of new questions on the causes of movement and its consequences for individuals, populations, and ecosystems.

Simultaneous tracking of multiple animals is leading to new insights on species interactions and, scaled up, may enable distributed monitoring of both animals and our changing environment.

Movement is a defining characteristic of animals. Animals move to find critical resources such as food and mates and to avoid risk factors such as predators, and their movements are shaped by both evolutionary and ecological processes (1). Movement rates and patterns determine abundance and diversity at a given point in time and space, as animals enter and leave a location with speeds that range from as slow as a slug to as fast as a peregrine falcon. Moving animals disperse pollen, seeds, and diseases, and determine the spatiotemporal distribution of heribory, predation, and many other vital ecological processes, which are valued at many hundreds of billions of dollars per year (2). Animal movement thus provides essential insights into patterns of biodiversity, ecological characteristics of individual species, and ecosystem function.

Despite its long history, the study of animal movement has generally fallen toward the margins of ecological research because the data gathered from wild individuals were too sparse to accurately describe these phenomena. Recent generations of tracking devices have removed these constraints, and it is now possible to record the movements of animals nearly continuously through the use of monitors equipped with Global Positioning System (GPS) devices (generally referred to as "tags"). This increased temporal resolution has led to important insights about how and why animals move and offers great potential for future discoveries. The addition of secondary sensors to tags complements the movement data with accelerometer, physiological, or environmental information, providing an integrated view of the animal and its environment.

New technology has brought the study of animal movement into the realm of big data (3), and exponential increases in data volumes are expected to continue in the coming decade. For example, a recent 1 month study of the individuals in a baboon troop collected 20 million GPS locations (at 1 Hz) and ~700 million acceleration records (4). In some ways, increased temporal resolution of movement patterns is analogous to the genetic insight provided by DNA sequences: Animal steps are our base pairs, movement segments are our genes, and combined tracks over an animal's lifetime are analogous to a full genome (5). Data describing the entire lifetime of movement by individual animals, and species wide sampling from multiple populations, are now becoming available (Fig. 1). As in the case of genetics, this avalanche of new data provides the raw material for new insight, but challenges...

---

Fig. 1. Lifetime tracks. “Life-tracks” are made possible by solar-powered GPS tags with long life spans, or animals that can be located in real time and recaptured. These maps show detailed tracking data for one individual over its life. “Princess” the white stork (A) (Ciconia ciconia) was tagged with a GPS tracking device as a 3-year-old, nonreproductive juvenile in Germany in 1994 and was tracked until her death in 2006 (B). Four generations of Argos satellite tags were used to track her, and she had to be recaptured and retagged multiple times. Batteries on today’s solar-powered tags last much longer. More recent tracking efforts now document the migratory behavior of 11 different populations of storks across their range (C), discovering, e.g., unexpected stationary populations in Uzbekistan and new migratory behaviors in Tunisian storks, which cross the Sahara multiple times per year. Because the GPS data collected by these tags can be accessed anywhere in the world, researchers can also document the cause of death for all juvenile storks, as long as they stay in the range of cell phone networks. [Data from (114)]
of data management and analysis must first be overcome.

The future of animal tracking will see smaller tags collecting more data, less invasively, on a greater variety of animals. In addition to GPS tags, these could include a series of interconnected sensors to understand internal physiological state and decision making (5), similar to the body area sensor networks currently being pioneered in human subjects (6). Finally, we expect select cases of massive multi- animal tracking to reveal the details of interactions among and within species and also offer distributed monitoring of our changing environment.

**Tracking technology: Advances in GPS and sensor technology**

Although scientists have been using electronic tags to track animal movement since the 1960s (7), data from these early studies were sparse because of the manual labor needed to find and record animal locations. The first automated tracking tags worked with the Argos satellite network but were expensive and relatively inaccurate (8). Since the U.S. Department of Defense stopped its policy of degrading the accuracy of civilian GPS receivers in 2000, however, the field of animal tracking has exploded. Large scale consumer electronics demand has driven the development of smaller batteries and cheaper, more energy efficient microprocessors, allowing GPS tracking to be an option for most medium or large sized vertebrates (Fig. 2). In the last few years, tracking technology has passed important thresholds in both size and temporal resolution of data collection (Fig. 3) and is revolutionizing our understanding of animal ecology.

Locating animals remains the primary objective of most animal tracking studies, although tracking tags now typically incorporate a variety of other sensors to help monitor the animal and its environment. Three axis accelerometers built into tags can be used to continuously describe behavior and energy use over an animal’s entire lifetime (9) by measuring fine scale body movements. Implantable electronics can record heart rate, electroencephalographic (EEG) activity, internal temperature, and other physiological parameters (10, 11). Animal mounted cameras are also now small enough to be useful on terrestrial mammals and birds, adding new perspective and in sight to the motivations of animal movement (12).

**Data in real time**

Real time acquisition of data on the movement and behavior of tagged animals is fundamentally changing the ways that scientists, managers, and conservation groups use animal tracking information. Recovering data from animal borne sensors has been one of the enduring challenges of biologging: Until recently, study animals had to be recaptured to access the data stored in their tag’s onboard memory. This led to high rates of data loss; for example, 11% of store on board GPS tags used in one set of mammal tracking studies were never recovered (12), and this statistic is presumably worse for migratory birds.

**Fig. 2. Tagging animals with technology.** Diverse species require diverse tracking technology and attachment methods, including harnesses, collars, and EEG monitors: (A) common cuckoo (Cuculus canorus), (B) northern tamandua (Tamandua mexicana), (C) fisher (Pekania pennanti), (D) great egret (Ardea alba), (E) three-toed sloth (Bradypus variegatus) with a collar and EEG monitor (F), lion (Panthera leo), (G) olive baboon (Papio anubis), (H) plains zebra (Equus quagga), and (I) Lyle’s flying fox (Pteropus lylei).
Furthermore, data logging technology also means that GPS tracking studies have necessarily been retrospective.

By taking advantage of existing satellite or cellular communication networks for remote data download, the current generation of tracking tags allow users to move beyond post hoc analyses of where animals moved and to respond immediately and interactively to changes in their behavior. This is akin to the value of historic weather records versus real-time weather radar data to meteorologists, pilots, or farmers. Wildlife managers, for example, are using GPS tags that send SMS alerts when tagged elephants cross into protected areas. These “virtual fences” warn people via text message when specific problem areas may be near and can help managers and landowners reduce crop raids (34). Geofencing also automatically changes detection settings to a tag’s GPS data collection protocols to adjust sampling frequency at places or times of particular interest, such as initiation of dispersal or migration. Furthermore, live data allow field biologists to immediately backtrack an animal’s path and collect additional data, including locating predator kill sites or following snow trackers to identify highway underpass use (35). Live data from tagged animals can also provide a powerful tool to engage public interest, involve citizen scientists, and obtain additional data from the field (e.g., Animal Tracker and Global Shark Tracker smartphone applications).

**Shrinking tag size**

One of the most important factors affecting the increasing utility of tracking tags in ecology has been their miniaturization, which has markedly expanded the range of species studied. Smaller tags can be used on a greater variety of species because biologists usually aim to have tags <5% of the body weight of the animal to minimize their effects on animal behavior and survival (36). GPS tags with remote data readout have dropped from 1600 to 20 g in about a decade (1 g logging tags are also now available). At the same time, the temporal resolution of these tags has increased by approximately one order of magnitude every 5 years (Fig. 3). However, about 70% of bird species and 65% of mammal species still cannot be tracked while on the move (as opposed to being recaptured to retrieve data loggers), including hundreds of small migratory birds and bats of great conservation concern and ecological importance (8). Thus, the continued miniaturization of technology remains a priority for the field, both to increase the number of small species that can be safely tracked and to reduce the impact of tags on all species.

Most modern telemetry devices use the same electronic components as the mass consumer market and thus have benefited from industry trends aimed at decreasing size, increasing computing power, and improving battery technology. Since the first ARGOS tag was deployed in 1987, ARGOS tags have expanded the range of species studied. Small tags can be used on a greater variety of species because biologists usually aim to have tags <5% of the body weight of the animal to minimize their effects on animal behavior and survival (36). GPS tags with remote data readout have dropped from 1600 to 20 g in about a decade (1 g logging tags are also now available). At the same time, the temporal resolution of these tags has increased by approximately one order of magnitude every 5 years (Fig. 3). However, about 70% of bird species and 65% of mammal species still cannot be tracked while on the move (as opposed to being recaptured to retrieve data loggers), including hundreds of small migratory birds and bats of great conservation concern and ecological importance (8). Thus, the continued miniaturization of technology remains a priority for the field, both to increase the number of small species that can be safely tracked and to reduce the impact of tags on all species.

**Ethics and practicalities of tagging animals**

Since the first animal was fitted with an electronic tag, the scientific community has been engaged in an important discussion about ethical standards for this type of research (19). There is an inherent risk to each study animal whenever a tracking tag is attached, be it as a collar, harness, or implant. Reducing the negative impacts of these tags is a priority not only for ethical reasons, but also to ensure that the data collected accurately reflect the behavior of the species being studied. Extensive research has shown that the effects of tags on animals are generally undetectable, or low, although there are also examples of severe impacts of particular tag types on particular species (16). Animal tracking research is typically regulated by institutional committees (i.e., Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees in the United States) to maintain high standards of animal care, which help drive constant methodological refinements to reduce the risks to animal subjects. The continued miniaturization of tracking tags supports this goal, as adding weight to animals is of primary concern. However, continued refinement of attachment methods is also a priority (20).

The ethics of animal tracking is a cost/benefit analysis, and scientists need to consider how they can offset the inherent costs of capturing and tagging by extending the benefits of their study. This includes designing studies that maximize the long-term utility of data and addressing issues of important global concern that help confront the conservation challenges these same animals...
Currently, tracking data should be made easily available to policy makers, conservation organizations, and other scientists via online data repositories such as Movebank, EuroDeer, or WRAM (21-23). These archives for animal movement data can greatly enhance the scientific return on investment and promote animal welfare by reducing the need for new data collection.

**Detailed data, diverse questions**

Early ecology papers using VHF tracking typically addressed questions of animal home range size and habitat preference (24). High resolution location data and sensor streams allow scientists to consider the ultimate behavioral and ecological mechanisms that underlie these movements, as well as the proximate internal and external factors that direct them (Fig. 4). There has also been a push to identify the consequences of movement decisions, not only for individual animals, but also for the populations they connect and the ecosystems they move through.

**Describing movement and its causes**

As the spatial accuracy and temporal resolution of tracking data increase, we can obtain a more process relevant picture of animal movement. These fine grain data have opened up new re-search questions and also forced the development of new metrics and models to describe phenomena and test hypotheses. The advance of “big tracking data” has led to the ultimate vision of highly predictive models of animal movement. Such models are dearly needed by conservation managers working on habitat restoration programs, global change biologists, and intergovernmental agencies trying to predict the movements of problem animals such as desert locusts or quleas (25) or diseased animals such as ducks carrying avian influenza (26).

Fig. 4. **Discoveries from tracking data.** High-resolution animal tracking is leading to important discoveries in a variety of fields. (A) Studies of leadership in flocking pigeons documented a consistent hierarchy in following behavior, as represented by the gray lines (100). (B) Determining where migratory birds died showed that mortality rate was six times higher during migration than during the breeding or wintering grounds and that most of the 15 deaths (green lines) occurred in the Sahara desert (46). (C) Tracks of large hombills in South Africa (red lines) showed that they move between scattered fragments of natural vegetation (green patches), moving seeds with them, and highlight the importance of networks of smaller forests acting as stepping stones to connect far-flung larger forests (116). (D) Simultaneous tracks of competing monkey groups allowed researchers to document the winners and losers of territorial contests and discover a substantial home-field advantage that allows smaller groups to fend off more numerous competing groups closer to the center of their range (35). (E) Fishers (red lines) moving through suburban Albany, New York, were found to repeatedly use movement corridors to connect smaller forest patches (green area) into home ranges that were large enough to sustain their hunting needs (15). (F) Accelerometers combined with GPS tags allowed ecologists to quantify the energy expended (arrows show direction of travel, and colored lines the animal’s energy expenditure) by cougars attacking prey and show the cost of targeting large prey (inset graph) (80).

The large, continuous data streams from modern GPS tracking tags have revolutionized the study of animal space use, not only through the sheer size of data sets (3) but also by revealing an entirely new source of biological information about animal behavior that comes from connecting sequential movement steps. Repeat locations along a movement trajectory are inherently non-independent; traditional analytical approaches attempted to factor out this interdependence before describing an animal’s space use (27). Modern approaches leverage new biological understanding from this autocorrelation by integrating space and time to test hypotheses about animal movement (28). Other approaches use high resolution movement and accelerometer information to chart animal behavior, providing deeper insight and predictions into why animals visit different areas (28). Deducing habitat preferences remains a priority for many tracking studies. Step selection...
functions offer an improvement over traditional compositional analyses by contrasting used against available habitat at each movement step, rather than across an animal’s range (39). Further development of these methods to integrate over multiple time scales would allow the evaluation of the importance of animal memory in movement decisions (30, 31) and set the stage for major breakthroughs in our understanding of the connections between animal cognition and ecology. Furthermore, the integration of step selection functions with process-based, mechanistic movement models promises to link the social and environmental context of animal movement decisions to resulting patterns of space use and provide a framework for predicting changes in animal space use following perturbations (32).

Another notable advantage of GPS tags with global communication functionality is their ability to track dispersal or exploratory movements of study animals beyond their typical home ranges, information that traditional VHF tracking is usually unable to provide. Continuous, automated tracking can detect extraterritorial prospecting movements in which individuals gather information before making decisions about mating, resource use, or long-distance movements (33). Continuous tracking also enables the precise description and study of juvenile dispersal, addressing questions of how young animals make decisions as they move through an environment that is completely foreign, and often hostile. Examples of amazing journeys of young animals that would have otherwise remained undocumented include a wolf that navigated through human-dominated landscapes from Italy to France (32) and a leopard that traversed three countries in southern Africa (34), demonstrating metapopulation linkages across large scales. If such megalodispersals are regular features of a population or species, they can lead to evolutionary diversification, such as in Buteo hawks (35). Dispersing animals appear to have different habitat preferences than adults, although few studies have tracked enough dispersers to quantify this. Young elk disperse through higher-quality habitat than found in typical adult home ranges (36), while dispersing African lions used completely different, and much riskier, habitats than adults (37). These differences are critical for models attempting to identify and protect dispersal corridors between populations and should be a priority area for discovery in future GPS tracking studies. Additionally, this line of research could empirically derive the movement metrics needed to evaluate if species will be able to discover and disperse into newly suitable habitats that are rapidly changing with climate (38).

Large scale migration studies are nearly impossible with VHF tags. Early pioneers collected data through a series of cross country car chases behind tagged birds (39) or by flying slowly behind them in light aircraft (40). Early satellite tracking provided global coverage for the migration of larger species, but with low accuracy (±500 m up to ±1000 km) fixed recorded only once every few days. Modern GPS tags with solar panels on migratory birds send location estimates recorded every second streaming live through the phone network (9). Maps of migratory flyways can now plot exact routes across continents and identify critical stopover points (41) (Fig. 1).

Scientists are learning how birds make these amazing flights by integrating data from other sensors, including accelerometers, magnetometers, gyroscopes, pressure and temperature sensors, and even pitot tubes to measure air speed. We can now document birds’ flight behavior as if they were airplanes carrying advanced aerospace technology. The results allow for mathematical descriptions of heretofore elusive behaviors such as the dynamic soaring of albatrosses (42).

One ultimate goal of the effort to describe an animal’s movement and its causes is to create models that can predict movements from the internal and external conditions an animal faces. Building from the movement ecology framework (1), this approach would integrate not only the habitat preferences that have been the focus of most past research, but also parameters reflecting a species’ movement abilities, sensory capacities, and memory. Finally, the importance of interactions among animals will need to be addressed, including the intra- and interspecific relationships that tracking studies are just starting to explore. These integrative models will facilitate the testing of mechanistic hypotheses for animal movement and predict how they will respond to our rapidly changing environment.

Consequences of movement for individuals, populations, and ecosystems

Although most traditional movement research has focused on describing patterns and deducing their causes, a new generation of questions are emerging to evaluate the consequences of movement across spatial scales.

Each animal’s movements have immediate consequences for its own life and death, making movement a behavioral adaptation subject to evolutionary selection. Few tracking studies simultaneously monitor reproductive fitness and space use; instead, they typically assume that animals’ habitat preferences reflect fitness values (43). This assumption may be problematic, as one study that did monitor both reproductive output and movement patterns found that the most intensively used habitats were not the best from the perspective of individual fitness, but lower quality areas that provided a refuge for nonreproductive animals (44). Tracking studies have a long history of identifying factors related to animal survival and are the primary way to identify the time, location, and cause of death. A review of mortality in large and medium sized North American mammals, including over 2000 animals tracked until their death, highlighted the importance of humans (i.e., hunters and cars), which accounted for 52% of mortalities, compared to 35% that fell prey to natural predators (45). Satellite technology also allows us to monitor mortality of long distance migrants, which has recently been shown to be much higher during migration (46). The cumulative effects of selective survival was noted by Sergei et al. (47), who showed a slow but steady improvement in migration performance with age, through a combination of differential survival and individual improvements.

When amplified across entire populations, animal movements determine the effect species have on ecosystems, because they determine the spatial distribution of ecological forces like herbivory and predation or because movement itself provides biotic connectivity and associated ecosystem services. Studies of large carnivores offer the best examples of using GPS tracking to map the ecological effects of a species and test their importance. Studies of both temperate and tropical systems have shown that prey avoid areas of high predator activity, especially ambush predators, but that selection of specific habitat features was more important (48). Moving animals can also provide ecosystem services by transporting other organisms, acting as vectors for diseases or dispersers for plant seeds and pollen. Because most seeds and pollen are too small to track directly, mechanistic models have been used to quantify seed dispersal, showing how animal movement drives gene flow for plants (49).

Given that most new global diseases are zoonotic (i.e., spread through the interaction of wild life, livestock, and humans), there is extensive interest in understanding the movement of potential disease vectors (50). A detailed, mechanistic understanding of the spatiotemporal interactions of wild animals with domestic animals, as well as among each other, is of high global priority (51). Similarly, it is essential to recognize that diseased animals could have altered behavior and movement dynamics compared to healthy animals (52).

Finally, the movement of immigrant animals provides the genetic linkages necessary to maintain healthy populations, as well as colonizers necessary for establishing new ones. How dispersing animals move through completely foreign landscapes is a key question that has generally been addressed by using tracking to characterize the overall movement and habitat preferences of species, which are then used to extrapolate likely dispersal routes. Although genetic relatedness among populations generally supports these habitat-based predictions (53), they would be more robust if they considered actual trajectories of juvenile dispersers, which can differ dramatically from those of adults (36, 37). Integrative studies that quantify habitat use and track the journeys and fates of dispersers are the most compelling. One of the first examples of the metapopulation concept, for example, emerged from a tracking study showing that subpopulations of cougar were separated by expanses of non cougar habitat, but linked by dispersers (54). GPS tracking has also documented the expansion of a species range through dispersing animals [cougars (55)], the impacts of human policies on disperser survival [wolves (56)], and the importance of immigrants as genetic rescuers for inbred populations isolated by habitat fragmentation (57). We anticipate a surge of research in the near future high lighting the critical role of dispersing animals for understanding a wide range of ecological phenomena.
New opportunities from integration with remote sensing

The increase in detail of animal movement brought about by improving GPS technology in the last decade has been matched, or even surpassed, by the rapid growth in remotely sensed or modeled products describing the world through which these animals move (Fig. 5). Animal behavior and ecology are intricately linked to environmental conditions that are dynamic in space and time. The ability to more directly and rigorously link up predictors and responses offers a powerful avenue for evaluating environmental connections in a hypothesis testing or predictive framework (1, 32). However, many technical, analytical, and conceptual challenges remain for the successful merging and simultaneous analysis of tracking and environmental data types (38).

Plotting animals' positions over a map to see what factors in the environment may affect their location or movements has always been the first step of any animal tracking study. The individual specific and continuous nature of high resolution GPS animal trajectories, when combined with layers describing the environment, provides a new lens for discovering how specific habitat elements or resources are used daily, seasonally, sequentially, at different life history stages, and for specific behaviors and purposes (65). Using remotely sensed categorical habitat characterizations, researchers have been able to identify critical habitat patches where animals interact 

The increase in detail of animal movement brought about by improving GPS technology in the last decade has been matched, or even surpassed, by the rapid growth in remotely sensed or modeled products describing the world through which these animals move (Fig. 5). Animal behavior and ecology are intricately linked to environmental conditions that are dynamic in space and time. The ability to more directly and rigorously link up predictors and responses offers a powerful avenue for evaluating environmental connections in a hypothesis testing or predictive framework (1, 32). However, many technical, analytical, and conceptual challenges remain for the successful merging and simultaneous analysis of tracking and environmental data types (38).

Plotting animals' positions over a map to see what factors in the environment may affect their location or movements has always been the first step of any animal tracking study. The individual specific and continuous nature of high resolution GPS animal trajectories, when combined with layers describing the environment, provides a new lens for discovering how specific habitat elements or resources are used daily, seasonally, sequentially, at different life history stages, and for specific behaviors and purposes (65). Using remotely sensed categorical habitat characterizations, researchers have been able to identify critical habitat patches where animals interact.

Compared with spatial habitat information, weather has been integrated into fewer studies of animal movement. Some examples link local movements with information from nearby weather stations, notably so for single events such as migratory onset (68). More recently, new tools have allowed the intersections of longer movement paths with meteorological information, providing a continuous characterization of the conditions experienced by animals in three-dimensional space, even as they cross the globe. This has allowed us to estimate the energetic costs or physiological constraints on flight, given temperature or altitude specific wind conditions (67), and identify behavioral strategies such as use of thermal or orographic uplift in flight (68). As the availability of temporarily well resolved meteorological layers increases, providing 12 to 3-hourly information at global extent (although still with spatially coarse resolution (69)), we expect integration of weather data into movement models to become standard methodology for determining proximate behavioral cues (69).

Although challenges for the spatial accuracy of GPS based animal locations (typically <10 m) remain, especially in closed habitat, these data capture the distribution and environmental niche for a species with accuracy unrivaled by other data types, and are less subject to sampling biases (70). At a regional scale, remote sensing products such as ASTER (Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer) and SPOT (Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre) have the potential to match this resolution with information on habitat condition and resources (71), and where available, LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) and hyperspectral remote sensing data (72) allow us to extend our information to include vegetation structure. Other satellite or aerial survey data, such as those integrated into Google Earth (Quickbird, SPOT, WorldView, IKONOS, RapidEye), offer additional snapshots of meter level habitat details but usually lack the spectral resolution needed for detailed habitat characterization and tend to be restricted to small spatial temporal footprints. A particularly exciting prospect is the increasingly detailed and complete environmental annotation of movement paths. MODIS sensors have the potential to provide global information on greenness and other land surface attributes down to 8-day or even daily frequency, and researchers have begun to successfully use these to relate movement to resource availability (73). Remote sensing supported predictions of climate conditions in daily and 1 km resolution (74) hold the promise to extend such annotations to ecologically even more meaningful variables.

Given the growth in amount and detail of tracking and remote sensing data, combined with advances in analysis methods and tools supporting species distribution modeling (29) and ad dressing data nonindependence in habitat analysis (75, 77), we expect to see a future with more integrative modeling of animal location and behavior in multidimensional climate and environment space. The data management challenges for intersecting such fine grained levels of animal and environmental information are substantial. Fortunately, Web based infrastructure such as Movebank (23, 78) or Map of Life (79) have automated many of the steps needed to access and integrate these data types. Tracking data combined with environmental sensors are thus poised to offer an increasingly thorough, quantitative, and integrative understanding of the environmental underpinning of animal movement and behavior and their reliable prediction in space and time.

New opportunities from multi-individual and multispecies tracking

Multi sensor tracking tags are not only changing what we know about where animals go, they are also transforming what we know about how animals interact. Rare, often cryptic, contacts with con specific species trigger some of the
most important events in animals’ lives, but are often impossible to study with observational techniques. High resolution, multi individual GPS tracking provides new opportunities to “see” such interactions and connect the behavior of individuals to emergent patterns of group, community, and population movement.

Predator prey interactions are one area where high resolution animal tracking is already making substantial contributions. A suite of recent studies using GPS in conjunction with accelerometers to track large fields has provided extremely detailed information about the dynamics of predation, documenting the energetics of sit and wait (80) and active pursuit hunting strategies (81), detailing the locomotor performance of hunting cheetahs (82), and describing how cheetahs pursue behavior changes depending on prey species (83). Simultaneous tracking of caribou, moose, and wolves in anthropogenically disturbed habitats has revealed that the network connectivity of resource patches affects both the spatial dynamics of large herds and the hunting strategies of their predators (84). Simultaneous tracking has also been used to assess the feeding costs of antipredator behavior (85). However, caution is needed when drawing conclusions from such studies, as mis matches between sampling protocols and biological phenomena of interest can complicate inference. For example, while a recent study of wolf elk interactions concluded that encounters were rare and thus that wolves had little direct influence on elk behavior (86), a reanalysis suggested that the interactions were seriously underestimated because the interval between GPS fixes was long relative to the duration of interactions and because uncollared wolves in the population were not properly accounted for (87). This example highlights the importance of matching the scale of data collection with the behavior being studied and the value of very high temporal resolution tracking data for interaction studies.

Multi individual tracking is also shedding light on how competitive dynamics organizes space use and resource access in animal communities. Attraction and avoidance can be inferred from the correlation structure of the trajectories of pairs of homing individuals suggest that speed, rather than dominance, is the key factor underlying leadership (101, 102). Whole group GPS tracking is also providing insight into the self organization of animal groups. Using a herding dog to provoke changes in the geometry of sheep groups, King and colleagues (103) show that the selfish herd effect emerges because individual sheep respond to global, rather than local, cues of group structure when their perceived predation risk increases. Species’ behavioral and ecological characteristics, and how they may respond to environmental change, are affected by plasticity and adaptation set at the individual and population scale (104). Foraging behaviors and associated ecological niches have been shown to sometimes exhibit substantial individual differences, with far reaching ecological and evolutionary consequences (105). Multi individual tracking opens up new opportunities to quantify this individual variation in space use and associated niches for animals in the field and over larger scales than close observation designs allow. However, collaboration is perhaps as important as improving technology to our understanding of plasticity in animal movement. By using metadata standards and sharing across studies, new questions can be addressed with better statistical power about changes in animal movement over larger temporal and spatial scales (21, 106).

Animal tracking to monitor a changing planet

With global change causing ongoing and accelerating loss of biodiversity, a more mechanistic and detailed understanding of the space requirements and environmental associations of animal movements is pressing. Tracking data, especially when combined with remote sensing and detailed climate layers, has the potential to play a vital role, complementing biodiversity information gathered from museum or citizen science efforts (70). The high resolution locations from tracking can contribute to essential biodiversity variables assessing species distributions (107) and support biodiversity monitoring and assessment as mandated under the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Intergovernmental Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. The spatiotemporally detailed and real time nature of GPS tracking data supports the use of tagged animals as passive sensors of the environment to document how ongoing changes are affecting species’ distributions and ecological function. In particular, such behavior with large movements may offer an opportunity to monitor specific biological impacts of ongoing environmental change for example, if they are found to avoid previously used locations, habitat, or migratory stopover sites. The roles of tracked animals as sensors of environmental change can extend to more active uses by which animals directly sense the environment. Recent examples include the monitoring of arctic temperatures and vegetation changes during climate change (108) and documenting ocean currents (109), and in the future may allow for the estimation of altitudinal wind profiles based on bird flight parameters. Tagged animals as sentinels could be especially useful as environmental sensing agents in areas plagued by security or logistical difficulties, or for phenomena not directly detectable by remote sensing; for example, the accumulation of migratory European storks migrating in the African Sahel could indicate where desert locust swarms develop each year (Fig. 1). Similarly, animals may even be able to anticipate upcoming natural disasters and change their movement patterns on the basis of this knowledge (110, 111).

Achievements and future vision

Over the last 5 years, the field of animal tracking has climbed a steep trajectory of data and knowledge; we think that it is approaching a transnational point from us learning about animals, to having animals teach us about our world. The concept of animals as in situ sensors of our environment has only begun to be exploited in the terrestrial realm, although it has a strong history in marine tracking (112). We suggest that a new approach that views animals as naturally evolved sensors of the environment has the potential to help us monitor the planet in completely new ways, especially if coordinated through a massive multi individual monitoring program. Many of the components for this program are already in use for individual projects, including live data streams, community data standards and sharing frameworks, and tools for environmental data in tegration (14, 23, 78). Additional improvement in animal mounted sensors, especially continuing miniaturization, is still needed to increase the variety of animals that can be tagged and the sensors they can carry, and to further minimize the impact of tags on animals’ daily lives (6). A massive multi individual monitoring program would allow a quorum sensing of our planet (113), using a variety of species to tap into the diversity of senses that have evolved in different animal groups. Connecting these individual level telemetry data with population level monitoring could identify mechanisms driving population increases or declines, and identify the consequences to the environments in terms of ecosystem services. Ecological forecasting based on predictable animal movements could help us anticipate and mitigate environmental problems. However, documenting unpredictable movements might be just as important showing how animals adapt to changing conditions in unpredictable ways offers a lens to the future of animal ecology in the Anthropocene.
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