The interplay between trauma, substance abuse and appetitive aggression and its relation to criminal activity among high-risk males in South Africa
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HIGHLIGHTS

• Traumatic experiences positively related to posttraumatic stress and drug abuse
• Violence exposure affiliated with more aggressive tendencies and cruel behavior
• Drug abuse prior to violence commission linked to higher attraction to cruelty
• Substance intake before crime perpetration associated with more committed offenses
• Reducing substance abuse may lower high violence levels among young South Africans.
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ABSTRACT

Background: In persistently unsafe environments, the cumulative exposure to violence predicts not only the development of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), but also of increased aggression and violent outbursts. Substance use disorders interact with these developments, as drug consumption may blunt symptoms and also reduce the threshold for violent acts. Investigating the interplay between these variables and the possible cumulative effect of drug abuse on the attraction to cruelty is a crucial step in understanding the cycle of violence and developing intervention programs that address this cycle in violence-troubled communities such as low-income urban areas in South Africa.

Methods: Young males at risk (N = 290) were recruited through a reintegration center for offenders in Cape Town. We assessed types of traumatic events experienced, PTSD symptom severity, appetitive aggression, committed offenses and patterns of drug abuse prior to the perpetration of violence.

Results: Path-analyses confirmed a positive relationship between exposure to traumatic events and PTSD symptom severity, appetitive aggression, the number of committed offenses and drug abuse prior to violence. PTSD symptoms were positively associated with the propensity toward aggression. Furthermore, more severe drug abuse was related to higher attraction to violence and more committed offenses.

Conclusions: We conclude that like exposure to violence, drug abuse may play a key role in the attraction to aggression and criminal acts. Measures of violence prevention and psychotherapeutic interventions for trauma-related suffering may not be effective without enduring drug abuse rehabilitation.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
1. Introduction

Male adolescents and young adults living in low-income urban areas of South Africa are exposed to extreme levels of violence and crime. In the Cape Town metropolitan municipality, rates of severe crime are among the highest in the world (Groenewald et al., 2003). Due to the extreme levels of exposure to traumatic stressors (Lockhat & Niekerk, 2000) and involvement in gang violence (Maxson, Whitlock, & Klein, 1998), the boundary between victimization and criminalization in these environments is often blurred. Additionally, South Africa reports one of the highest levels of per-capita alcohol consumption worldwide for individuals drinking alcohol (Rehm et al., 2003); alcohol is the drug most commonly abused by adolescents in the country, followed by illicit drugs such as cannabis, methamphetamine ("tik") and methaqualone ("mandrax") (Parry, Myers, et al., 2004; Ramlagan, Peltzer, & Matske, 2009). The present study investigates the role of drug abuse, i.e. the excessive, socially problematic consumption of intoxicating substances (Doweiko, 2015), in the relationship between victimization and the perpetration of aggressive behavior in a sample of at-risk young males from low-income areas in Cape Town.

Previous research indicates a clear relationship between drug abuse and heightened aggressive behavior. Both alcohol and illegal drugs have been associated with murder, intimate partner violence and child abuse (e.g. Plüddemann, Flisher, McKetin, Parry, & Lombard, 2010; Roizen, 1997; Seedat, Van Niekerk, Jewkes, Suffla, & Ratele, 2009). This correlation holds true for South Africa: Between 1999 and 2000, in Cape Town, Johannesburg and Durban, almost 50% of individuals arrested on charges related to family violence, homicide or rape were reported to have been either drunk or under the influence of drugs at the time of the offense (Parry, Plüddemann, et al., 2004). The severity of the committed crimes is positively associated with alcohol or drug consumption preceding the violent act (Chermack & Blow, 2002; Hecker & Haer, 2015; White, Tice, Loebel, & Stoutahmer-Loebel, 2002). Although these data reveal that substance abuse represents a frequent precursor to aggressive behavior (Taylor & Chermack, 1993), the question of whether drug abuse functions as a catalyst (Ching, Daffern, & Thomas, 2012) or in a causal role, remains a controversial issue (Klostermann & Fals-Stewart, 2006).

Posttraumatic stress in relation to victimization has been indicated as another important risk factor in the perpetration of violence in low-income urban areas in South Africa (Sommer et al., in press). Symptoms such as hyperarousal, hostility and anger may be viewed as a behavioral pattern to (presumably ongoing) danger, which may lead to aggression (Hellmuth, Stappenbeck, Hinsberger et al., 2013) showing that participants with more traits of appetite aggression presented with less impaired psychosocial functioning, implying that the attraction to aggressive behavior may reflect a process of adaptation to living in high-violence neighborhoods.

The role of alcohol and drugs in promoting appetitive aggression has yet to be investigated in a systematic manner: A recent study by Hecker and Haer (2015) examines the impact of prior drug abuse on emotional states during the perpetration of violence in combatants from the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Most combatants felt fearless, more powerful, and more aggressive after drug abuse and had a higher propensity to behave in an appetitively aggressive manner. Appetitive aggression may thus be fueled by drug abuse due to the (at times positive) qualities linked to substance abuse, such as increased arousal, lower social inhibitions and stronger feelings of companionship when in a group (Ching et al., 2012). Furthermore, as fear is reduced (Tyner & Fremouw, 2008), the urge to engage in aggression for the purposes of revenge and satisfaction may be unleashed.

Various factors – such as exposure to traumatic events and posttraumatic stress, appetitive aggression and substance abuse – have been hypothesized to contribute to the extremely high levels of violence in South Africa. Using path analyses, we have attempted to clarify the relationship between these factors in order to provide guidance for psychological programs for young offenders living in communities with high levels of violence and crime. In line with previous research, we investigated 1) whether exposure to violence is positively related to appetitive aggression, PTSD symptoms, substance abuse and the number of committed offenses; 2) whether posttraumatic stress has a positive correlation to drug abuse and the propensity toward aggressive behavior; 3) whether drug abuse is positively correlated to aggressive tendencies and the number of committed offenses; and 4) whether appetitive aggression is positively related to the number of violent offenses as the main outcome variable.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

In 2013–2014, structured clinical interviews were conducted with 290 males in the ages of 14–40 years (M = 21.96, SD = 4.53). All respondents were Black Africans of Xhosa ethnicity from low-income areas in Cape Town and were contacted through REALISTIC, a community-based organization that seeks to rehabilitate young offenders. Recruitment focused on former offenders currently attending a reintegration program (20%), and those who had previously completed such programs as well as individuals at risk for perpetrating or becoming victims of crime (80%). The educational level was rather low, with 81% of the participants failing to meet secondary-school graduation requirements.

The ethical review boards of the University of Konstanz, Stellenbosch University and the University of Cape Town approved the study, and all participants gave their informed consent. For those under the age of 18, parents or caretakers gave informed consent. For their participation in the interview, participants received financial compensation.

2.2. Procedure

In order to ensure confidentiality and privacy, data were collected at the organization's premises in Cape Town or at private offices nearby. The diagnostic interviews were conducted by a group of four German mental-health experts and three local counselors who had received 25 h of training in the theoretical concepts of mental disorders, trauma, aggression and clinical diagnosis through the use of structured interviews. Furthermore, to ensure the valid assessment of trauma symptoms and appetite aggression, concepts and translations were discussed in detail with the interpreters before their application in the interviews. Bilingual native (Xhosa-speaking) interpreters who were specifically trained in translation in clinical settings assisted with administration and translated the questionnaires into isiXhosa and back to English. In cases in which participants reported suicidal thoughts or
acute mental-health problems, counseling or referral to local psychiatric health services was provided.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Traumatic event types

A checklist of 36 potentially traumatic events (e.g., physical attack, sexual assault, torture) adapted from the Children’s Exposure to Violence Checklist (CEVC; Amaya-Jackson, 1998) was administered to assess experienced and witnessed traumatic event types. This scale has demonstrated excellent internal consistency and good test-retest reliability, also in South African settings (Fehon, Grilo, & Lipschitz, 2001; Fincham et al., 2009), and has proven its validity in offender populations (Weierstall, Hinsberger et al., 2013). We calculated the total number of self-experienced and witnessed traumatic event types, with a possible range from 0 to 36. Reliability was calculated according to Dunn, Baguley, and Brunsden (2014) by using omega (McDonald, 1999), a measure of internal consistency that is considered to be more sensible than Cronbach’s alpha (e.g., Zimbarg, Revelel, Yovel, & Li, 2005). Coefficient omega in our sample was 0.79, 95% confidence interval (CI) [0.75–0.82].

2.3.2. Posttraumatic stress symptom severity

PTSD symptom severity during the past two weeks was assessed using the PTSD Symptom Scale-Interview (PSS-I; Foa & Tolin, 2000). For each of the 17 items, ratings ranged from 0 (not at all) to 3 (very much), expressing to which extent a specific trauma was associated with each of the B, C, and D PTSD symptoms of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed., text rev.; DSM-IV-TR; American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The measure’s validity has been proven in South African offender and other African populations (e.g., Köbach, Schaal, & Elbert, 2015; Weierstall, Hinsberger et al., 2013). The PSS-I sum score was calculated by summing all item scores; possible sum scores ranged from 0 to 51 points. Coefficient omega in this sample was 0.88, 95% CI [0.85–0.90].

2.3.3. Appetitive Aggression Scale

An individual’s propensity toward perpetrating aggressive acts was assessed using the Appetitive Aggression Scale (AAS; Weierstall & Elbert, 2011), a structured interview that has been successfully implemented in previous African samples, including South African young offenders, and has been proven to have excellent psychometric properties (e.g., Weierstall, Hinsberger et al., 2013; Weierstall, Schalinski, Crombach, Hecker, & Elbert, 2012). The AAS contains 15 questions concerning the appetitive perception of aggression (e.g., “Is it exciting for you if you make an opponent really suffer?”). Each item is scored on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (“I totally disagree”) to 4 (“I totally agree”). For the analysis, the AAS sum score was calculated, with a possible range from 0 to 60. The reliability of the scale was high in our sample (coefficient omega = 0.87, 95% CI [0.84–0.89]).

2.3.4. Number of offense types

To measure self-committed aggressive behavior, we assessed the number of committed offenses using a checklist of 21 different types of offenses (e.g., assault, rape, murder) from the AAS, which has previously been successfully administered in a South African population of youth offenders (Weierstall, Hinsberger et al., 2013). We summed the number of committed offenses to obtain the offense types sum score; possible scores ranged from 0 to 21 points. Coefficient omega in this sample was 0.88, 95% CI [0.86–0.90].

2.3.5. Drug abuse before offenses

In combination with the list of offense types, we asked about the frequency of drug abuse before the perpetration of violent acts (“How often did you drink or take drugs before these events?”). Participants answered on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (not at all) to 4 (every time). Additionally, we assessed substance dependence with the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview based on DSM-IV criteria (M.I.N.I. version 6.0; Sheehan et al., 1998).

2.4. Data analysis

Path analyses were performed with AMOS 22 for SPSS. To test the hypothesized relationships, we included traumatic events as a predictor variable for appetitive aggression, PTSD symptom severity, drug consumption prior to offenses and number of offenses, while appetitive aggression was included as predictor for the number of offenses, PTSD symptoms as a predictor for appetitive aggression and drug abuse, and drug abuse as a predictor for appetitive aggression and the number of offense types, which represented the main outcome variable. Of the 290 participants, 38 had missing data for one or more of the variables; these values were estimated using maximum likelihood estimation, thereby providing more accurate estimates of population parameters than other methods, such as deletion of missing cases (Enders, 2006).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of trauma, aggression and drug abuse

Demographic and trauma-, aggression- and drug-related sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. Participants were exposed to or had witnessed a broad range of 5–32 different traumatic experiences (M = 18.71, SD = 5.11), indicating high levels of poly-victimization. PTSD symptoms ranged from 0 to 39 points (M = 8.49), and the AAS score from 0 to 60 points (M = 15.42). Participants reported perpetration of a variety of violent acts, with scores ranging from 1 to 21 and a mean score of 11.65 violent acts committed. In the sample, 24.5% of the participants stated that they had mutilated at least one person in their lifetime, and 21.4% reported committing a murder at least once. With regard to drug abuse, 31.2% of the participants reported that they had never used drugs before committing violence, 28.4% declared that they had “rarely” or “sometimes” used drugs before offenses and 40.3% said they had used drugs “most of the time” or “every time” before perpetrating violence. 55.2% of the participants were diagnosed with a substance dependence disorder in the course of the interview. The most-used drugs related to substance dependence were cannabis ("dagga", 38.6%), alcohol (33.4%), methamphetamine ("tik", 13.4%) and methaqualone ("mandrax", a sedative, 5.2%). Statistical analyses revealed no significant difference between those who had participated in a reintegration program at the time of the assessment and those who had not with regard to the outcome variables.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>M (SD) or n (%)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>21.96 (4.53)</td>
<td>[14–40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of formal education</td>
<td>10.46 (1.77)</td>
<td>[1–16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic events</td>
<td>18.71 (5.11)</td>
<td>[5–32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being attacked with a weapon</td>
<td>64 (24.9%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Someone close being murdered</td>
<td>23 (8.9%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>8.49 (0.97)</td>
<td>[0–39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>15.42 (13.08)</td>
<td>[0–60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offense types committed</td>
<td>11.65 (4.35)</td>
<td>[1–21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutilating at least one person</td>
<td>71 (24.5%)</td>
<td>[0–10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committing a murder at least once</td>
<td>62 (21.4%)</td>
<td>[0–10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance dependence diagnosis</td>
<td>160 (55.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Path analyses

According to fit criteria (Hu & Bentler, 1999), the hypothesized model fit the data well: $\chi^2 (1, N = 290) = 2.18$, $p = 0.140$, CFI = 0.995, RMSEA = 0.064, 90% CI [0.000–0.184]. However, the hypothesized link between PTSD symptom severity and drug abuse prior to violence was non-significant. This link was excluded and a revised model obtained. Fig. 1 shows the final model.

The final model accounted for 21% of the variance in appetitive aggression, 14% in PTSD symptoms, 12% in drug consumption and 36% in the number of committed offense types. Fit statistics for the final model were good: $\chi^2 (2) = 3.84$, $p = 0.146$, $\chi^2/df = 1.92$, CFI = 0.993, RMSEA = 0.056, 90% CI [0.000–0.142].

In line with previous research, the model revealed that the number of traumatic event types exhibited strong positive relationships with appetitive aggression, PTSD symptoms, the number of offense types committed and drug abuse prior to the perpetration of violence. Furthermore, PTSD symptom severity was positively related to appetitive aggression, which itself was highly correlated to the number of offense types committed. Additionally, our results indicate that using drugs before committing violence seems to exacerbate the attraction to cruelty and the extent of perpetrated violence.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the relationships between traumatic experiences, PTSD symptoms, appetitive aggression, drug abuse and perpetrated violence in a sample of at-risk young men living in low-income urban areas in South Africa. Using path analyses, we obtained a dose-response effect between cumulative exposure to traumatic stressors and PTSD symptom severity, i.e. a positive relationship between the number of different traumatic events and the severity of PTSD symptoms, in line with previous research (Köbach et al., 2015; Neuner et al., 2004; Wilker et al., 2015). Moreover, appetitive aggression and the level of perpetrated violence seem to increase with a higher number of traumatic experiences, an effect that has also been reported in previous studies (e.g. Hecker, Hermenau, Maedl, Schauer, & Elbert, 2013; Weierstall, Huth, Knecht, Nandi, & Elbert, 2012). However, it must be considered that the likelihood of being victimized may also be enhanced by engagement in violent acts, such that this path most likely represents a reciprocal relationship (Fetchenhauer & Rohde, 2002). Additionally, we find that exposure to traumatic events is positively related to drug abuse prior to violent behavior. One explanation for this could be that youth from adverse environments often seek protection in gangs (Kerig, Wainryb, Twali, & Chaplo, 2013), groups in which drug abuse is integrated into everyday activities (Aldridge & Medina, 2008). Drug abuse in those who engage in gang violence may be reinforced by peer pressure and the desire to maintain one’s status in the gang (Brunelle, Brochu, & Cousineau, 2000), and may play an important role in facilitating the commission of criminal acts, either by calming nerves or bolstering courage (Goldstein, Brownstein, Ryan, & Belluci, 1989). However, alcohol or drug abuse may also increase the probability of experiencing traumatic events, since one may become more likely to provoke or be involved in a fight, which may in turn result in a traumatic experience (Murdoch, Pihl, & Ross, 1990).

Furthermore, our data showed no significant relationship between PTSD symptom severity and drug abuse prior to the perpetration of violence. With regard to victim-offender populations, this result may be interpreted in accordance with Hecker and Haer (2015), who suggest that drugs may be consumed in order to feel more vigilant, powerful and fearless (Goldstein et al., 1989) when committing violent acts such as murder or rape, rather than to cope with the psychological impact of PTSD symptoms (Bonin, Norton, Asmundson, Dicurzio, & Cicuzio, 1999). However, we found a significant relationship between PTSD and appetitive aggression, and we hypothesize that comorbid PTSD symptoms, such as revenge fantasies (Maercker & Horn, 2013), may evolve into a proactive intrinsic motivation for violence, i.e. appetitive aggression. This may then encourage an individual to join criminal subcultures or gangs for revenge, groups that are commonly characterized by a violent and aggressive masculinity (Kynoch, 1999), providing a fertile ground for the development of cruel behavior. Additionally, as pointed out by Hinsberger et al. (2016), being part of such groups embossed by appetitive aggression, may also prolong PTSD symptoms as normative claims for vengeance of murdered gang
members may trigger traumatic memories, leading to a complex association between PTSD and appetitive aggression.

Our model revealed a large effect for the relationship between drug abuse prior to the commission of violent acts and engagement in violence. It seems likely that drug abuse before committing violence leads to a full display of the person’s potential for aggression, which may result in a greater extent of violent behavior in terms of the number and types of offenses. Furthermore, drugs may be used in order to avoid negative feelings of guilt or shame (Brunelle et al., 2000) and may therefore increase the probability of further violence committed under the influence. A reduction in negative emotions and an increase in the positive evaluation of violence may be reflected in the positive correlation between substance abuse and appetitive aggression. An increased lust for aggression when violence is committed under the influence of drugs may be due to drug-induced feelings of enhanced confidence and energy (Panenka et al., 2013), euphoria (Cheng, Jong, Li, Ko, & Wu, 2006) or emotions related to amusement and entertainment (Ching et al., 2012). The aforementioned beneficial effect of appetitive aggression in terms of adaptation to an adverse environment (Weierstall, Haer, Bankholzer, & Elbert, 2013) may thus be enhanced by the positive features of drug or alcohol consumption (e.g. increased arousal, reduced inhibitions), which may in turn lead to a further increase in the number and severity of violent acts, as shown in our model.

In sum, the relationship between drug abuse and exposure to as well as engagement in violence may best be described as a vicious cycle in which the contributory factors are so tightly linked that it becomes difficult to disentangle cause and effect (Brunelle et al., 2000). However, the understanding that drug abuse – like traumatic stress and the exposure to violence – seems to increase appetitive aggression and violent outbursts is of great importance and has significant treatment implications.

4.1. Limitations

We assessed the impact of drug abuse on violence with an emphasis on young male South Africans at risk for criminal offenses, which may limit the external validity of these findings. In order to establish whether our findings of a drug-violence nexus are replicable in different conflict settings and thus have generalizability potential, the aforementioned relationships should be explored in future studies. Given the correlational and retrospective nature of this cross-sectional study, causality cannot be directly inferred, and conclusions about temporal or causal relationships between the variables should be drawn with caution.

4.2. Conclusions

Alarming high levels of violence and drug abuse, particularly in low-income areas in South Africa, indicate a clear need for a better understanding of the relationship between the various factors that contribute to a downward spiral; such an understanding could serve as the basis for violence- and drug-prevention programs as well as sensitive trauma- and aggression-focused treatments. This is of special importance as traumatic experiences, resulting aggression, and drug abuse have been indicated to be linked to school failure and dropout (e.g. Walker & Sprague, 1999) – which is also reflected by the high rate of participants in our study without a high school degree - and therefore stressing the aforementioned downward spiral.

The present study provides evidence of the necessity of simultaneously addressing multiple risk factors in violence intervention efforts, given that trauma, appetitive aggression and substance abuse are intricately interlinked. Reducing substance abuse is likely to contribute to a decrease in the high rates of violence among young South Africans (Morogele & Brook, 2006). Compared to incarceration, multi-systemic interventions for serious offenders may reduce costs – financial costs and, more importantly, psychological and social costs – in the long term (Randall & Cunningham, 2003).
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