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# Abstract

Low vagal function is related to several disorders. One possible underlying mechanism linking the vagus nerve and disorders is the HPA axis. Thirty-three healthy male subjects participated in a stress task, while heart rate (HR), respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), salivary cortisol, and mood were assessed. Vagal function was determined using baseline, stress-induced inhibition, and Cold Face Test (CFT)-induced stimulation. The stress task induced a significant increase in cortisol and HR, a decrease in RSA, and a worsening of mood. A linear regression model with the time from CFT onset until maximum bradycardia as the independent variable explained 17.9% of the total variance in cortisol in response to the stressor (mood: 36.5%). The results indicate that a faster CFT response is associated with reduced cortisol increase and enhanced mood after acute stress. Our data support an inverse relationship between vagal function and the HPA axis.
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inhibition report inconsistent findings: HRV and cortisol in response to stress (social, psychological, physical, workday challenges) show negative (Doons-Roosevelt, Montgomery, & Proges, 2003; Thayer, Hall, Sollers, & Fischer, 2006) as well as no significant associations (Alemus, Redwine, Leong, Frye, Porges, & Carter, 2001; Cacopardo, Malarkey, Kieglott-Glaser, Uchino, Sgoutas-Emch, et al., 1995; Gunnar et al., 1995; Heilman, Bal, Bazihenova, Sorokin,Perlman, Hanley, & Porges, 2008). Notably, hitherto all studies investigating the VN and the HPA stress reactivity examine vagal function comprising baseline activity and/or stress-induced vagal inhibition. To the best of our knowledge, associations between acute vagal stimulation and HPA stress reactivity have not yet been investigated.

The main purpose of the present study was to examine the relation between vagal function (baseline, inhibition, stimulation) and cortisol measures (baseline, stimulation). To stimulate the VN, the cold face test (CFT; Khurana & Wu, 2006) was conducted (i.e., CFT-induced vagal stimulation). To induce a stress response of the VN (i.e., stress-induced vagal inhibition) and the HPA axis, the Montreal Imaging Stress Task (MIST, Dedovic, Renwick, Khullili-Mahani, Engert, Lupien, & Pruessner, 2005) was applied. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effects of the MIST on the PSNS, representing the second purpose of the present study.

Methods and Materials

Study Participants

Subjects were recruited by advertisement at the universities of Zurich. Inclusion criteria included male sex and an age range of 18 to 40 years and right-handedness. Exclusion criteria included depression, self-reported acute and chronic somatic or psychiatric disorders, medication in the last 2 months, the consumption of psychoactive substances, and excessive consumption of alcohol (>2 alcohol beverages/day) or tobacco (>5 cigarettes/day). Inclusion was restricted to male subjects, primarily due to gender differences in possible pain sensation during the CFT (Girdler, Maixner, Naftel, Stewart, Moret, & Light, 2005), but also due to the effect of oral contraceptives and menstrual cycle phase on cortisol and HRV (Kirschbaum, Kudielka, Gaab, Schommer, & Hellhammer, 1999; Sato, Myake, Akatsu, & Kumashiro, 1995). Participants received monetary compensation for their participation. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the cantonal ethics committee. Subjects provided written informed consent prior to participation.

Procedure

After arriving at the laboratory, subjects were fitted with the cardiorespiratory ambulatory device, and were seated in a comfortable chair. All tests were conducted in the same room at a constant temperature (21°C), while subjects were sitting in front of a table with a computer and several available magazines. After a rest period of 30 min, subjects were asked to fill out state mood questionnaires. This was followed by the MIST. Subjects were exposed in random order to the stress (MIST-S) and control condition (MIST-C), on two separate occasions 2 weeks apart. Mood questionnaires were again handed out after the termination of the task. To include cortisol stress recovery, the examination lasted for an additional 60 min. At the end of the control examination, the CFT was conducted, while at the end of the stress examination, subjects were debriefed and informed about the cover story (examination of interaction between cognitive skills and physiological markers). Subjects who first participated in the stress condition were reassured that, on the next occasion, a cognitive but not a stress task would take place. This procedure was chosen to ensure that, at the beginning of each examination, all subjects, independent of the condition order, would expect a cognitive task to be conducted. With this procedure, we intended to avoid an anticipatory stress response in subjects who participated in the control condition in the second examination. At the end of the second examination, participants gave a second written informed consent allowing the further use of their data.

Interventions

Stress Task

To induce a multidimensional stress response, a slightly modified version of the MIST (Dedovic et al., 2005) was used, since the task was originally developed for fMRI environments. The MIST is a standardized computerized stress task combining challenging arithmetic problems with social-evaluative threat and can be carried out with (stress condition; MIST-S) or without time pressure and social evaluation (control condition; MIST-C). During the MIST-S, the program adapts the difficulty and time provided to solve the problems impeding a good performance (45% to 50% of correct answers). During the MIST-C, the difficulty of the arithmetic problems is randomly chosen, and neither time pressure nor social evaluation is applied. In both conditions, three blocks of 4 min each were run, with feedback of 2–3 min provided by the examiner in between blocks. The first feedback of the MIST-S consisted of informing the participants that their performance was poor, with the examiner inquiring whether the subjects were experiencing any application problems (e.g., problems with the keyboard). A fictitious study leader then informed the examiner by telephone to repeat the test. In the second feedback of the MIST-S, the study leader entered the examination room and interrogated the participants about individual problems (e.g., about school performance). Participants were then informed about the high costs due to a possible exclusion if they did not achieve a better performance. The last block was then started, while the study leader remained in the examination room during the first 3.5 min. Before leaving the room, he instructed the examiner to continue with the normal procedure. At the very end of the stress examination, participants were debriefed. During the first and second feedback of the MIST-C, participants were provided with a neutral feedback. They were asked to perform a second and third block, respectively, in order to evaluate the time course of interactions between cognitive and physiological characteristics.

Cold Face Test

To extend the examination of vagal function, in addition to vagal baseline and stress-induced inhibitory measurement, the CFT was conducted in order to provoke vagal stimulation, since it mimics the diving reflex by inducing a trigeminal-vagal-mediated bradycardia (Arnold, 1999; Khurana, 2007; Khurana, Watabiki, Hebel, Toro, & Nelson, 1980; Khurana & Wu, 2006).

Bradycardia was induced by using a full-face mask (Dr. Winkler GmbH, Ainring-Mitterfelden, Germany) covering wide parts of the face, with openings for the eyes avoiding an ocuclocardiac reflex, and for the nose and mouth allowing normal breathing. An additional cold pack (Nexcare, 3M Health Care, St. Paul, MN) was affixed to the full-face mask to augment the cold mass. While the room temperature was kept constant (21°C), the temperature of...
the cold stimulus was 1°C. The sitting subjects were instructed in advance not to move or talk and to continue breathing normally during the CFT, which lasted for 2 min.

**Measures**

**Cortisol**

Examinations started in the afternoon between 1:30 and 4:15 p.m. to control for circadian fluctuations (Smyth, Ockenfels, Gorin, Catley, Porter, et al., 1997). To further control for circaseptan alterations (Bodis, Boncz, & Kriszbacher, in press; Lee, Lee, Lee, Cornéliussens Otsuka, & Halberg, 2003; Maschke, Harder, Cornéliussens Hecht, Otsuka, & Halberg, 2003), both examinations were conducted with 2 weeks in between. Salivary samples were repeatedly collected with salivettes (Sarstedt, Sevelen, Switzerland) before, during, and after the interventions by collecting unstimulated whole saliva: immediately before the MIST-S and MIST-C instruction, during the third block, and up to 60 min after the completion of the MIST (Figure 1A). Subjects placed a salivette under the tongue and kept the head slightly inclined for 2 min. Saliva samples were stored at −20°C before the biochemical analysis took place. After thawing, saliva was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min before free cortisol was analyzed using an immunoassay with time-resolved fluorescence detection (Dresendorfer, Kirschbaum, Rohde, Stahl, & Strasburger, 1992).

**Electrophysiological Measures**

The LifeShirt system 200 (Vivometrics, Ventura, CA) was used to measure cardiac and pulmonary activity. This ambulatory cardiopulmonary measurement device consists of a garment with two integrated inductive plethysmography (IP) bands surrounding the midthorax and midabdomen and a connected palm, which additionally saves electrocardiographic data measured by three electrodes. The device was recently evaluated and shown to possess a good accuracy of detection and timing of beat-to-beat values (Heilman & Porges, 2007). After volume calibration of the IP bands by the subjects breathing repeatedly into a fixed volume bag (800 cc), data recording started. The record was then examined for artifacts and edited manually to correct for ectopic beats and arrhythmias by using linear interpolation.

The corrected inter-beat-interval (IBI) allowed the calculation of HR. The association of IBI in relation to respiration allowed the measurement of respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), indexing cardiovagal activity. HR and RSA were determined for 1-min intervals and averaged for 5-min intervals (e.g., for time × condition interaction effects). HR and RSA were determined using the VivoLogic 3.1.2 software package (Vivometrics). RSA was determined using the time-domain peak-valley method (Grossman, von Beek, & Wientjes, 1990).

The effect of the CFT was measured as alteration in HR and RSA over 4 min, from 1 min before onset until 1 min after completion of the CFT. The HR over the 1-min period preceding the CFT was set as baseline for two further measures with regard to the CFT. Maximum response of HR was determined as the relative difference between the baseline and peak response due to the CFT (CFT_max [% change with respect to baseline HR]), and the latency of response was defined as the time interval from the first instance of three successively slowing beats below baseline until peak bradycardia (CFT_latency [sec]) (Khurana & Wu, 2006). Additionally, since respiration alterations might affect RSA independent of cardiovagal involvement, tidal volume (Vt) and respiration rate (fb) were extracted to be considered for statistical analysis.

**Psychological Measures**

Depressive mood was measured with a German version (Allgemeine Depressionsskala-Langform, ADS-L) (Hautzinger & Bailer, 1992) of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) (Radloff, 1977) in order to exclude subjects with a possible depressive disorder (cut-off score ≥ 23). State mood was determined repeatedly, immediately before and after the MIST-S.
and MIST-C. To assess different aspects of mood, subjects filled out the Multidimensional Mood State Questionnaire (German original version: Multidimensionaler Befindlichkeitsfragebogen, MDBF) (Steyer, Schwenkmezger, Notz, & Eid, 1997) consisting of three dimensions: ‘good-bad mood,’ ‘calmness-nervousness,’ and ‘wakefulness-tiredness,’ with higher values indicating good mood, calmness, and wakefulness. Furthermore, a visual analogue scale (VAS) was handed out before and after the stress and control condition asking subjects to rate how ‘stressed’ they felt at that moment. Further VAS asking for mood ratings (feeling stressed, exhausted, queasy, relaxed, good humored) and mood changes during the stress condition (all p = n.s.).

Data Analyses
Analyses were performed using SPSS (17.0) software packages (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Homogeneity of variance was assessed using the Levene test. In addition to raw data, the trapezoid formula for total response (area under the curve with respect to the ground, AUCtG) and total change of response in consideration of individual baseline (area under the curve with respect to increase, AUCtI) were computed (La Marca, Nederlovic, Yuan, Maercker, & Ehlert, 2010; Pruessner, Kirschbaum, Meinschmidt, & Hellhammer, 2003; Scholz, La Marca, Nater, Aberle, Ehlert, et al., 2009). When referring to treatment order or condition (with regard to AUCtG and AUCtI), paired Student’s t-tests were used for comparisons between groups, while repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) were computed after Greenhouse-Geisser corrections to reveal possible interaction effects. As post hoc tests, we repeated the previously described calculations separately in each condition group. For assessment of associations between vagal function and biopsychological stress responses, we used a two-step procedure. We first calculated correlations to test for significant associations between vagal function (baseline, stress-induced inhibition, CFT-induced stimulation) and cortisol measures (baseline, stress-induced stimulation). Second, to avoid multiple testing, we only calculated linear regressions with significant vagal markers from step 1 as independent variables. Cortisol, cardiopulmonary, and psychological stress responses were used as dependent variables in separate regression models. Explained variance in regression models is reflected by R^2. In terms of RSA, Vt and fb were controlled for in all statistical analyses due to significant alterations of Vt and fb during the MIST-S and the CFT. Therefore, the transfer function of RSA (RSA_{TR}; Grossman, Wilhelm, & Spoerle, 2004; Grossman, Spoerle, & Wilhelm, 2006; La Marca et al., 2010) was applied, while further controlling for the AUCtI of fb during the MIST-S and/or CFT. In condition x time interactions, the effect size was determined by partial eta-square (partial eta^2) reflecting small (.01), medium (.06), or large (.14) effect sizes (Green, Salkind, & Akey, 2000). All analyses were two-tailed, with the level of significance set at p < .05.

Results
Sample Characteristics
Thirty-four healthy subjects agreed to participate in the study. One subject was excluded during the examination period because of acute illness on the day of the stress examination. Low amounts of saliva in some samples further reduced the available number of data points for cortisol (AUC_{tG, MIST-S; N = 28}). The mean age of participants was M = 24.06 (SD = 4.56, range 19–34) and the mean BMI was M = 23.02 (SD = 2.93, range 18.83–29.05). Depression scores were in a normal range of values (M = 7.84, SD = 4.14, range 2–21). Randomization resulted in two groups, with 16 subjects undergoing the control condition first and 17 subjects undergoing the stress condition first. The two groups did not differ with respect to demographic (BMI, age), physiological, and mood changes during the stress condition (all p = n.s.). Referring to the control condition, the corresponding variables did not differ, with the exception of the wakefulness-tiredness dimension of the MDBF, whereas subjects participating in the control condition in the second session became less tired compared to subjects who started with the control condition (t_{131} = −2.93, p = .006). The randomization was therefore successful.

Responses to the Stress Task
All 33 subjects completed all of the three blocks of the MIST-S and MIST-C.

Cortisol Response
Cortisol levels significantly increased during the stress condition as compared to the control condition (interaction condition by time: F(2.27/56.69) = 20.94, p < .001; partial eta^2 = .46; Figure 1A). Differences in AUC values further supported this finding (main effect of condition: Cortisol-AUC_{tG; 125} = −4.98, p < .001; Cortisol-AUC_{tI; 125} = −5.58, p < .001). Post hoc testing separately in each condition revealed a significant increase over time during the MIST-S (main effect of time: F(2.02/54.51) = 16.59, p < .001), and a significant decrease during the MIST-C, which is typical for the circadian course of cortisol (main effect of time: F(1.83/45.65) = 24.55; p < .001). At the peak response of cortisol 20 min after task completion (Figure 1A; min. 40), the MIST-S compared to the MIST-C resulted in a 2.64 times higher value.

Electrophysiological Responses
HR significantly increased during the stress condition as compared to the control condition (interaction condition by time: F(2.13/68.00) = 39.34, p < .001, partial eta^2 = .55; Figure 1B). Furthermore, this finding was supported by differences in AUC values (main effect of condition: HR-AUC_{tG; 125} = −3.53, p = .001; HR-AUC_{tI; 125} = −5.33, p < .001). Notably, significant alterations were found in both conditions (main effect of time: MIST-S: F(2.10/ 67.06) = 42.10, p < .001; MIST-C: F(3.15/100.69) = 4.46, p < .005). In contrast to HR, RSA_{TR} levels significantly decreased during the MIST-S as compared to the MIST-C (interaction of condition by time: F(3.14/97.21) = 10.41, p < .001; partial eta^2 = .25; Figure 1C), AUC measures, however, did not differ between conditions (main effect of condition: RSA_{TR-AUC}_{tG; 31.00} = 1.53, p = .23; RSA_{TR-AUC}_{tI; 31.00} = 2.08, p = .16). A significant decrease of RSA_{TR} was found during the stress condition (main effect of time: F(3.15/97.62) = 10.71, p < .001), while no significant alteration was found during the control condition (main effect of time: F(3.23/100.17) = 1.64, p = .18).

Mood Responses
ANOVA revealed a significant difference in terms of mood (MDBF) between MIST-S and MIST-C (interaction condition by time: F(1.00/32.00) = 25.51, p < .001, partial eta^2 = .44; Table 1), with a significant worsening in mood during the stress (main effect of time: F(1.00/32.00) = 27.80, p < .001) but not the control condition (main effect of time: F(1.00/32.00) = .52, p = .48), as revealed by post hoc testing.
Similarly, ANOVAs revealed a significant difference in calmness (MDBF) between the two conditions (interaction condition by time: F(1.00/32.00) = 52.30, p < .001, partial etα² = .62), due to a significant decrease in calmness during the stress (main effect of time: F(1.00/32.00) = 64.90, p < .001) but not the control condition (main effect of time: F(1.00/3.00) = 1.27, p = .27).

With regard to wakefulness (MDBF), the two conditions differed significantly (interaction condition by time: F(1.00/31.00) = 4.41, p = .044, partial etα² = .12), although post hoc testing was unable to reveal either the MIST-S (main effect of time: F(1.00/32.00) = 1.71, p = .20) or the MIST-C (main effect of time: F(1.00/31.00) = 2.06, p = .16) to alter rated wakefulness.

Finally, the item feeling stressed (VAS) revealed a significant interaction effect (interaction condition by time: F(1.00/32) = 25.97, p < .001, partial etα² = .45), with a significant increase during the MIST-S (main effect of time: F(1.00/32) = 28.53, p < .001), but not during the MIST-C (main effect of time: F(1.00/32) = 3.25, p = .08).

Responses to the Cold Face Test

The CFT induced a significant decrease in HR (main effect of time: F(2.17/69.48) = 39.94, p < .001, partial etα² = .56) and, accordingly, a significant increase in RSA TR (main effect of time: F(2.40/ 74.53) = 10.73, p < .001, partial etα² = .26), indicating a successful cardiopulmonary stimulation. The bradycardia during the CFT peaked after M(CFT latency) = 29.85 sec (SD = 19.95 sec) and resulted in a maximum decrease of M(CFTmax) = 24.80% (SD = 7.64%). The cardiopulmonary changes during the CFT could not be attributed to subjective mood or pain changes, which did not alter significantly (main effect of time: VAS stressed: t32 = .48, p = .63; VAS exhausted: t32 = .91, p = .37; VAS nauseated: t32 = 1.47, p = .15; VAS alleviated: t32 = .73, p = .47; VAS good humoured: t32 = -.05, p = .96; VAS pain: t32 = -.09, p = .93).

Associations Between Vagal and HPA Function

**Vagal baseline.** Bivariate correlations with baseline of HR and RSA TR revealed no significant relation to cortisol measured under baseline and stress conditions (Table 2).

**Stress-induced vagal inhibition.** Similar to vagal baseline, partial correlations controlling for fb changes during the MIST-S revealed no significant association between AUC (MIST-S) of RSA TR and baseline or AUC (MIST-S) of cortisol (Table 2).

**CFT-induced vagal stimulation.** Partial correlations controlling for the AUC of fb during the CFT showed no significant association between the AUC of RSA TR during the CFT and the baseline or AUC of cortisol during the MIST-S (Table 2). Similarly, bivariate correlations with CFTmax showed no relation to cortisol. In contrast, bivariate correlations with CFT latency revealed a significant positive association with AUC (MIST-S) of cortisol, but not with baseline. Subjects with longer latency until peak bradycardia showed a higher stress response of cortisol (Figure 2A). Based on the analysis of correlation, we conducted a linear regression analysis

| Table 1. Paired Student’s t-test Between MIST-S and MIST-C Induced Changes in the Three Dimensions ‘Mood,’ ‘Calmness,’ and ‘Wakefulness’ of the MDBF, and the Visual Analog Scale ‘Stressed’ |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Diff** | **MIST-C (SD)** | **Diff** | **MIST-S (SD)** | **Note** |
| Mood | .21 (1.69) | -3.88*** (4.22) | **Note:** Values are means ± standard deviations. DiffMIST-S = values immediately after MIST-S minus baseline values; DiffMIST-C = values immediately after MIST-C minus baseline values. *p < .05, **p < .001 |
| Calmness | .42 (2.17) | -4.70*** (3.35) |
| Wakefulness | .84 (3.32) | -3.73 (1.99) |
| Stressed | -.59 (1.89) | 2.93*** (3.15) |

| Table 2. Correlations of Measures of Vagal and Cortisol (Re-)Activity During Different Conditions, Controlling for fb Alterations, Where Appropriate |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Cortisol measures** | **Vagal function** | **Vagal parameters** | **Baseline** | **AUC (MIST-S)** |
| Mood | RSA TR: baseline | .164 | .017 | RSA TR: baseline |
| Calmness | RSA TR: baseline | .094 | .034 | RSA TR: baseline |
| -Inhibition | RSA TR: AUC (MIST-S) | -.050 | -.217 | RSA TR: AUC (MIST-S) |
| Stimulation | RSA TR: AUC (CFT) | -.171 | .015 | RSA TR: AUC (CFT) |
| HR | RSA TR: AUC (CFT) | .090 | -.032 | RSA TR: AUC (CFT) |
| CFT latency | RSA TR: AUC (MIST-S) | -.024 | -.424* | RSA TR: AUC (MIST-S) |

**Note:** Values are correlational coefficients r. *p < .05.
with CFT_latency as independent variable and AUC(MIST-S) of cortisol as dependent variable. CFT_latency explained 17.9% of total variance in AUC(MIST-S) of cortisol (Table 3).

### Table 3. Hierarchical Regression Analyses for Associations Between CFT_latency and Stress Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables entered</th>
<th>Standardized coefficient β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>R² change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC(MIST-S) of cortisol</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT_latency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(MIST-S) of HR</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT_latency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(MIST-S) of RSA</td>
<td>−.54</td>
<td>−3.49</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT_latency</td>
<td>−.01</td>
<td>−.08</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff(MIST-S) in mood</td>
<td>−.60</td>
<td>−4.22</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT_latency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff(MIST-S) in calmness</td>
<td>−.20</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT_latency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff(MIST-S) in wakefulness</td>
<td>−.20</td>
<td>−1.12</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT_latency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff(MIST-S) in feeling stressed</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: We controlled for fb alterations where appropriate. Diff(MIST-S) = area under the curve with respect to increase during the stress condition; CFT_latency = time from CFT onset until maximum bradycardia.

#### Associations Between CFT latency and Cardiopulmonary and Psychological Stress Response

After the first step identifying CFT latency to be correlated with HPA function, in a second step, we were interested in identifying stress responses associated with CFT latency. Linear regression analyses were conducted entering CFT latency as independent variable, and AUC₁ of HR, AUC₁ of RSA_TR, or alterations in psychological measures in response to the MIST-S as dependent variables. AUC(MIST-S) of fb was controlled for when examining AUC(MIST-S) of RSA_TR. CFT latency was not a significant predictor of the AUC₁ of HR and RSA_TR in response to the MIST-S (Table 3). In contrast, CFT latency as independent variables explained 36.5% of total variance in the stress response of mood. Therefore, longer latency until peak bradycardia was associated with worse mood after the MIST-S (Figure 2B).

### Discussion

The main objective of the present study was to test the association between the function of the VN and the HPA axis, with a special focus on the reactivity of the two systems. In this context, longer latency to peak bradycardia during the CFT was associated with higher cortisol and more negative mood response to the stress task, while smaller maximal bradycardia was associated with more tiredness in response to the stress task. Furthermore, for the first time, the MIST-S was demonstrated to be an effective stress test for inducing a strong vagal inhibition, therefore increasing its validity to induce a multidimensional stress response.

Our findings replicate those of prior investigations showing an increase in cortisol concentration in response to the MIST-S, indicating an effective stress-induced stimulation of the HPA axis (Dedovic et al., 2005; Pruessner, Dedovic, Khalili-Mahani, Engert, Pruessner, et al., 2008; Soliman, O’Driscoll, Pruessner, Holahan, Boileau, Gagnon, & Dagher, 2008). Furthermore, HR increased continuously throughout the stress condition, suggesting a successive increase in stress load from block to block, and recovered immediately after termination of the MIST-S. This is the first study to examine the effects of the MIST-S on the PSNS. RSA_TR decreased during the stress task, with a peak depression during the final block, indicating an effective stress-induced inhibition of the VN. Additionally, we found a significant increase in rated stress and a significant decrease in mood, wakefulness, and calmness ratings after the MIST-S, corresponding to prior studies (Pruessner, Champagne, Meaney, & Dagher, 2004; Soliman et al., 2008).

The primary aim of the current study was to investigate the association between the function of the VN and the HPA axis. Therefore, in addition to baseline and stress-induced inhibition or stimulation parameters, the CFT was conducted to further collect data on induced stimulation of the VN. An inhibiting role of the VN on the HPA axis can be assumed (Thayer & Sternberg, 2006). Nevertheless, evidence examining the relation of HPA axis and vagal function is contradictory, as measured by cortisol and HRV associations.

In the present study, we found a reduced cortisol response elicited by the MIST-S to be associated with a faster achievement of peak bradycardia (i.e., short CFT latency), indicating an inverse relationship between the function of the VN and the HPA axis. This inverse association is supported by Johnsen et al. (2002), who reported that a group of healthy men with higher baseline HRV (median split) showed lower levels of cortisol in response to stressful cognitive tasks compared to a group with lower baseline HRV. Similarly, Doussard-Roosevelt et al. (2003) found an inverse relationship between stress responses of RSA and cortisol to negative affect tasks in kindergarten children. Thus, a higher decrease in RSA was associated with a higher increase in cortisol in response to the emotional tasks. Further support for an inverse relation between the two systems is reported by Thayer et al. (2006). In a large sample of healthy men, they found a weak inverse relationship between HRV assessed over repeated hours (<24 h) and overnight urinary cortisol after a working day, variables that therefore possibly represent everyday-life-stress measurement (e.g., work-related stress). Hence, subjects with higher HRV revealed lower urinary cortisol levels.

In the present study, we found no significant correlation between the baseline or the AUC(MIST-S) of RSA_TR and the AUC(MIST-S) of cortisol. This is in line with most reports (Aliemus et al., 2001; Cacioppo et al., 1995; Dedovic et al., 2005; Gunnar et al., 1995; Heilman et al., 2008), which also found no relation between HRV and cortisol in response to different stressors (social, psychological, physical stress tasks).

One study (Gunnar et al., 1995), even found a significant positive association between baseline RSA and stress response of cortisol, with newborns with higher baseline levels of RSA showing higher cortisol levels in response to the stress task. The authors argued, referring to Porges (1991), that higher vagal tone should show greater physiological responses to stressors. In addition, we found no association between baseline levels of RSA_TR and cortisol, which is also in line with other studies (Gunnar et al., 1995; Johnsen et al., 2002).

Taken together, the rarely reported association between HRV and cortisol is mostly limited to stress-induced alterations, especially of the HPA axis. While we were unable to support this association, we found a strong association between CFT latency as an indicator of the CFT-induced stimulation of the VN and cortisol stress response. There are different possible explanations...
for this inconsistency. First, several studies examining associations between both HPA axis and vagal function under stress reveal no significant alterations of either cortisol or HRV in response to the applied stress task (e.g., Altemus et al., 2001; Heilman et al., 2008). Second, HRV possesses huge interindividual variations (e.g., Grossman & Taylor, 2007), and is therefore less adequately applicable for interindividual comparisons, but is better for intrindividual comparisons. Therefore, the CFT-induced vagal stimulation response might be more adequate for interindividual comparisons. Third, due to its high adaptation speed to changing demands, vagal contribution in general is of highest importance at the beginning of a demand, since it is the first and fastest way to adapt to a stimulus (Porges, 2001). This is especially true for the CFT, which imitates the diving reflex by directly provoking a lowering in heart activity through trigeminal-vagal stimulation. Therefore, the latency until peak bradycardia during the CFT might be a better and more sensitive index of vagal participation or influence than the more raw estimation of vagal function estimated by baseline or changes of averaged levels of RSA<sub>TR</sub>.

Further indirect support for an inverse relationship between the function of the VN and the HPA axis, but without examining cortisol and cardiopulmonary data concomitantly, is provided by O’Keane, Dinan, Scott, and Corcoran (2005), who examined responses to the corticotropin-releasing-hormone (CRH) challenge test in patients with chronic depression before and after three months of treatment with vagus nerve stimulation (VNS), an invasive treatment shown to have good effects in treatment-resistant depression (Rush et al., 2000). They reported significantly increased responses of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and cortisol before treatment compared to intraindividual posttreatment responses, and in comparison to a control group. The negative association might point to bidirectional connections between vagal nuclei in the medulla oblongata and the hypothalamus (Benarroch, 1997; Palkovits, 1999). Furthermore, evidence from imaging studies supports interconnections between CAN structures, the hypothalamus, and the vagus nerve. For example, the salivary cortisol in response to stress has been shown to be positively associated with hypothalamic activity (Ahls, Furmark, Michelgärd, Längström, Appel, et al., 2006), and negatively correlated with medial PFC (Ahls et al., 2006; Kern, Oakes, Stone, McAuliff, Kirschbaum, & Davidson, 2008), suggesting a possible phasic loss of inhibitory control of the medial PFC over subcortical regions during stress. Similarly, under stressful conditions, associations between High Frequency (HF) HRV (Task Force, 1996) as an index of cardiovagal activity and the activity of several structures of the CAN were demonstrated (Gianaros, Van Der Veen, & Jennings, 2004; Lane, McRae, Reiman, Chen, Ahern, & Thayer, 2009; Matthews, Paulus, Simmons, Nelesen, & Dimsdale, 2004).

Analyses of the role of vagal function during acute stress revealed a protective role not only with regard to cortisol but also to mood. Subjects with a longer latency to peak bradycardia during the CFT showed a more negative affect in response to the stressor. This is in line with Ingjaldsson, Luber, and Thayer (2003), who found a negative correlation of HRV with negative mood but a positive correlation of HRV with positive mood in a population of alcoholics and healthy controls (Ingjaldsson et al., 2003). Furthermore, we found that subjects with smaller relative bradycardia (i.e., lower CFT<sub>max</sub> values) were more tired after the stress task compared to subjects with stronger bradycardia (i.e., higher CFT<sub>max</sub> values). This is in line with the reported positive association between HRV and efficient attentional regulation (Johnsen, Thayer, Laberg, Wormnes, Raadal, et al., 2003), which might offer an explanation for the present findings. Additional support for this interpretation is provided by the findings of an inverse course of effort and HRV in burnout patients and healthy controls during a repeated Stroop color word task over one day (Zanstra, Schellenkens, Schaap, & Kooistra, 2006). Controls showed a decrease in HRV and effort over the day, while at the same time, burnout patients revealed an increase in HRV, effort, and tiredness.

We found no significant association between the CFT parameters and the cardiopulmonary stress response. One possible explanation could relate to the high interindividual differences in RSA<sub>TR</sub> mentioned previously (Grossman & Taylor, 2007). Furthermore, the CFT parameters indicate a short-term dynamic vagal characteristic. This characteristic might have more influence on the HPA axis response, while vagal baseline, as a less dynamic characteristic of the vagus nerve, was more predictive of the AUC<sub>(MIST-SI)</sub> of RSA<sub>TR</sub> (data not shown). Referring to HR, the AUC<sub>(MIST-SI)</sub> of RSA<sub>TR</sub> was negatively associated with the AUC<sub>(MIST-SI)</sub> of HR (data not shown). Therefore, this finding indicates that the HR response might be more dependent on an acute, concomitant vagal response.

With regard to the CFT, it is proposed as a method to test vagal function (Khurana & Wu, 2006). Although, unfortunately, no study has examined the neural associations of latency and maximum bradycardia, the CFT was found to elicit several activity alterations in different CAN structures (Brown, Sanya, & Hilz, 2003; Harper, Macey, Henderson, Woo, Macey, et al., 2003). Interestingly, low vagal response during the CFT was associated with depressed mood (Hughes & Stoney, 2000), indicating an impaired vagal regulation in depression, which is often reported to show increased cortisol concentrations (Wong, Kling, Munson, Listwak, Licinio, et al., 2000) and decreased vagal tone (van der Kooy, van Hout, van Marwijk, de Haan, Stehouwer, & Beekman, 2006). Similar results were found for hostility (Ruiz, Uchino, & Smith, 2006), while an inverse association between anger control and cortisol reactivity was also determined (Gouin, Kiecolt-Glaser, Malarkay, & Glaser, 2008). Furthermore, a missed vagal responsiveness to mental challenge was found in posttraumatic stress disorder (Sahar, Shalev, & Porges, 2001), a disorder which shows an attenuated cortisol response to acute stress (MacMillan, Georgiades, Duku, Steiner, Niec, et al., 2009).

Since a faster response during the CFT is associated with a reduced cortisol response to stress, paralleled by better mood, it seems appealing to interpret a fast vagal response as health-protective. Explanations for a beneficial interpretation of the results is provided by the function of the diving reflex per se, which elicits bradycardia as a protective strategy to conserve oxygen (Rozloznik, Paton, & Dutschmann, 2009), the cardioprotective role of vagal activity (Fallen, 2005), the association between lower vagal activity and morbidity (Thayer & Brosschot, 2005; Thayer & Lane, 2007), and the therapeutic effects of VNS in therapy-resistant patients (Milby, Halpern, & Baltuch, 2008). Furthermore, the protective interpretation of vagal functionality during stress is in line with the assumption of vagal activity as being a type of resource when demands of the environment request emotional regulation (Thayer & Lane, 2009). Evidence for the interpretation of the VN as a resource was provided among others by Ruiz-Padial, Sollers, Vila, and Thayer (2003), who demonstrated a more differentiated emotional startle...
response to emotional pictures in subjects with high HRV compared to the group with low HRV. Furthermore, HRV was found to be positively associated with positive mood and negatively associated with negative mood (Ingjalddson et al., 2003).

Although our hypotheses were mostly supported, the current study holds a number of limitations. First, we examined a small sample size, including only healthy and medication-free males. Therefore, results are restricted to a group of healthy, well-educated, young men and cannot be generalized to women or the general population. Moreover, the CFT in this study was used to determine vagal function (i.e., acute vagal stimulation), suggested by us to be indicative of the flexibility of the whole CAN. It should be mentioned on a critical note that CFT responses are initially mediated by the trigeminal nerve, and, therefore, responses could also be influenced by the latter responsiveness. Despite these limitations, however, our study does have a number of methodological strong points. First, we examined several vagal characteristics by examining not only vagal baseline, but also reactivity to the CFT (acute vagal stimulation) and the MIST-S (acute vagal inhibition). Second, by favoring the MIST-S over other strong stressors known to induce a multidimensional stress response (e.g., Trier Social Stress Test, TSST; Kirschbaum, Pirke, & Hellhammer, 1993), several potentially disruptive factors such as postural changes or walking (Chan, Lin, Chao, & Lin, 2007; Nater, La Marca, Florin, Moses, Langhans, Koller, & Ehlel, 2006), and vocalization (Bernardi, Wdowczyk-Szulc, Valenti, Castoldi, Passino, et al., 2000; Sloan, Korten, & Myers, 1991) were controlled for. Therefore, the MIST-S seems particularly useful to investigate the relationship between different response variables that are not equally susceptible to the potentially disruptive factors. Notably, additional controlling for credibility of the stress task (“How much do you believe that your bad performance was due to a bug in the program?”; 10-point Likert scale, distributed at the end of the stress examination) did not significantly change any of the reported associations.

In summary, our results underline the validity of the MIST-S to provoke a multidimensional stress response including vagal inhibition. The inverse relationship between the vagal function measured during the CFT and stress responses of cortisol and mood support the assumption that the HPA axis plays an important role linking lower vagal function and morbidity. Furthermore, the CFT might be applied as an index to examine biopsychological stress reactivity of individuals. Moreover, we speculate that vagal baseline might reflect the tonic state of the interconnected CAN, while the CFT response might reflect the dynamic capacity of the organism to respond to rapidly changing environmental demands. Therefore, the CFT might be an interesting addition in the measurement of vagal function. However, the mechanisms underlying latency of bradycardia during the CFT are not entirely understood, and, therefore, further research is needed. The association between CFT-induced vagal stimulation and other HPA axis alterations, e.g., in response to other stressors or during awakening or the whole day, should be examined in future studies. Moreover, the reported association should be examined in subjects with (sub-)clinical somatic and mental disorders in prospective studies in order to gain further insight into the role of the HPA axis linking lower vagal function with morbidity.
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