Aqueous Poly(arylacetylene) Dispersions

Johannes Huber and Stefan Mecking*

Chair of Chemical Materials Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Konstanz,
Universitätsstrasse 10, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany

Received June 18, 2010; Revised Manuscript Received September 6, 2010

ABSTRACT: Aqueous poly(phenylacetylene) dispersions were obtained by catalytic polymerization in emulsion with a phosphine modified Pd(II) catalyst. A range of mono- and bidentate phosphines were screened. A $t\text{Bu}_2\text{P}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{P}t\text{Bu}_2$ modified catalyst exhibits very high rates up to $2 \times 10^5 \text{ TO h}^{-1}$ (TO = moles of monomer converted per mole of metal present in the reaction mixture) in the preparation of colloiddally stable poly(phenylacetylene) dispersions. Polymerization in miniemulsion afforded dispersions with up to 36 wt % solids content and average particle sizes of ca. 130 nm. From microemulsions dispersions with ca. 25 nm particle size were obtained.

Introduction

Polymer dispersions have been studied intensely from a fundamental as well as applications oriented perspective.^{1,2} Thus, aqueous polymer dispersions are applied on a large scale in environmentally benign coatings and paints. By comparison to processing from solution in organic solvents, polymer dispersions can be beneficial for sensitive substrates and for the generation of nanocomposites.^{1,3} Moreover, otherwise unprocessable insoluble and intractable polymers can be processed from dispersions.⁴ Polymer dispersions are prepared most often by free-radical emulsion polymerization in a nonsolvent for the polymer, usually water.^{5,6} In recent years, controlled and nonradical polymerization routes to aqueous polymer dispersions have found increased interest.^{7–13} Coordination polymerizations in emulsion can offer access to nanoparticles of polymers with microstructures inaccessible by other polymerization mechanisms.¹⁴ Also, in terms of the monomers polymerizable, coordination polymerization is largely complementary to free-radical polymerization.

The preparation and properties of substituted polyacetylenes have received considerable attention.^{15,16} Their molecular structure and physical properties, which comprise e.g. luminescence and liquid crystallinity, are influenced by the rigidity of the polyacetylene backbone which again is altered by the side-chain substituents.¹⁷ This is reflected in the polymer chain structure, e.g., in helicity.

Acetylene and substituted acetylenes can be polymerized by insertion polymerization or by metathesis polymerization.^{15,16} The water sensitivity of common catalysts based on titanium (insertion) and tungsten (metathesis) prohibits their use in aqueous systems. Insertion polymerization of substituted acetylenes in biphasic aqueous systems has been reported, most prominently with Rh catalysts. Catalyst productivities were moderate, with typically 10^3 TO (TO = turnover, moles of substrate converted per mole of metal present in the reaction mixture).^{18,19} Polymerization of alkylacetylenes substituted with chiral substituents in aqueous surfactant solution containing dimethylformamide and SDS surfactant by a hydrophobic rhodium catalyst yielded dispersions of helical, optically active polymers. Productivities were limited, and a ratio of monomer:Rh of 50 was employed. Average particle sizes observed by TEM of 70–100 nm are

relatively large given the large amount of surfactant employed, which exceeds the amount of monomer. The polymers formed have molecular weights around $M_n 2 \times 10^4 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, with narrow distributions, as determined by GPC in THF vs polystyrene standards.²⁰ Metathesis cyclopolymerization of 1,6-heptadiynes, $\text{HCCCH}_2\text{C}(\text{CO}_2\text{R})_2\text{CH}_2\text{CCH}$, with ruthenium alkylidenes bound to specific block copolymers has been reported to afford aqueous dispersions of the resulting polymers with productivities of 10^2 TO .²¹ Because of the polymerization mechanism, by contrast to acyclic polymers from insertion polymerization of monofunctional substituted acetylenes, in these polymers every other backbone double bond is a member of a five-ring.

Cationic palladium complexes are well-known to copolymerize olefins with carbon monoxide by an insertion mechanism,²² also in aqueous systems,²³ and they have been noted to polymerize substituted acetylenes in nonaqueous systems.²⁴ On the other hand, mechanistic studies of acetylene insertion in cationic palladium complexes with bidentate nitrogen ligands $[(\text{N}^-\text{N})\text{Pd}(\text{CH}_3)(\text{L})]^+$ revealed that after insertion of three acetylene molecules stable, unreactive π -allyl complexes were formed.²⁵

We now give a first full account on the preparation of poly(arylacetylene) dispersions by polymerization in emulsion, utilizing very active phosphine-modified Pd(II) catalysts.²⁶

Results and Discussion

Catalyst Screening. A range of mono- and bidentate phosphines varying in chelate ring size, steric bulk at the phosphorus atoms, and their electronic properties were screened for their ability to form an active Pd(II) catalyst for phenylacetylene polymerization (Tables 1 and 2). These studies were carried out in single phase homogeneous methanol solutions. By comparison to multiphase aqueous systems, this reduces the number of parameters potentially influencing the experiments such as droplet sizes, the surfactant concentration, or emulsion preparation procedure. In-situ catalyst systems $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2/\text{phosphine}/\text{methanesulfonic acid}$ were employed.²² Concerning the formation of the active species, mechanistic studies on carbonylation reactions with such catalysts suggest that the role of the acid is protonation of the acetate ligands to acetic acid to generate a weakly coordinated species $(\text{P}^-\text{P})\text{Pd}^{2+}$.²² Such species can react with methanol to afford a metal hydride,²⁷ which promotes polymerization.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: stefan.mecking@uni-konstanz.de.

Table 1. Phenylacetylene Polymerization with Monophosphine Modified Catalysts^a

entry	phosphine	phosphorus/ palladium ^b	conversion/ yield (%)	TON ^c
1 1	<i>HPtBu</i> ₂	6:1	0	0
		2:1	2	15
		1:1	1	13
1 2	<i>MePtBu</i> ₂	6:1	0	2
		2:1	1	10
1 3	<i>PnBu</i> ₃	6:1	3	29
		1:1	3	26
1 4	<i>PnOct</i> ₃	6:1	3	27
		2:1	3	30
		1:1	1	10
1 5	<i>PPh</i> ₃	6:1	0	0
		2:1	7	63
		1:1	1	7
1 6	<i>PCy</i> ₃	6:1	0	4
		2:1	1	5
		1:1	1	10

^a Polymerization at 25°C overnight. 50 μmol of Pd(OAc)₂, 1 drop of methanesulfonic acid, 5.0 mL of phenylacetylene (46 mmol) in 25 mL of methanol. ^b Molar ratio. ^c TON turnover number moles of reacted monomer per mole of Pd.

Table 2. Phenylacetylene Polymerization with Diphosphine Modified Catalysts^a

entry	diphosphine	phosphorus/ palladium ^b	conversion/ yield (%)	TON ^c
2 1	<i>tBu</i> ₂ P(CH ₂) ₃ P <i>tBu</i> ₂	6:1	100	916 ^d
		2:1	100	909 ^d
2 2	<i>tBu</i> ₂ P(CH ₂) ₄ P <i>tBu</i> ₂	6:1	7	65
		2:1	4	32
2 3	<i>H</i> ₂ P(CH ₂) ₃ P <i>H</i> ₂	6:1	0	0
		2:1	0	0
2 4	<i>Ph</i> ₂ PCH ₂ P <i>Ph</i> ₂	6:1	0	0
		2:1	3	23
2 5	<i>Ph</i> ₂ P(CH ₂) ₂ P <i>Ph</i> ₂	6:1	0	0
		2:1	1	7
2 6	<i>Ph</i> ₂ P(CH ₂) ₃ P <i>Ph</i> ₂	6:1	0	0
		2:1	1	12
2 7	<i>nHex</i> ₂ P(CH ₂) ₃ P <i>nHex</i> ₂	6:1	0	0
		2:1	0	0
2 8	<i>Cy</i> ₂ P(CH ₂) ₃ P <i>Cy</i> ₂	6:1	0	2
		2:1	1	12
2 9	<i>tBu</i> ₂ PCH ₂ (<i>o</i> -C ₆ H ₄)CH ₂ P <i>tBu</i> ₂	6:1	96	877 ^d
		2:1	49	449

^a Polymerization at 25 °C overnight. 50 μmol of Pd(OAc)₂, 1 drop of methanesulfonic acid, 5.0 mL of phenylacetylene (46 mmol) in 25 mL of methanol. ^b Molar ratio. ^c TON turnover number moles of reacted monomer per mole of Pd. ^d Limited by quantitative conversion.

A number of monophosphines were found to afford active catalysts of phenylacetylene, albeit these display moderate rates only (Table 1).

More active catalysts were obtained with appropriate diphosphines (Table 2). Various diphosphines with diaryl-alkyl or trialkyl substitution of the phosphorus donor with primary or secondary alkyl groups afforded catalysts with very low activities at the most (entries 2-3 to 2-8). Only diphosphines substituted with *tert*-butyl groups afforded very active catalysts for phenylacetylene polymerization (entries 2-1, 2-2, and 2-9). This is in agreement with Drent and Pello's previous notation that the catalyst system with *t*Bu₂P(CH₂)₃P*t*Bu₂ as a phosphine ligand is highly active for polymerization of acetylenes.^{24a}

The steric bulk of phosphane ligands can be described by Tolman's cone angle. The Tolman cone angles for Ph₂P-(CH₂)_{*n*}PPh₂ (*n* = 1–3; entries 4–6 in Table 2) are 121°, 125°, and 127°, respectively. For Et₂P(CH₂)₂PEt₂ and Cy₂P-(CH₂)₂PCy₂, which should not differ substantially in steric

bulk from the corresponding C₃-bridged *n*-hexyl- and cyclohexyl-substituted diphosphines utilized in this work (entries 2-7 and 2-8), cone angles of 114° and 142°, respectively, have been determined. The extreme steric bulk of the *tert*-butyl group is demonstrated by a cone angle of 182° for *t*Bu₃ vs 145° for PPh₃ and 170° for PCy₃.²⁸ From the comparison of the polymerization results with trialkyl-substituted diphosphines R₂P(CH₂)₃PR₂ (R = *n*-hexyl, Cy, *t*Bu) it appears that a high steric bulk of R is decisive for catalyst performance.

Diphosphines *t*Bu₂P-X-P*t*Bu₂ (X = (CH₂)₃, (CH₂)₄, CH₂(*o*-C₆H₄)CH₂) do not differ substantially in the steric bulk or electronic properties of the phosphorus donors, but in the chelate ring size formed upon bidentate, chelating coordination to a metal center. The phosphane with the propan-1,3-diyl backbone should form more stable six-membered chelate complexes vs seven-membered chelates with the butan-1,4-diyl backbone. The large difference in catalytic properties observed with these two diphosphines suggests that a chelating bidentate coordination mode indeed occurs during catalysis. This is in agreement with the high catalyst activity also observed for the *o*-xylene-α,α'-diyl backbone which should afford a seven-membered, but relatively stable, chelate due to the stiff backbone and spacial arrangement of the P donors.

Polymerization of phenylacetylene in the presence of 4 vol % of water under conditions otherwise identical to entry 2-1 also resulted in complete monomer conversion, indicating that the catalyst is not particularly sensitive toward water.

An excess of phosphine ligand (P:Pd = 6:1) reduces catalyst activity in all cases except for the *tert*-butyl-substituted phosphines. Phosphines coordinate relatively strongly and in excess will block coordination sites for the substrates. The different behavior of the *tert*-butyl-substituted phosphines observed may be due to coordination being hindered to a certain extent by the very bulky substitution of the phosphorus donors. Competitive protonation of the electron-rich phosphine by the acidic catalyst component may also play a role.²⁹

Poly(phenylacetylene) Dispersions. A high degree of dispersion of the reaction mixture is a prerequisite for the preparation of submicrometer particle dispersions. In the case of catalytic polymerization with lipophilic catalyst precursors, this can be achieved by dispersing the catalyst precursor as a solution in the organic phase of an aqueous mini- or microemulsion.³⁰ The organic phase can be a small amount of added organic solvent, e.g., toluene, or in reactions of monomers which are liquid under reaction conditions also the neat monomer. In the latter case, care must be taken that the polymerization only starts after the emulsification procedure. Otherwise, large colloidal unstable particles will form. A mixture of an aqueous SDS solution with a solution of Pd(OAc)₂ and *t*Bu₂P(CH₂)₃P*t*Bu₂ in phenylacetylene was ultrasonicated to form a miniemulsion. Subsequent addition of a drop of methanesulfonic acid triggered the polymerization, as evidenced by a color change of the emulsion to deep yellow, and the onset of heat evolution in experiments with higher monomer volumes and catalyst loadings (Table 3).

Yellow poly(phenylacetylene) dispersions, with a slight orange touch at higher solids contents, are formed. Even at a low catalyst loading of 0.4 μmol (entry 3-6) complete monomer conversion was observed. This corresponds to a productivity of 2 × 10⁵ TO in a 1 h polymerization experiment. Polymer molecular weights are chain transfer controlled (entries 3-2 to 3-6). Dispersions of up to 36 wt % polymer solids content were prepared. Volume average particle sizes are 110–160 nm, as determined by DLS, depending on the monomer volume portion. The dispersions are colloidal

stable for more than a year, as evidenced by no significant change in particle size occurring. TEM images demonstrate the poly(phenylacetylene) particles to be spherical (Figure 1).

The polymerization of 3-methoxy-4-*n*-hexyloxyphenylacetylene (MHPA), 1-naphthylacetylene (NA), and 9-anthracenylacetylene (AA) was studied (Table 4). Polymerizations were carried out at 60 °C. At this temperature all monomers are liquid. The reactivity of the catalyst system employed toward these monomers appears to be lower by comparison to phenylacetylene (PA). While the latter was converted completely at a catalyst loading of 0.2 μmol under the conditions studied (entry 4-1), MHPA and NA were only converted to about 15%. However, complete conversion of these monomers was achieved at a catalyst loading of 2 μmol ,

Table 3. Polymerization of Phenylacetylene in Monomer Miniemulsions^a

entry	<i>n</i> (Pd) (μmol)	polymer solids content (%)	particle size ^b (nm)	PDI	molecular weight ^c (M_w)	M_w/M_n
3 1	30	36	142	0.18		
3 2	20	20	160	0.13	4.2×10^4	4.2
3 3	4.0	19	137	0.12	3.5×10^4	3.8
3 4	2.0	18	117	0.12	3.9×10^4	4.3
3 5	1.0	17	114	0.14	3.5×10^4	4.3
3 6	0.4	17	112	0.18	3.1×10^4	4.6

^a Polymerization at room temperature, 1 h polymerization time. Pd(OAc)₂/t Bu₂P(CH₂)₃P t Bu₂ 1:3 molar ratio. Total volume of reaction mixture: 50 mL; ca. 9 g of phenylacetylene (entry 3 1: 18 g); 1% aqueous SDS solution. ^b Volume average particle size determined by DLS. ^c Molecular weights determined by GPC in THF vs linear polystyrene standards.

Figure 1. TEM image of poly(phenylacetylene) particles from polymerization in miniemulsion (entry 3 4; number average diameter determined: 57 nm).

corresponding to a catalyst productivity of 5.4×10^3 TO (MHPA) and 8.2×10^4 TO (NA). Whereas the particle size distribution of poly(phenylacetylene) dispersions was monomodal (131 nm volume average size), the particle size distributions of the poly-MHPA and poly-NA dispersions were bimodal, as determined by the DLS method employed, when undiluted monomers were used (entries 4-2 to 4-5). This is due to the higher viscosity of the monomers MHPA and NA, which hampers the generation of uniform small droplets in the miniemulsification step, as concluded from polymerizations of emulsions of MHPA and NA monomers diluted with hexane, which resulted in monomodal dispersions (entries 4-7 and 4-8). For the poly-MHPA from entry 4-3, a molecular weight of $M_w = 1.2 \times 10^5$ (M_w/M_n 3.6) was determined.³¹

Microemulsions represent very highly disperse biphasic systems. Free-radical polymerization in microemulsions³² and also catalytic polymerizations³³ can afford polymer particles with sizes as low as 5–10 nm. A microemulsion is a thermodynamically stable mixture of at least two immiscible liquids and a surfactant, which exists in a certain range of compositions. Frequently, an alcohol is added to achieve the microemulsion regime. Microemulsions can possess globular phase structures (oil-in-water, o/w, or water-in-oil, w/o) as well as lamellar or irregular bicontinuous structures.³² Spontaneous formation is an indicator for the existence of a microemulsion.

For the system studied, a suitable composition which is in the microemulsion regime was found to be phenylacetylene: SDS:pentanol:water in a mass ratio of 6:8:4:82. Gentle stirring overnight afforded a transparent microemulsion. Other than miniemulsions, microemulsions are highly dynamic. In order to prevent premature polymerization, the catalyst and monomer must be microemulsified separately. After mixing of the two microemulsions, the oil phases containing the catalyst solution and the monomer can exchange by continuous merging and separation. The equilibrated monomer and catalyst microemulsions were mixed, and polymerization was started by addition of methanesulfonic acid. Even at a low catalyst loading of 0.4 μmol complete monomer conversion was observed, corresponding to a catalyst productivity of 7×10^4 TO in a 1 h experiment. Transparent yellow dispersions with solids contents of ~6% were formed (Table 5). Note that the solids content is limited by the necessity of the initial reaction mixture being in the microemulsion regime. Volume average particle sizes of 25–29 nm were determined by DLS (Figure 2 and Table 5). Particle sizes are largely independent of the catalyst concentration. TEM analysis of the particles (Figure 3) is in agreement with the DLS studies.

As in the polymerization in miniemulsions, molecular weights are controlled by chain transfer (entries 5-1 to 5-5).

“Doped” bulk polyacetylene possesses electrical conductivities of 10^2 – 10^5 S cm⁻¹.³⁴ By comparison to the parent

Table 4. Polymerization Results of Various Arylacetylenes in Aqueous Emulsion^a

entry	monomer	catalyst (μmol)	polymer solids content	particle size ^b	monomer conversion	color of the latex
4 1	PA	0.2	16.5%	131 nm	quantitative	yellow
4 2	MHPA	0.2	2.4%	100/654 nm	15%	yellow
4 3	MHPA	2.0	16.4%	217 nm/1.1 μm	quantitative	yellow
4 4	NA	0.2	2.2%	122 nm/1.0 μm	13%	dark violet
4 5	NA	2.0	16.4%	61 nm/1.1 μm	quantitative	dark violet
4 6	AA	2.0	(coagulated)	615 nm/84 nm	— ^c	dark brown
4 7 ^d	NA	2.0	3.0%	36 nm	quantitative	dark violet
4 8 ^e	MHPA	2.0	6.6%	119 nm	quantitative	yellow

^a Polymerization temperature 60 °C; reaction time 1 h; Pd(OAc)₂/t Bu₂P(CH₂)₃P t Bu₂ 1:3; total volume: 15 mL; 2.5 g of monomer, 150 mg of SDS; 10 min ultrasonication. ^b Volume average particle size determined by DLS; bimodal distributions determined with the method employed for samples 4 2 to 4 5. ^c Coagulated during polymerization, conversion could not be determined due to inclusion of monomer into the insoluble polymer. ^d 0.5 g of monomer in 2.5 g of hexane. ^e 1.0 g monomer in 2 g of hexane.

Table 5. Polymerizations of Phenylacetylene in Aqueous Monomer Microemulsions^a

entry	<i>n</i> (Pd) (μmol)	polymer solids content (%)	particle size ^b (nm)	molecular weight ^c (<i>M_w</i>)	<i>M_w</i> / <i>M_n</i> ^c
5 1	10	5.9	25	5.5×10^3	2.7
5 2	5	6.0	27	3.4×10^4	5.1
5 3	2.5	6.2	27	3.7×10^4	4.5
5 4	1.25	6.0	29	2.6×10^4	5.7
5 5	0.4	5.6	29	3.4×10^4	5.3

^a Polymerization temperature 25 °C; reaction time 1 h; Pd(OAc)₂/ *t*-Bu₂P(CH₂)₃P *t*-Bu₂ 1:3; total volume: 50 mL; 6.0 wt % phenylacetylene, 8.0 wt % SDS, 4.0 wt % pentanol. ^b Volume average particle size determined by DLS. ^c Determined by GPC in THF vs linear polystyrene standards.

Figure 2. DLS trace of a poly(phenylacetylene) dispersion from polymerization in microemulsion (entry 5 3). **Figure 3.** TEM of phenylacetylene particles from polymerization in microemulsion (entry 5 3).

polyacetylene, the introduction of substituents on the main chain strongly reduces conductivity. Conductivities around $10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ were reported for poly(phenylacetylene) treated with iodine.³⁵ Also for the polyphenylacetylenes prepared in this work, conductivities of $3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ were observed on iodine-“doped” compressed pellets. As an illustration of the patterning of these reactive submicrometer particles, the dispersion were printed with an inkjet printer to obtain photo-quality resolution yellow images. Exposure to bromine converts these to black-and-white style pictures due to the unsaturated nature of the polymer (cf. Supporting Information).

Summary and Conclusions

Cationic palladium(II) complexes with a bidentate diphosphine ligand substituted with very bulky *tert*-butyl substituents are highly active for catalytic polymerization of phenylacetylene

in aqueous emulsions. Catalyst productivities of 2×10^5 mol turn-overs were observed. Colloidally stable poly(phenylacetylene) dispersions with polymer solids contents of up to 36 wt % were obtained. With a microemulsion technique very small particles of < 30 nm size are accessible. Substituted phenylacetylenes were also amenable to polymerization to afford stable dispersions with substantial solids contents.

Experimental Section

General Methods and Materials. All manipulations involving phosphines were carried out under an inert atmosphere in a drybox or by standard Schlenk techniques. THF was distilled from sodium. Demineralized water was degassed by distillation under argon. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity INOVA 400 or on a Bruker AC 250 spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts were referred to the solvent signal. Size exclusion chromatography was carried out on a polymer laboratories PL 50 instrument equipped with two PLgel 5 μm MIXED C columns in THF in THF at 40 °C with an RI detector. Data are referenced to linear polystyrene standards. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) on diluted dispersion samples was performed on a Malvern Nano ZS ZEN 3600 particle sizer (173° backscattering). The autocorrelation function was analyzed using the Malvern dispersion technology software 5.10 algorithm to obtain volume and number weighted particle size distributions and polydispersity indices (PDI). TEM images were obtained on a Libra 120 instrument (acceleration voltage 120 kV). Conductivities were determined on compressed pellets by the four point method. Phenylacetylene (98%), tricyclohexyl phosphane, tri *n*-butylphosphine (95%), and 1,4-dichlorobutane (99%) were purchased from Acros, LiAlH₄ was purchased from Merck, and 1-hexene (97%) was purchased from Aldrich. SDS (≥96%) was purchased from Fluka. Dibromonomethtriphenyl phosphonium bromide,³⁶ 4-(hexyloxy) 3-methoxybenzaldehyde,³⁷ 1,3-bis(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)propane,³⁸ and 1,3-bis(di-*n*-hexyl phosphino)propane^{23d} were prepared according to published procedures.

Synthesis of 3-Methoxy-4-*n*-hexyloxyphenylacetylene (MHPA). Under an argon atmosphere, 47.95 g of dibromonomethtriphenyl phosphonium bromide (93.1 mmol) and 9.92 g of *t*-BuOK (88.4 mmol) were dissolved in 450 mL of dry THF. The solution was cooled to -80 °C and stirred for several minutes. 11.0 g of 4-(hexyloxy) 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (46.6 mmol) was added in one batch, and the solution was warmed to room temperature. After 10 min, 26.1 g of *t*-BuOK was added in one batch. The solution was quenched with saturated brine (300 mL) and extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was extracted with ether, the extract was filtered through diatomaceous earth, and the solvent was evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography (PE:ethyl acetate 1:1) to obtain 10.1 g of 4-ethynyl 1-(hexyloxy) 2-methoxybenzene (93%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.07 (dd, *J* 1.9, 8.3, 1H), 6.98 (d, *J* 1.9, 1H), 6.79 (d, *J* 8.3, 1H), 4.00 (t, *J* 6.9, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.00 (s, 1H), 1.89–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.44 (dq, *J* 7.1, 9.6, 2H), 1.33 (td, *J* 3.6, 7.2, 4H), 0.93–0.85 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 149.68, 149.10, 125.63, 115.29, 114.16, 112.52, 84.07, 75.73, 69.15, 56.14, 31.74, 29.20, 25.78, 22.75, 14.19.

Synthesis of 1-Naphtylacetylene (NA). Under an argon atmosphere, 65.94 g of dibromonomethtriphenyl phosphonium bromide (128.1 mmol) and 13.6 g of *t*-BuOK (121 mmol) were dissolved in 600 mL of dry THF. The solution was cooled to -80 °C and stirred for several minutes. 10.0 g of 1-naphthaldehyde (64.0 mmol) was added at once, and the solution was warmed to room temperature. After 10 min, 35.9 g of *t*-BuOK was added at once. The solution was quenched with saturated brine (500 mL) and extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was

extracted with ether and filtered through diatomaceous earth, and the filtrate was evaporated. The product was purified by column chromatography (PE:ethyl acetate 1:1) to obtain 6.81 g of 4 ethynyl 1 (hexyloxy) 2 methoxybenzene (70%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.42 (d, J 8.4, 1H), 7.88 (d, J 8.2, 2H), 7.79 (dd, J 1.0, 7.1, 1H), 7.59 (dddd, J 1.3, 6.9, 8.2, 26.5, 2H), 7.46 (dd, J 7.2, 8.3, 1H), 3.52 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 133.70, 133.27, 131.42, 129.47, 128.48, 127.14, 126.67, 126.23, 125.28, 119.96, 82.19, 81.96.

Synthesis of 1,4-Bis(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)butane. In a 100 mL Schlenk tube, 5.0 g of di *tert* butylphosphine (34 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of Et_2O , and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Within 10 min, 23.90 mL of tBuLi (1.5 M solution in hexane, 35.9 mmol) was added dropwise. 2.246 g of 1,4 dichlorobutane (17.68 mmol) was added in a single batch, upon which the solution became pale yellow. The solution was stirred for 20 min at 0 °C and another hour at room temperature. The solvent was removed, and 10 mL of hexane followed by 10 mL of water was added. The organic layer was extracted three times with 10 mL of hexane each. From the combined organic layers the solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was purified by Kugelrohr distillation at 130 °C (0.2 mbar) to obtain 4.05 g of 1,4 bis(di *tert* butylphosphino)butane (85%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C): δ /ppm 1.72 (m, 4H), 1.33 (m, 4H), 1.03 (d, 36H, $^3J_{\text{HP}}$ 10.8 Hz). ^{31}P NMR (162 MHz): δ 29.0.

Preparation of Catalyst Solution (Example). A solution of 33.7 mg (150 μmol) of $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ in 5 mL of acetonitrile and a solution of 149.5 mg (450 μmol) of 1,3 bis(di *tert* butylphosphino)propane in 5 mL of ethanol were mixed, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of 0.2 mL of ethanol and 4.8 mL of hexane to afford a catalyst solution with a concentration of 30 μmol Pd/mL.

Miniemulsion Polymerization Procedure. In a 100 mL round bottom Schlenk flask closed with a septum, an aqueous solution of 0.5 g of SDS in 39 g of water was topped with a layer of 10 mL of phenylacetylene. To this layer 1.0 mL of catalyst solution (30 μmol) was added. The mixture was ultrasonicated for 2 min (Bandelin HD 2200 with a KE76 tip, operated at 120 W), followed by addition of one drop of methanesulfonic acid while stirring. The initially pale yellow emulsion turned to an intense yellow while the temperature increased significantly. After 1 h of polymerization an intensely yellow dispersion was obtained.

For analysis, 10 mL of the dispersion was precipitated in 100 mL of methanol, followed by filtration through a nylon filter (0.45 μm pore size). The polymer was washed with methanol and dried at room temperature.

Microemulsion Polymerization Procedure. In a 100 mL round bottom Schlenk flask closed with a septum, a mixture of 3.0 g of phenylacetylene, 4.0 g of SDS, 4.0 g of pentanol, and 44.5 g of water was stirred overnight to afford a clear microemulsion. In a separate Schlenk flask, 7.5 mL of water, 1.0 g of SDS, and 0.5 g of pentanol were mixed with 1.0 mL of catalyst solution (20 μmol /mL) and stirred for 5 min to afford a clear microemulsion. For polymerization, 1.25 mL of catalyst microemulsion was transferred to the monomer microemulsion. After 1 min of stirring, one drop of methanesulfonic acid was added to the mixture. After 1 h of polymerization, an intensely orange colored latex was obtained.

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the DFG (Me1388/7 1) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Marina Krumova for TEM analyses and Lars Bolk for GPC analyses. S.M. is indebted to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and to the Hermann Schnell Foundation.

Supporting Information Available: Images of inkjet print outs with the dispersions. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Urban, D.; Takamura, K. *Polymer Dispersions and Their Industrial Applications*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002. (b) van Herk, A. M. *Chemistry and Technology of Emulsion Polymerisation*; Blackwell Publishing: Oxford, 2005. (c) Fitch, R. M. *Polymer Colloids*; Academic Press: San Diego, 1997. (d) Lovell, P. A.; El-Aasser, M. S. *Emulsion Polymerization and Emulsion Polymers*; Wiley: Chichester, 1997.
- (2) Pecher, J.; Mecking, S. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, in press (doi 10.1021/cr100132y).
- (3) (a) Antonietti, M.; Landfester, K. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2002**, *27*, 689–757. (b) Kietzke, T.; Neher, D.; Landfester, K.; Montenegro, R.; Guntner, R.; Scherf, U. *Nature Mater.* **2003**, *2*, 408–412. (c) Bourgeat-Lami, E. In *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*; Nalwa, H. S., Ed.; American Scientific Publishers: Stevenson Ranch, CA, 2004; Vol. 8, pp 305–332. (d) Wiese, H.; Xue, Z.; Leuninger, J. *Chem. Unserer Zeit* **2005**, *39*, 65–66. (e) Monteil, V.; Stumbaum, J.; Thomann, R.; Mecking, S. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 2056–2062. (f) Balmer, J. A.; Schmid, A.; Armes, S. P. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2008**, *18*, 5722–5730.
- (4) Huber, J.; Mecking, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 6314–6317.
- (5) Asua, J. M. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2002**, *27*, 1283–1346.
- (6) Zetterlund, B.; Kagawa, Y.; Okubo, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3747–3794.
- (7) (a) Lowe, A. B.; McCormick, C. L. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2002**, *55*, 367–379. (b) Prescott, S. W.; Ballard, M. J.; Rizzardo, E.; Gilbert, R. G. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2002**, *55*, 415–424. (c) Cunningham, M. F. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2002**, *27*, 1039–1067. (d) Mecking, S.; Claverie, J. In *Late Transition Metal Polymerization Catalysis*; Rieger, B.; Baugh, L. S.; Kacker, S.; Striegler, S., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003; pp 231–278. (e) Charleux, B. In *Macromolecular Engineering*; Matyjaszewski, K.; Gnanou, Y.; Leibler, L., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 2007; Vol. 1, pp 605–642.
- (8) (a) Landfester, K. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **2006**, *36*, 231–79. (b) Dieterich, D.; Uhlig, K. In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, 6th ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003; Vol. 28, pp 667–722.
- (9) Quémener, D.; Héroguez, V.; Gnanou, Y. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 7977–7982.
- (10) Quémener, D.; Bousquet, A.; Héroguez, V.; Gnanou, Y. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 5589–5591.
- (11) Airaud, C.; Héroguez, V.; Gnanou, Y. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 3015–3022.
- (12) Lynn, D. M.; Mohr, B.; Grubbs, R. H.; Henling, L. M.; Day, M. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 6601–6609.
- (13) Wache, S. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *495*, 235–240.
- (14) (a) Mecking, S. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* **2007**, *285*, 605–619. (b) Claverie, J. P.; Soula, R. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2003**, *28*, 619–662. (c) Mecking, S.; Held, A.; Bauers, F. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 544–561.
- (15) (a) Furlani, A.; Napoletano, C.; Russo, M. V.; Feast, W. J. *Polym. Bull.* **1986**, *16*, 311–317. (b) Trumbo, D. L.; Marvel, C. S. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A* **1987**, *25*, 1027–1034. (c) Masuda, T.; Mishima, K.; Fujimori, J.; Nishida, M.; Muramatsu, H.; Higashimura, T. *Macromolecules* **1992**, *25*, 1401–1404. (d) Schrock, R. R.; Luo, S.; Zanetti, N. C.; Fox, H. H. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 3396–3398. (e) Kishimoto, Y.; Eckerle, P.; Miyatake, T.; Kainosho, M.; Ono, A.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 12035–12044. (f) Hori, H.; Six, C.; Leitner, W. *Macromolecules* **1999**, *32*, 3178–3182.
- (16) (a) Ginsburg, E. J.; Gorman, C. B.; Grubbs, R. H. In *Modern Acetylene Chemistry*; Stang, P. J.; Diederich, F., Eds.; VCH: Weinheim, 1995; pp 353–83. (b) Belov, D. G.; Efimov, O. N.; Belov, G. P. *Plast. Eng.* **1998**, *45*, 297–330. (c) Buchmeiser, M. R. *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **2006**, *197*, 137–171.
- (17) (a) Neher, D.; Kaltbeitzel, A.; Wolf, A.; Bubeck, C.; Wegner, G. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **1991**, *24*, 1193–1202. (b) Sakurai, S.-I.; Kuroyanagi, K.; Morino, K.; Kunitake, M.; Yashima, E. *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 9670–9674. (c) Lam, J. W. Y.; Tang, B. Z. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2005**, *38*, 745–754. (d) Maeda, K.; Matsushita, Y.; Ezaka, M.; Yashima, E. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4152–4154. (e) Fukushima, T.; Takachi, K.; Tsuchihara, K. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 3103–3105.
- (18) (a) Amer, I.; Schumann, H.; Ravindar, V.; Baidossi, W.; Goren, N.; Blum, J. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1993**, *85*, 163–171. (b) Yashima, E.; Matsushita, T.; Okamoto, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 6345–6359. (c) Tang, B. Z.; Poon, W. H.; Leung, S. M.; Leung, W. H.; Peng, H. *Macromolecules* **1997**, *30*, 2209–2212. (d) Pelagatti, P.; Carcelli, M.; Pelizzi, C.; Costa, M. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2003**, *342*, 323–326.
- (19) Polymerization of phenylacetylene with a rhodium complex in a mixture of monomer, toluene, and water has been reported to afford poly(phenylacetylene) particles: D'Amato, R.; Medei, L.; Venditti, I.; Russo, M. V.; Falconieri, M. *Mater. Sci. Eng., C* **2003**, *23*, 861–865.

- No monomer conversion or catalyst productivity is given. Initiation of the polymerization in the aqueous phase akin to a classical emulsion polymerization is suggested, but the reaction is carried out without surfactant which would suggest a suspension-type polymerization.
- (20) Deng, J.; Chen, B.; Luo, X.; Yang, W. *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42*, 933–938.
 - (21) Krause, J. O.; Zarka, M. T.; Anders, U.; Weberskirch, R.; Nuyken, O.; Buchmeiser, M. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5965–5969.
 - (22) (a) Drent, E.; v. Broekhoven, J. A. M.; Doyle, M. J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *417*, 235–251. (b) Drent, E.; Budzelaar, P. H. M. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 663–81.
 - (23) (a) Jiang, Z.; Sen, A. *Macromolecules* **1994**, *27*, 7215–7216. (b) Verspui, G.; Papadogianakis, G.; Sheldon, R. A. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 401–402. (c) Bianchini, C.; Man Lee, H.; Meli, A.; Moneti, S.; Patinec, V.; Petrucci, G.; Vizza, F. *Macromolecules* **1999**, *32*, 3859–3866. (d) Lindner, E.; Schmid, M.; Wald, J.; Queisser, J. A.; Geprags, M.; Wegner, P.; Nachtigal, C. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *602*, 173–87. (e) Held, A.; Kolb, L.; Zuideveld, M. A.; Thomann, R.; Mecking, S.; Schmid, M.; Pietruschka, R.; Lindner, E.; Sunjuk, M.; Khanfar, M. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 3342–3347.
 - (24) (a) Drent, E.; Pello, D. H. L. Eur. Pat. 576091 (Shell), 1993. (b) Li, K.; Wei, G.; Darkwa, J.; Pollack, S. K. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 4573–4576.
 - (25) LaPointe, A. M.; Brookhart, M. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 1530–1537.
 - (26) For a preliminary account cf. (a) Huber, J.; Mecking, S. *Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2007**, *96*, 306–307. (b) Reference 4.
 - (27) (a) Clegg, W.; Eastham, G. R.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Heaton, B. T.; Iggo, J. A.; Tooze, R. P.; Whyman, R.; Zacchini, S. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 1832–1840. (b) Lopez-Serrano, J.; Duckett, S. B.; Aiken, S.; Almeida Lenero, K. Q.; Drent, E.; Dunne, J. P.; Konya, D.; Whitwood, A. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 6513–6527.
 - (28) Tolman, C. A. *Chem. Rev.* **1977**, *77*, 313–348.
 - (29) Ferreira, A. C.; Crous, R.; Bennie, L.; Meij, A. M. M.; Blann, K.; Bezuidenhoudt, B. C. B.; Young, D. A.; Green, M. J.; Roodt, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2273–2275.
 - (30) Mecking, S.; Monteil, V.; Huber, J.; Kolb, L.; Wehrmann, P. *Makromol. Symp.* **2006**, *236*, 117–123.
 - (31) Molecular weight determination of the poly NA and poly AA was hampered by their intense color, which was anticipated to possibly result in contamination of the equipment employed.
 - (32) (a) Candéau, F. In *Polymerization in Organized Media*, Paleos, C. M., Ed.; Gordon and Breach Sci. Publ.: Philadelphia, 1992; pp 215–283. (b) Antonietti, M.; Basten, R.; Lohmann, S. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *196*, 441–466. (c) Pavel, F. M. *J. Dispersion Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *25*, 1–16.
 - (33) Monteil, V.; Wehrmann, P.; Mecking, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 14568–14569.
 - (34) (a) Chiang, C. K.; Drury, M. A.; Gau, C. S.; Heeger, A. J.; Louis, E. J.; MacDiarmid, A. G.; Park, Y. W.; Shirakawa, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 1013–1015. (b) Basescu, N.; Liu, Z.-X.; Moses, D.; Heeger, A. J.; Naarmann, H.; Theophilou, N. *Nature* **1987**, *327*, 403–405. (c) Heeger, A. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2591–2611.
 - (35) Leclerc, M.; Prud'homme, R. E. *Macromolecules* **1987**, *20*, 2153–2159.
 - (36) Dolhem, F.; Lièvre, C.; Demailly, G. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 155–164.
 - (37) Prasad, K. R.; Nagappa, Rai, K. M. L. *Synth. Commun.* **1998**, *28*, 4605–4610.
 - (38) Carr, N.; Dunne, B. J.; Mole, L.; Orpen, A. G.; Spencer, J. L. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1991**, 863–871.